The Modern Evolutionary Paradigm is NOT SCIENCE, it isn't even good Science Fiction part 1 of 5

November 22, 2014 at 10:06am

Public

-

In the Holy and Omnipotent Name of YAHOSHUAH (JESUS CHRIST), I command the spirit of error and all foul and unclean spirits to depart from all who read these words. I call for the spirit of Repentance to come upon all who read these words and May GOD our FATHER grant His Holy Spirit to all who read these words and fulfill what is written in Acts 2:38 - 38Peter said to them, "Repent, and each of you be baptized in the name of Jesus Christ for the forgiveness of your sins; and you will receive the gift of the Holy Spirit.39"For the promise is for you and your children and for all who are far off, as many as the Lord our God will call to Himself."...

https://www.facebook.com/The.Illogical.Atheist/photos/a.355584184549936.1073741826.3390027362 08081/632415430200142/?type=1&theater - the evolutionary paradigm forced upon mass millions today is provably religious in nature and the IMPOSSIBLE mental hurdles such people espouse not only rivals all science and rational thought, BUT IF IT WERE TRUE would be NO LESS MIRACULOUS than the Biblical Account of Creation. The supernatural miracles or rather the IMPOSSIBILITIES atheists and evolutionists believe in the so called name of science:

https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=982807705071177&set=gm.575896999217802&type=1&t heater

https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/the-religion-of-evolution-versus-the-science-ofcreation/729829070429388 - IF STILL UNAVAILABLE -

http://www.blastthetrumpet.org/PublicLetters/AAAUpdatedPublicAlertsMattersofLifeandDeath/More Updates041215/The%20religion%20of%20evolution%20versus%20the%20Science%20of%20Creation.pd f) as you can read i take a bit stronger position in asserting CONTRARY to evolution WHAT WE DO OBSERVE is mass extinctions, EVEN mass extinctions today, disease and death from genetic LOSS of once healthy specimens over time! (the EXACT OPPOSITE of evolutionary claims) in other words REALITY, the fossil record if anything puts the evolutionary tree of life UPSIDE DOWN because it plainly shows enormous numbers of complex multicelled species that are DYING OFF over time with less and less species remaining on earth! and THE ONES STILL ALIVE, even OURSELVES, are LOSING genetic information causing birth defects and making even ourselves as a species sicker and sicker while we watch extinction events worldwide taking

place <u>https://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=mass+die+offs+2014+extinction+events</u> and <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lf9yzHwOeUc_and_https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-</u>

<u>swenson/end-times-verse-by-verse/625795230832773</u>; so while delusionary evolutionists harp on about their wacky ideas, EVERYTHING we OBSERVE shows it to be FALSE and DIAMETRICALLY opposed to their foolish notions.

http://www.uncommondescent.com/intelligent-design/a-world-famous-chemist-tells-the-truththeres-no-scientist-alive-today-who-understands-macroevolution/

http://creation.mobi/y-chromosome-shock

https://www.facebook.com/156650811060818/photos/o.514985451851831/973161102743114/?typ e=1&theater

This is the scope of the complete load of crap (the whole gamut of the modern evolutionary paradigm); fiction in the name of science, being forced upon innocent children in public brainwashing https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/brainwashing/643617432383886; indoctrination programs in America and all over the world:

1) EVERYTHING came from nothing - <u>https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-</u>

<u>8#q=the%20universe%20came%20from%20nothing%20according%20to%20some%20scientists</u> It doesn't matter what their excuse for such mental acrobatics and nonsensical imaginations are, when ANYONE VIOLATES THE FOUNDATIONAL LAWS OF KNOWLEDGE AND SCIENCE itself to believe something so OBVIOUSLY FALSE, they have passed the state of willful ignorance, stupidity, and are well on their way to total insanity! - The "universe from nothing" violates the Laws of Non-contradiction and Causality; which as Aristotle put it, must exist for anyone to know anything at all. (Evolutionary Cosmology as is being taught in the name of science today is OVERTLY RELIGIOUS; not even remotely rationally supportable, and is MOST DEFINITELY NOT scientific.)

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=v34QjYPuiEA - https://www.facebook.com/notes/michaelswenson/the-dawkins-delusion/588126314599665

2) They go on to claim the Causeless EVERYTHING universe not only came from nothing, BUT that includes ALL forms of energy and matter! (Chemical evolution of how atoms formed, molecules, and all

the various elements and forms of energy, have yet to be demonstrated by anyone and as such the "theories" fall once again outside the realm of science and the scientific method and are purely religious). YET is all being taught as FACT even though those teaching such things cannot demonstrate what they BELIEVE by blind faith. (everything causeless came from nothing and without directed cause mysteriously assembled itself into separate forms of energy and elements).

3) Atheists and evolutionists further go on to espouse their religious beliefs regarding stellar evolution. How not only the causeless universe that came from nothing causelessly assembled itself into all known forms of energy and matter, BUT that those forms of energy and matter were so balanced as to combine into stars, planets, asteroids, comets, etc. and even our earth. WHY are there larger galaxies, smaller galaxies, larger stars, smaller stars, etc. IF it all began with ONE form of energy and undefined massless particles, HOW did assembly not only atomically occur, the elements, but VARIED concentrations of elements in stars and planets? AND why did assembly occur at all and HOW are these various masses of various elements and properties held together; in clear violation of the Law of Entropy and the scientific properties of those various elements and isotopes? With every step one takes in the modern popular evolutionary paradigm, one gets further and further away from TRUTH and reality into the depths of abject delusions, deceptions and irrational fantasies!

4) Abiogenesis - the nonsense being forced on mass millions in the name of so called science, DISREGARDS SCIENCE and WHAT WE ALL OBSERVE to make ANOTHER IMPOSSIBLE CLAIM! The Law of Biogenesis alone is IRREFUTABLE EVIDENCE of our ETERNAL CREATOR! LIFE COMES FROM LIFE -<u>https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/god/719346771477618</u>; it absolutely does NOT come from the nothing that became everything that causelessly defined itself into elements and forms of energy, and to top it all off those causeless, chaotic particles and energy not only assembled themselves together but without any reason whatsoever SPRANG TO LIFE one day!!!!!!! WHAT IS BEING FORCED ON CHILDREN as the evolutionary constructs taught in the name of science today IS TOTAL MADNESS!!!!!!!! <u>http://biblehub.com/romans/1-22.htm</u> and <u>http://biblehub.com/romans/1-28.htm</u>

5) SO FAR, the IMPOSSIBLE hurdles that atheists and evolutionists mentally jump are: a)everything came from nothing b) forms of energy and defined atomic structure "evolved" into existence without any rational explanation as to why or how c) in defiance of entropy and elemental properties these invisible particulates assembled themselves into visible matter of galaxies, stars, planets in various sizes and dimensions, in various concentrations from the causeless "cosmic inflation" event. d) life sprang into existence from non-life. ALL OF THESE MENTAL GYMNASTICS VIOLATE THE LAWS OF SCIENCE, KNOWLEDGE AND REASON!!!!!!! everything from nothing, order from chaos, life from non-life are ALL DIRECT CONTRADICTIONS! otherwise known as IMPOSSIBILITIES!!!!!!!

PLEASE DO NOT IGNORE THE COMMENTS SECTIONS OF ANY OF MY NOTES; WHICH ARE LOADED WITH CITATIONS OF FACTS SUPPORTING ALL MY STATEMENTS AND CONCLUSIONS.

So you get people on earth teaching little kids that all that is necessary for life to exist, is earth, water, air or atmosphere, and sun or similar energy. BUT IN ALL THE PRESENTATIONS I HAVE SEEN THEY ALL LEAVE OFF THE MOST CRITICAL OF ALL ATRIBUTES NECESSARY FOR LIFE - INFORMATION! Without DNA DEFINED INSTRUCTIONS, the elements will NEVER "come to life" NO MATTER HOW MUCH "time" elapses! LIFE is MUCH MORE than just hardware, (elements and energy), IT IS DEFINED BY DNA INFORMATION, INFORMATION SO COMPLEX that super computers are STILL analyzing it! And the sad truth is these genomes are only the beginning of finding out just HOW DETAILED. WITHOUT BOTH HARDWARE (elements, energy) and SOFTWARE (intelligence, knowledge, information) you will never have a working machine, you will never get ANYTHING that even remotely resembles LIFE! THE VERY PRESENCE OF BOTH HARDWARE (the physical universe) AND SOFTWARE (information/knowledge/science) REQUIRES ENGINEERING; IS ABSOLUTELY IRREFUTABLE PROOF OF INTELLIGENT DESIGN BY OUR ETERNAL CREATOR!!!!!!!!

When I have pointed out to atheists and evolutionists these clear impossibilities, and how utterly foolish it is to believe this nonsense, they CLAIM they are not teaching these things to students in public primary, secondary educational institutions (coerced indoctrination -

<u>https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/brainwashing/643617432383886</u>) and even at collegiate levels; BUT THEY ARE! <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3HoHmSn5Gns</u> and then they reinforce the public brainwashing in media. <u>https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/propaganda/528691883876442</u> and <u>http://themindunleashed.org/2014/07/ten-popular-mind-control-techniques-used-today.html</u> and <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VllwRgSECcw</u> and as social engineering demoralization expert exposed <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kJKJM36IMTE</u>

Recapping that cosmic evolution as taught today is FALSE and IMPOSSIBLE, chemical evolution as it is taught today is FALSE and IMPOSSIBLE, stellar evolution as it is taught today is FALSE and IMPOSSIBLE, organic evolution as it is taught today is FALSE and IMPOSSIBLE; brings us to the fifth (PROMINENT impossibility; there are innumerable impossibilities atheists and evolutionists have to believe in order to hold to their delusionary worldviews) OVERTLY OBVIOUS IMPOSSIBILITY of macro evolution from a single cell into the billions of more complex species that have ever existed. THERE ARE SO MANY REASONS WHY macro-evolution is IMPOSSIBLE that it's nearly impossible to list them all. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Gjvuwne0Rre BUT AT THE CORE of macro-evolutionary IMPOSSIBILITY is the belief that GREATER COMPLEXITY comes from simplicity, GREATER DETAILED KNOWLEDGE comes from ignorance, and that myriads of diverse multi-celled organisms all "evolved"

from a single celled organism; including our multi-trillion celled selves. (if there is any evidence at all for the modern evolutionary paradigm, it is the remaining pond scum left in the heads of evolutionists and atheists for what should be a brain, because that is how MONUMENTALLY IGNORANT one has to be to espouse this total rubbish and madness! - <u>https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-</u> <u>swenson/evolution-and-atheism-intertwined-religions-of-the-insane/492209810857983</u>) THIS IS WHY THE ORIGINAL MEME cited states the TRUTH that what atheists and evolutionists espouse in the name of science is NOT ONLY IMPOSSIBLE, but if ANY OF IT were remotely plausible, would be no less SUPERNATURAL than what is recorded in the Biblical Account of Creation.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v= LTaPIK7maY&feature=youtu.be

I want people to understand WHY persons like myself take this subject SO SERIOUSLY. We are NOT trying to participate in exercises of intellectual debate! We are NOT trying to insult, denigrate, slander, libel, anyone on earth! We are NOT trying to garner attention for ourselves! WE ARE TRYING TO SAVE LIVES! LITERALLY SAVE LIVES! NOT JUST FREEDOMS, LIBERTIES, AND CRITICAL THOUGHT, BUT LIVES AND EVERLASTING DESTINIES!!!!!!!

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QsIXG6YhnFY

If you are thinking we are making way too big a deal out of debating what is being taught to mass millions of souls on earth; you need to FACE THE FACTS as to WHY persons like Dr. James Kennedy, Dr. Kent Hovind, and the many who have risked their careers and even their lives in standing against this evil ideology of state indoctrination all over the world.

The FACT is evolutionary constructs, as they are forced on innocent children today, played a key role in the holocaust of WWII.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4mxXICZ9mXo&list=PL7F9B57EBDCCEECF8

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=Hitler's%20own%20words%20reveal%20how%20evolution%20was%20responsible%20for%20his% 20views%20and%20the%20holocaust https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=How%20eugenic%20fascism%20in%20America%20helped%20Hitler%20and%20evolution

It is being used in America to impose the SAME kind of atrocities! Events are transpiring that exactly mimic what took place in Nazi Germany before the holocaust began in earnest!

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=how%20America%20helped%20the%20Nazis%20including%20Hitler%20to%20escape

http://www2.gwu.edu/~nsarchiv/NSAEBB/NSAEBB146/

https://www.google.com/search?q=project+paperclip+nazis+in+america&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535 &oq=project+paperclip+nazis+in+america&aqs=chrome..69i57&sourceid=chrome&es_sm=93&ie=UTF-8

CONCURRENTLY WITH THE ASSIMILATION OF NAZI WAR CRIMINALS IN AMERICA AND THE AMERICAS, evolutionary brainwashing began in EARNEST in American public education! (The Nazis weren't defeated, they merely immigrated into our government, where they have usurped authority over the very souls that bled and died to stop them!)

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=photos%20of%20Hitler%20prove%20he%20escaped

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=photos+of+Nazi+war+criminals+that+escaped+to+the+Americas&tbm=vid

https://www.google.com/search?q=nazis+living+in+america&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&oq=nazis+living+in+america&aqs=chrome..69i57&sourceid=chrome&es_sm=93&ie=UTF-8

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=t0bOm5c43js

Nazi human experimentation has been ongoing right here in America as a result.

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chromeinstant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=nazi%20scientists%20in%20america

https://www.google.com/search?q=nazi+human+experimentation+continued+on+in+america&rlz=1C1 GIGM_enUS535US535&oq=nazi+human+experimentation+continued+on+in+america&aqs=chrome..69i 57&sourceid=chrome&es_sm=93&ie=UTF-8

https://www.google.com/search?q=American+atrocities+in+psychiatric+institutions&rlz=1C1GIGM_enU S535US535&oq=American+atrocities+in+psychiatric+institutions&aqs=chrome..69i57&sourceid=chrome &es_sm=93&ie=UTF-8

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_5dSZnbugpc

Eugenic fascism, VERY WICKED AND EVIL PEOPLE ARE TO BLAME for the mass brainwashing in public education and media currently underway against the public, mass millions of innocent children!

https://www.google.com/search?q=how+mass+brainwashing+techniques+were+developed+and+imple mented&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&oq=how+mass+brainwashing+techniques+were+developed+an d+implemented&aqs=chrome..69i57&sourceid=chrome&es_sm=93&ie=UTF-8

https://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=CIA+involvement+in+mass+brainwashing

Sadly, brainwashed victims, often don't realize how they have been deceived and manipulated until it is too late; so they don't even realize what they are doing when they say ridiculous things like why do you use google and youtube for your citations; as if to suggest the Internet as a whole has no reliable

reference material stored globally. I stopped citing constantly specific references because important websites kept disappearing or became "unavailable" like: http://naturalskies.org/references which had scientific and even government documents showing their hand in the HAARP programs, geo-engineering, weather warfare and mass mind control. - https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/crimes-against-humanity-part-1/571080836304213

and https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/crimes-against-humanity-part-

<u>2/681547435257552</u> I use the worldwide database and cite such references so you can SEE for yourselves the INFORMATION and disinformation tactics in play! (intentionally overloading citizens with so much nonsense that it becomes difficult for anyone to identify what is true and reliable and so they typically and predictively resort back to whatever they learned or were told as children and young adults and dismiss even evidence before their very eyes that disproves what they THINK is true. - https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kJKJM36IMTE#t=1054)

"the demoralization process (*mass brainwashing*) in the United States is basically completed already...actually it's over fulfilled...not even comrade Andropov and all his experts would even dream of such a tremendous success! ... thanks to lack of moral standards...

(https://www.google.com/search?q=comrade+andropov&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&oq=comrade+ andropov&aqs=chrome..69i57&sourceid=chrome&es_sm=93&ie=UTF-8) ... as I mentioned before, exposure to true information does not matter anymore. A person who is demoralized (*brainwashed*) is unable to assess true information; the facts tell nothing to him... even if I shower him with information... he will REFUSE to believe it..."

DO YOU UNDERSTAND YET THE SERIOUSNESS OF THE SITUATION? America has factually and provably been infiltrated at the highest levels by ENEMIES who have taken control of our government, our military, our schools, our media, and are setting the stage for another holocaust! concentration camps! the arrests and deaths of dissidents! IT IS ALREADY BEGINNING! My notes expose WHO these enemies of America are and what they are doing and planning! PLEASE BECOME AWARE OF THESE FACTS IMMEDIATELY!

http://www.blastthetrumpet.org/PublicLetters/AAAUpdatedPublicAlertsMattersofLifeandDeath/THE%2 OPLANNED%20DEMORALIZATION%20OF%20AMERICA.pdf

<u>https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/brainwashing/643617432383886</u> - they have been intentionally brainwashing children with the EXACT SAME knowledge and techniques that lead to the holocaust in Germany and the mass millions slain in Russia! (state coerced indoctrination of evolutionary and atheistic constructs mixed with marxist' arrogant disdain for TRUE knowledge (Intelligent Design, our Christian American Heritage; that defeated the satanists, these wicked persons; who for generations, have been intentionally trying to enslave and rule the world)

https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/strategies-of-war/674592165953079

https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/conspiracy-theories-or-plaintruth/662377083841254

https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/the-worlds-most-wanted-criminals-part-3/674607209284908

https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/satanism-fools-who-want-to-burn-foreverrepresent-a-threat-to-themselves-and-all/554580804620883

https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/anti-christ/727644810647814

https://www.facebook.com/FFAF.International/photos/a.392139044163477.91994.390996674277714/ 840248046019239/?type=1&theater - a side note here for people who claim Hitler was a Christian (think about how many people all around you who CLAIM to be "Christians" who are NOTHING like Christ! -

http://www.blastthetrumpet.org/PublicLetters/AAAUpdatedPublicAlertsMattersofLifeandDeath/Are%2 OYou%20A%20Christia1.pdf) https://www.google.com/search?q=hitler+evolution+quotes&rlz=1C1GIG M_enUS535US535&espv=2&biw=1066&bih=702&source=lnms&tbm=isch&sa=X&ei=jQJIVJDZDsmoyASY tlCoBA&ved=0CAYQ_AUoAQ&dpr=1.5 the satanic powers ALL put on a public facade (political double talk, they will say or do anything to garner the favor of the public in order to achieve their secret goals); so they like most all politicians put on a show for the public, while behind the scenes they reveal their TRUE intentions (actions speak louder than words; when you research what Hitler stated publicly against what Hitler stated privately you SEE CLEARLY, he was practicing intentional deception by FRAMING the Messiah (Yahoshuah, aka Jesus Christ) for his evil acts while he slaughtered and imprisoned both Jews and Christians. (he was NOT a Christian; but a satanic deceiver, funded by the same satanic bloodlines STILL inciting wars and deceiving the masses today) -

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chromeinstant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=how%20the%20rothschilds%20funded%20hitler and <u>https://www.google.com/search?q=how+bush+was+connected+to+the+nazis&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS</u> 535US535&oq=how+bush+was+connected+to+the+nazis&aqs=chrome..69i57&sourceid=chrome&es_s <u>m=93&ie=UTF-8</u> Despite all the naysayers (religious supporters of the insanity of Darwinism), Hitler and the Nazi's embraced Darwin's evolutionary nonsense as scientific justification for his atrocities; which included arresting both Jews and Christians:

<u>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/On_the_Origin_of_Species#mediaviewer/File:Origin_of_Species_title_pag</u> <u>e.jpg</u> - notice the full title incites racism at the onset.

http://creation.com/hitlers-master-race-children-haunted-by-their-past

Deceptive historians try to brush off the fact of Darwinism's contribution to racism. <u>https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-</u> <u>instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-</u> <u>8#q=darwin+nazi+eugenics+and+selective+breeding</u> and the fact that key members of Hitler's Nazi party had very powerful and influential rolls in that regard. <u>https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-</u> <u>instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-</u> <u>8#q=darwin+nazi+eugenics+and+selective+breeding+racism</u>

"In his book The Scientific Origins of National Socialism (1971), Daniel Gasman claimed: "Haeckel... was largely responsible for forging the bonds between academic science and racism in Germany in the later decades of the nineteenth century."8In a later book, Gasman urged that Haeckel had virtually begun the work of the Nazis: "For Haeckel, the Jews were the original source of the decadence and morbidity of the modern world and he sought their immediate exclusion from contemporary life and society."9 Gasman's judgment received the imprimatur of Stephen Jay Gould, who concluded in his Ontogeny and Phylogeny (1977): But as Gasman argues, Haeckel's greatest influence was, ultimately, in another tragic direction—National Socialism. His evolutionary racism; his call to the German people for racial purity and unflinching devotion to a "just" state; his belief that harsh, inexorable laws of evolution ruled human civilization and nature alike, conferring upon favored races the right to dominate others; the irrational mysticism that had always stood in strange communion with his grave words about objective science—all contributed to the rise of Nazism.10 Scholars like Gasman, Gould, Peter Bowler, Larry Arnhart—as well as a host of others—attempt to distinguish Haeckel's views from Darwin's, so as to exonerate the latter while sacrificing the former to the presumption of a strong causal connection with

Hitler's anti-Semitism.11 I don't believe this effort to disengage Darwin from Haeckel can be easily accomplished, since on central matters—descent of species, struggle for existence, natural selection,

inheritance of acquire characters, recapitulation theory, progressivism, hierarchy of races—no essential differences between master and disciple exist.12 So if Hitler endorsed Haeckel's evolutionary ideas, he thereby also endorsed Darwin's."

http://home.uchicago.edu/~rjr6/articles/Was%20Hitler%20a%20Darwinian.pdf

<u>https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-</u> <u>instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-</u> <u>8#q=Corrie%20ten%20boom%20hiding%20place</u> - Hitler was a mass murderer, NOT a Christian! He murdered them! Christ tells us all such murderers are devils! <u>http://biblehub.com/john/8-44.htm</u>

THEIR BRAINWASHING (DEMORALIZATION - <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=n4ZxO5I-s4E</u>) TECHNIQUES ARE WHAT IS BRINGING ABOUT SOCIALISTIC AGENDAS, PERVERSE AGENDAS, ANTI-CHRISTIAN AGENDAS IN THE NAME OF LIBERALISM AND "PROGRESS" IN AMERICA! THE BRAINWASHED PUBLIC THEN RECEIVES SCIENTIFICALLY STUDIED MASS MIND CONTROL TECHNIQUES THROUGH MAIN STREAM MEDIA! AND THE LATEST SECRET MILITARY (SATANIC NWO) TECHNOLOGIES!

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chromeinstant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=alan%20watt%20how%20television%20is%20use%20for%20mass%20brainwashing

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chromeinstant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=television%20scientifically%20designed%20for%20mind%20control

https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/propaganda/528691883876442 - LOOK AT THE FACTS! EXAMINE WHO OWNS AND CONTROLS THE "BROADCAST PROGRAMMING" (synonymous with "mass brainwashing").

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VIIwRgSECcw

Look! what they are doing to shape our culture! "Leave it to Beaver", "Andy Griffith", "Father Knows Best", etc. has been replaced with "Modern Family" and other such perverse programming like "How to Get Away With Murder" (not trying to insult anyone, just pointing out that those who currently FUND public programming have a radically different agenda than what America was founded on and what has made America such a great nation!) INTENTIONALLY TO

REINFORCE <u>https://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=hooking+kids+on+sex</u> in forced public indoctrination. HORMONES ARE IN PUBLIC DRINKING WATER! NOT JUST TOXIC

CHEMICALS! https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-

instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-

<u>8#q=HORMONES%20HAVE%20BEEN%20FOUND%20IN%20PUBLIC%20DRINKING%20WATER%20SYSTEM</u>

<u>S</u> It's NO WONDER some kids don't know if they're a boy or girl any longer! Between fluoride (<u>https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-</u>

<u>instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=fluoride%20neurotoxin</u>), hormones and toxins in our water, poisons in our food and practically everything we drink, ingest, put on our skin: <u>https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/depopulation-by-intent-or-</u>

accident/544721958940101 AND EVEN THE AIR WE

BREATHE<u>https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-</u>

instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-

<u>8#q=chemtrails%20haarp%20and%20mind%20control</u>, it's a PURE WONDER that the entire world hasn't been turned into sick and dying, brain-dead persons who can't tell if they are male or female, or human or animal, or right from wrong already! Or has it?

https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/why-care/814117475333880

IF YOU THINK THAT I AM BEING EXTREME IN CALLING FOR THE IMMEDIATE GLOBAL ARRESTS OF ALL INVOLVED AND THE IMMEDIATE CESSATION OF ALL THE LIES BEING TAUGHT CHILDREN IN THE NAME OF SCIENCE THESE DAYS, THEN YOU ARE NOT LEARNING FROM HISTORY AND ARE IN DENIAL THAT AMERICA IS ALREADY TAKING VERY DANGEROUS STEPS TOWARD ANOTHER HOLOCAUST!!!!!!!!

LOOK at what is ALREADY underway! <u>https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-</u> <u>swenson/depopulation-by-intent-or-accident/544721958940101</u> and

<u>https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/strategies-of-war/674592165953079</u> (Besides the brainwashing, poisons in food, air, water, hygiene products, medications, besides the nazis, socialists, in education and government, besides the overt demoralization taking place in schools and media actively destroying our American Christian Heritage and highest laws and liberties thereby, besides enemy infiltration at highest levels of government and within our borders

(https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/what-is-happening-to-

<u>america/573663929379237</u>), besides the latest technologies and military tactics being used against us all to enslave us <u>https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/police-state-prison-big-business-modern-slavery-by-design/619672408111722</u> and all due to the personal evil, greed and corruption at the highest levels selling us all out and oppressing us <u>https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-</u>

<u>swenson/treatise-on-greed-and-corruption/517410181671279</u>) IT IS ABSOLUTELY CLEAR THAT THESE WICKED IDEOLOGIES (fictional evolutionary constructs combined with communism, socialism, fascism, marxism ALL state FORCED INDOCTRINATION) is ALREADY FOLLOWING THE EXACT STEPS THAT LED TO MASS MILLIONS OF DEATHS IN GERMANY, RUSSIA, CHINA (the other members of the UN "security council") PEOPLE! WAKE UP!!!!!!!

<u>https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-</u> instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=the%20homeless%20are%20being%20rounded%20up%20in%20camps - concentration camps have been constructed and are being manned for full operation! <u>https://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=jesse+ventura+fema+camps+</u>

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chromeinstant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=creationists%20intellectual%20educators%20are%20being%20fired%20across%20America - the Nazis and Communists ALSO FIRED and ARRESTED the educators; to replace them with their state sponsored indoctrinators!

https://www.intellihub.com/scientist-terminated-proving-dinosaurs-humans-walked-earth-together/

Dr. Kent Hovind was asked by a naive individual why he was facing off against this evil brainwashing; a young student who simply couldn't comprehend the fact that Christians are already fighting for not just our freedoms but our lives as a result! <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ED32UZT06sc</u> Dr. Hovind is still in prison today! <u>https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-</u>

instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=Kent+Hovind+still+in+prison and http://www.pnj.com/story/news/2014/11/08/dr-dino-facing-new-legal-woes/18730293/ this is overt persecution by satanists wielding their greedy arm of perverted so called justice. The federal reserve and the oppressive arm they control the IRS has imposed tribute to themselves in the form of taxing income, a right not granted or afforded them at all and in fact Americans fought for independence from such overt oppression by the wealthy against the poor. The Constitution as our nation was founded DOES NOT AUTHORIZE these wicked persons in the Federal Reserve and IRS oppressors to operate! It is only by infiltration and acts of treason that these criminal organizations exist at all! And so the satanic families wield their might by oppressing the masses and especially those who expose their criminal activities like Kent Hovind has done. (subjugating the masses by brainwashing them in forced public indoctrination - https://www.facebook.com/notes/michaelswenson/brainwashing/643617432383886), and creating fictitious "laws" that are factually unenforceable under our Constitution, but until Americans find the desire for freedom that our founding fathers had, these wicked people will continue to get away with their evil oppression and arbitrary "justice" that they selectively use to silence any and all opponents in a so called free civilization.

https://www.facebook.com/notes/heather-ritz/scientists-and-professors-who-have-facedostracization-persecution-andor-discrim/758604884184284

http://www.naturalnews.com/046315_dinosaur_fossils_soft_tissue_wrongful_termination.html

PUT THE SHOE ON THE OTHER FOOT! HOW WOULD evolutionists FEEL IF THEY WERE THE ONES LOSING THEIR CAREERS; THAT THEY HAD SPENT ALL THEIR EARNINGS AND LIFE TO DEVELOP SUCH SKILLS FOR, THEIR ABILITY TO EARN A LIVING, THEIR ABILITY TO SURVIVE, AND WERE ACTIVELY BEING PERSECUTED SIMPLY FOR WHAT THEY BELIEVE AS TRUE KNOWLEDGE! LOOK AT HOW MANY EDUCATORS AND SCIENTISTS HAVE LOST THEIR JOBS OVER THIS! IT IS VERY SERIOUS!

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chromeinstant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=SCIENTISTS+ARE+LOSING+THEIR+JOBS+OVER+CREATIONISM - not just educators but other professionals are being fired over this issue!

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chromeinstant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=professionals+fired+in+all+kinds+of+jobs+over+creationism

BUT it is worse than this, Christians are being sued for simply adhering to our beliefs and some are ending up in jail or prison over them ALREADY! (this is exactly how the previous holocausts began)

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chromeinstant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=Preachers%20in%20America%20are%20going%20to%20jail%20because%20of%20liberal%20homos exuals - HOW DID WE GO FROM SODOMY LAWS AND THE RIGHTEOUS MINDSET OF OUR FOUNDING FATHERS, TO HAVING PEOPLE THAT COMPLETELY IGNORE THE HIGHEST LAWS OF OUR LAND? http://sonsoflibertymedia.com/2014/10/founding-fathers-believed-homosexuality/_you guessed it! BRAINWASHING in schools and media! CORRUPTION in the highest levels! <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kJKJM36IMTE#t=1031</u>

https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/americans-and-citizens-of-the-world-freedomand-life-comes-from-god/748905988521696

BUT you STILL say I am taking this too far to say evolutionary brainwashing in public education is to blame for the rise of concentration camps in America; complete with rail cars fitted with shackles and tracks that lead straight to the camps now being manned and operated.

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chromeinstant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=passenger%20cars%20with%20shackles%20in%20America%20lead%20straight%20to%20fema%20 camps

https://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=passenger+cars+with+shackles+in+America+lead+stra ight+to+fema+camps

https://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=fusion+centers+exposed

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chromeinstant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#g=how%20evolution%20led%20to%20the%20holocaust

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chromeinstant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#g=evolution%20and%20communism

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chromeinstant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=evolution+and+atheism+caused+millions+of+deaths+in+Germany%2C+Russia+and+China_- the link between evolutionary thought and state sponsored indoctrination that has led to hundreds of millions of deaths and loss of liberty and human rights globally is PLAIN TO SEE CURRENTLY AND IN HISTORY!

But WHEN IT IS FOLLOWING THE EXACT SAME PROCEDURES HERE IN AMERICA and people are STILL thinking all of us who are sounding the alarm are nothing more than "conspiracy theorists" or that we are making too big an issue out of this; by telling you all to arm yourselves immediately, SUE ANY AND EVERY TIME the state or anyone steps on your liberties, and FIGHT BACK against these most wicked and SCIENTIFICALLY INSUPPORTABLE worldviews BY UNITING EVERYWHERE AND DEMANDING THIS EVIL crap BE REMOVED IMMEDIATELY FROM PUBLIC EDUCATION, DEMAND THOSE WHO HAVE BEEN FIRED OVER THIS ISSUE BE COMPESATED AND DEMAND THOSE WHO HAVE BEEN INCARCERATED BECAUSE OF THESE EVIL IDEOLOGIES, BE RELEASED IMMEDIATELY! (turning your eyes away from what is happening or remaining silent is EXACTLY what allowed mass millions of innocent persons to be slaughtered ALL OVER THE WORLD!)

So understand WHY we are standing boldly against this great wickedness and deception! WE SEE CLEARLY IT IS A MATTER OF NOT JUST FREEDOM, BUT LIFE AND DEATH! PLEASE TAKE THE TIME TO VIEW THE EVIDENCE! evolutionary theory as it is forced upon the masses today does NOT qualify as science! IT DOESN'T EVEN QUALIFY AS SCIENCE FICTION! AND IT IS PROVABLY VERY DANGEROUS, VERY EVIL, AND VERY DESTRUCTIVE!

I call for the immediate release of the Brave American Patriot and Defender of Truth, Dr. Kent Hovind IMMEDIATELY (and all like him), and I call for the arrests of all those involved in his unjust persecution!!! IT IS HIGHLY IMPROPER OF THE STATE or ANY "officials" to use their conspiratorial powers in order to single citizens out for expressing their righteous and scientifically supported beliefs; against their now vast set of laws that are so large and numerous that virtually EVERYONE has violated something in them (ENTIRE WAREHOUSES OF OPPRESSIVE AND UNJUST LAWS HAVE BEEN CREATED BY THE WICKED AND CORRUPT, BRIBE TAKING POLITICIANS; SO THEY CAN LOCK UP ANYONE AT ANY TIME; BECAUSE NO ONE CAN EVEN READ THEM ALL; LET ALONE KEEP EVERY PARTICULAR!)

Evolutionists and the resulting atheists from the forced indoctrination of evolutionary constructs in public education laugh at, and sneer in derision at Creationists, claiming that Creationists do not base their worldview on evidence or reason; while they do. (They imagine themselves to be the intelligent ones; while they simultaneously imagine Creationists to be stupid, dumb, ignorant people who only have come to their conclusions by blind faith). Let's examine the FACTS in that regard.

When I say brainwashing is evident in these people clearly by what they say. Things like "but we observe evolution every day!" That connotation of "evolution" that they are talking about has been distilled down to "change over time". THE ENTIRE UNIVERSE IS IN MOTION! SO OF COURSE IT IS ALL CHANGING! (with the exception of the Character of our Eternal Creator) EVERYTHING WE OBSERVE IS UNIQUE! - it's ALL different!; so to say because everything is unique and moving; thereby changing; and to then take that observation and use it as the definition to claim a bacteria morphed into billions of species; including our multi-trillion celled selves is NOT scientific; but PURE IMAGINATION. So you ask them for examples, there are none but they will say bacteria! bacteria have become resistant in recent history! And they go on to say things like "we have observed viruses that ADD beneficial DNA information to bacteria that make them MORE complex than they were before." Ask them, "who is 'we'?" Who do you know owns and has access to electron microscopes and biological microscopes powerful enough to OBSERVE "viruses" and OBSERVE them SO CLOSELY AND THOROUGHLY that they can SEE the virus imparting the DNA that supposedly wasn't in the bacteria previously? This is what I mean by "brainwashed". They idolize "science" and "scientists" SO MUCH that they will REPEAT ANYTHING THEY ARE TOLD; AND EVEN CLAIM THEY SAW IT THEMSELVES by using the all inclusive "we observed"; when they did NOTHING OF THE KIND! (Are LIARS, or self-deluded, or deceived persons). But they pull that rubbish out of their wild imaginations DESPERATELY to avoid the TRUTH of Intelligent Design. Let's say that they actually have documented a DNA strand that wasn't DAMAGED by a virus, (every virus the rest of us on planet earth have encountered are BAD NEWS, make us sick, destroy working computers, etc. BUT THESE PEOPLE want ALL THE REST OF US TO TAKE THEIR WORD THAT THEY ACTUALLY SAW a virus changing DNA (the very defining information and NOT only changing it, but actually changed it into MORE working and competent COMPLEXITY). Ask yourselves how many of you think all these people saying they have observed this are telling the truth? We all have access to the worldwide database and I have seen NO EVIDENCE WHATSOEVER proving this nonsense. Furthermore, then ask yourselves, that were this actually true, WHICH IS MORE REASONABLE TO BELIEVE? that viruses causing death and illnesses to organisms ubiquitously have suddenly become the cause of beneficial added information in DNA sequencing, OR that they are only DESPERATELY CLAIMING SUCH DUE TO A COMPLETE AND TOTAL LACK OF OBSERVABLE EVIDENCE for Darwinism that they try in vain to support with ridiculous claims like this. Which is more likely? that in an era of biological engineering and genetic modification, we are seeing resistant strains, because the powers that be have been intentionally creating biological weapons OR that previously eradicated diseases have suddenly done what they never did in the past? LOOK AT THE ACTUAL EVIDENCE - biological warfare by eugenic fascists against the masses AS THEY HAVE PATENTED THEIR DESIGNS!! -

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chromeinstant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=patent%20of%20ebola

http://www.google.com/patents/US4647773

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chromeinstant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=modern%20biological%20weapons

With the advent of DNA sequencing and genetic modification on top of proven depopulation agendas, suddenly finding disease resistant strains is NOT grounds to support the nonsense of evolution, but grounds to convict the criminals attacking the general population on earth! -

<u>https://www.google.com/search?q=Depopulation&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&oq=Depopulation&a</u> <u>qs=chrome..69i57j69i60j69i65&sourceid=chrome&es_sm=0&ie=UTF-8</u> - of course the propagandists are going to deny what they are doing BECAUSE IT IS OPEN GENOCIDE AGAINST HUMANITY; but LOOK AT THE OVERWHELMING EVIDENCE! - https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-

<u>swenson/depopulation-by-intent-or-accident/544721958940101</u> (or would you rather watch yourself and the world die off while you desperately cling to your delusionary theories of fictional evolutionary constructs) VARIATIONS WITHIN canines, felines, bovines, etc. occurs; yes, even in bacteria. Our Creator equipped living organisms with defense mechanisms to fight off foreign invaders, including harmful viruses! In humans we have identifier cells among our leukocytes and killer cells, so we actually have DNA programming that is SO SMART it is provably SELF-LEARNING, to ward off diseases and is the real reason for the massive rise in food allergies in recent history. (Our immune system is rejecting all the GMO food products in our stores now - evidence shows those allergies virtually instantaneously disappear when eating the food our Creator designed! -

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-

instant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-

8#q=food%20allergies%20went%20away%20as%20soon%20as%20we%20stopped%20eating%20GMOs So when you can see the EVIL people have poisoned our food, genetically modified our food, patented diseases in order to create biological weapons of mass death and destruction, poisoning our water, air and hygiene products, inoculations and medications and STILL prefer to believe in your ridiculous evolutionary constructs of thinking the universe came from nothing and elements, energy and mass magically appeared without one shred of rational explanation, and life came from nonlife when it has been proven by the world's leading scientists to be IMPOSSIBLE:

Fred Hoyle and his colleague Chandra Wickramasinghe calculated the odds that all the functional proteins necessary for life might form in one place by random events. They came up with one chance in 10 to the 40,000th power (that's 1 with 40,000 zeros after it). Since there are only about 10 to the 80th power subatomic particles in the entire visible universe, the physicists concluded that this was "an outrageously small probability that could not be faced even if the whole universe consisted of organic soup."—SHOW ME GOD, by Fred Heeren.

(more quotes from the research of scientists, nobel prize winning scientists, widely considered as the most intelligent and powerful persons in history, discoverers of the longest lasting scientific laws, the very founders of the modern fields of scientific disciplines! : <u>https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/fathers-of-the-sciences-and-great-scientific-minds-in-their-own-words/567609163318047</u>)

BUT even if microscopic bacteria also have a programmed defense mechanism to survive foreign invaders, toxins, etc. and thereby develop stronger immunities against such attack on those living organisms; THAT WOULD ONLY BE CONSISTENT with Intelligent Design NOT EVOLUTION! Consider the fact that our Creator warned us when we depart from Him and His Instruction then one of the curses would be that diseases would be multiplied upon us (even if the cause is genetic modification, biological weapons, the prophecy is fulfilled BECAUSE GOD merely states such things would come to pass! In other words, when people depart from Him they become so wicked and evil that they conceive of methods of genocides) So the presence of disease death and destruction proportionately increasing in America and the world correlates with His warning; NOT evolution! (people say I am making a mistake between cause and correlation because as I have pointed out they have already been brainwashed into a defense mechanism preventing them from acknowledging what is true.) WHEN THE ABUNDANCE OF FACTS SUPPORT THE BIBLICAL ACCOUNT and not the fictional paradigm of evolutionary imaginations OVERWHELMINGLY; then it is not I who am in error; it is those who look at the universe and all that is happening in the world and can even SEE verse by verse explanation for it all and STILL not recognize the Cause. (they are factually brainwashed) READ ON TO SEE THE LISTS OF EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE THAT SUPPORTS THE BIBLICAL ACCOUNT!

http://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/the-sufferings-of-christ-a-treatise-on-repentanceand-forgiveness/556880667724230

When you IGNORE REALITY AND THE MOST APPARENT AND REASONABLE EXPLANATIONS FOR WHAT WE OBSERVE, then the overwhelming EVIDENCE is that you are BRAINWASHED, delusionary, deceived, INCAPABLE of facing REALITY! (The evil brainwashers have succeeded in eliminating your ability to discern what is true or not; they have destroyed your critical thinking skills; just like the experts plainly state: <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kJKJM36IMTE#t=1098</u> They have INTENTIONALLY placed their professors in public education institutions and filed suits with corrupt judges to remove the Holy Bible and even the mention of God from public education in order to accomplish this; as I have already stated above and as history records.

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chromeinstant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=the%20rewriting%20of%20american%20history

https://shop.wallbuilders.com/the-american-heritage-series-10-dvd-boxed-set - (worth every penny)

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chromeinstant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=The%20ACLU%20link%20to%20communism

http://www.blastthetrumpet.org/PublicLetters/AAAUpdatedPublicAlertsMattersofLifeandDeath/THE%2 OPLANNED%20DEMORALIZATION%20OF%20AMERICA.pdf

https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/brainwashing/643617432383886

https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chromeinstant&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=stages%20of%20demoralization

Nothing about darwinian evolutionary theory or the entire evolutionary popular constructs from the origin of our universe to all their irrational explanations along the way; as are being forced upon mass millions today, are scientific in any way; other than showing how effective mass brainwashing is upon developing minds.

The current fictional paradigm ("from goo to you by way of the zoo" -

<u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2v5nAYU2GD0</u>); forced upon innocent children in the name of science universally contains scientifically insupportable information. In other words, from the foundation of evolutionary constructs; throughout all the published lies, deceptions and nonsense, science shows plainly that it is all pure fiction.

Science is not just the ways we pursue knowledge, it is knowledge. The Scientific Principle describes the processes or methods whereby knowledge is acquired. That body of knowledge, by the agreement of

many, has become the many fields of science today. So Science is Observable, Testable, and Repeatable; ideally, but should AT LEAST meet the OBSERVABLE criterion. Is the evolutionary teachings, the popular paradigm forced on mass millions of innocent children today; of cosmology, the origin of our universe, observable? Is it testable? Is it Repeatable? NO! NO! NO! It is therefore NOT science or scientific in any way! (Absolutely should NOT be taught as such!) How about chemical evolution as it is forced on children today? Do you know of ANYONE who has rationally explained where energy came from, how the variations of energy and matter came into existence, or has taken quarks and formed the entire list of elements in the periodic table? No? I do; our CREATOR, but not one "scientist" or the entire sum of them in all recorded history has! Therefore, WHAT IS BEING TAUGHT in the Name of Science to mass millions of innocent children regarding the origin of our universe (the explanation for what we do observe) does NOT qualify as science; NOR does the very next stage in the evolutionary constructs pass the test of "observable, testable, repeatable" in order to acquire knowledge. In other words, the first two stages of evolutionary theory both in cosmology and in any attempt to explain even the existence of elements as is widely taught DOES NOT fall within the definition of science!

Moving on to stellar evolution. I meet people online these days who CLAIM to have observed the "formation of stars", the "birth of stars", even the formation of whole galaxies! (https://herschel.jpl.nasa.gov/stars.shtml) We now have access via the Internet to the largest database of information in the history of the world and yet, NOT ONE video or even time lapse series has been posted SHOWING such formation of stars and galaxies (I'm NOT talking about animations or unsubstantiated claims, I'm specifically looking for the ACTUAL PROOF that they have FACTUALLY OBSERVED stars and galaxies forming; that so many CLAIM! Even though I am fully aware of the brainwashing techniques being used on these people through public education and media, I am still shocked at how many of these young people make actual statements like "we have observed" when they observed NOTHING OF THE KIND but are regurgitating something they were taught; without question! (i.e. cosmic evolution as it is taught today does NOT meet the criterion of "science" or the scientific principle; nor does chemical evolution as it is taught today, and neither, DESPITE ALL THE HYPE OF THOSE WHO ADAMANTLY INSIST OTHERWISE, has anyone ever actually observed the formation of a star, stars, let alone one or more whole galaxies (except our Creator and to whomever He has personally shown such things to). So far the premises, constructs, and conclusions of evolutionary theory in public indoctrination institutions today are clearly teaching FICTION, pure imaginations, in the name of science!

continued on <u>https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/the-modern-evolutionary-paradigm-is-not-science-it-isnt-even-good-science-fictio/747466865332275</u>

<u>Like</u>

Like

Love

Haha

Wow

Sad

Angry

CommentShare

12Anu Nibiru, Eric Carncross and 10 others

115 comments

16 shares

Comments



Michael Swenson https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=955046031176540...

November 23, 2014 at 5:38am · Like



Michael Swenson https://www.facebook.com/video.php?v=10153406368494899



What Are Some Good Questions to Ask an Evolutionist?

<u>04:41</u>

51,424 Views

Answers in Genesis

November 25, 2014 ·


What Are Some Good Questions to Ask an Evolutionist?

November 25, 2014 at 3:25am · Like · 2 · Remove Preview



Michael

Swenson https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=10154793722115234&set=gm.50043928343024 1&type=1&theater



Luke Lefebvre to Human evolution is a FAIRY TALE STORY!

November 24, 2014 ·

Here's a good little study you can have that your youth group the next time we meet. We find carbon-14 that supposed to be only capable of surviving 50,000 years in the coal mine's that are supposedly 250 to 300,000,000 years. During the Carboniferous era. How is that even remotely possible.

November 25, 2014 at 7:19am · Like · 1 · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson http://creation.com/phoenix-galaxy-stars-explode-stellar...



Phoenix Galaxy stars explode stellar evolution theory - creation.com

CREATION.COM

December 4, 2014 at 7:44pm · Like · 1 · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pS5j3XccmUM



Atheist professor destroys evolution

Dr. Richard Lumsden - professor of parasitology and cell biology at Tulane University in Louisiana. Served as Dean...

YOUTUBE.COM

December 4, 2014 at 8:07pm · Like · 2 · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Z6EIA0--JNg



David Berlinski Explains Problems With Evolution.

David Berlinski Explains Problems With Evolution. Right belong to Coldwater Media.

YOUTUBE.COM

December 4, 2014 at 8:08pm · Like · 2 · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=a2RZzyFTTXo



Another Evolutionary Biologist Rejects The Bogus Theory of Evolution

YOUTUBE.COM

December 4, 2014 at 8:10pm · Like · 2 · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9OIrubRBg3Q



Explosive Geological Evidence For Creation: Mt. St. Helens

YOUTUBE.COM

December 4, 2014 at 8:11pm · Like · 2 · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0XIDykeZplU



Dr. David Berlinski: The Devil's Delusion: Atheism and Its Scientific Pretensions


YOUTUBE.COM

December 4, 2014 at 8:12pm · Like · 2 · Remove Preview



Michael

Swensonhttps://www.facebook.com/WHYOutreach/photos/a.651187234910638.1073741829.2101881 72343882/933666296662729/?type=1&theater



WHY?Outreach

December 7, 2014 ·

"There's a joke floating around that goes something like this: "Scientists say that most people will believe something if you begin a statement with, 'Scientists say'". There's often truth in humor, and I think many people see the truth in it. Sure, scientists do quite a bit of good. But they are not infallible. And some do not get a voice when they disagree with the consensus... ..Now, the problem is amplified because sometimes scientists are the victims of their own press. A sensational story sells newspapers and magazines. Some people find it exciting to read about the "missing link" to our so-called evolutionary past. (It's interesting how many fakes, frauds, outdated items and more remain in textbooks for years after they've been disproved or rejected.) Unfortunately, too many announcements are accepted without question. Worse, bad science is spread around by Darwinoids who wish to discredit creationists — who often know more about the science than the evolutionists.


In the image at the top, two items were used in the greatly-misrepresented and badly-conducted Scopes trial: Nebraska Man and Piltdown Man (although, apparently, not as "star witnesses"). First, Nebraska Man. An entire mural was made up of Nebraska "Cornhusker" Man, Mrs. Nebraska Man, critters they lived with, the landscape they lived in, and more. The whole thing was constructed from a single tooth. Were people that gullible, and interested in what "scientists say", that they wouldn't ask for more evidence? (Some scientists did reject it, to their credit.) Later, the tooth turned out to be that of an extinct pig!"

Learn the rest here: <u>http://www.piltdownsuperman.com/.../scientism-and-blind-accep...</u>

December 11, 2014 at 1:04am · Like · 2 · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson https://answersingenesis.org/.../darwin-taught-male.../...



Darwin Taught Male Superiority


According to Darwinian theory, women were less evolved than men, and because of their smaller brains, they were "eternally primitive," childlike,...

ANSWERSINGENESIS.ORG

December 30, 2014 at 3:40am · Like · 2 · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KFWdMf5ALnU



Creation is a Scientific Fact


Everything that begins to exist has a cause. The universe began to exist. Therefore the universe has a cause. Why...

YOUTUBE.COM

January 11, 2015 at 2:43pm · Like · 1 · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=10155031210980234&set=gm.52766055737478 0&type=1&theater



Luke Lefebvre to Human evolution is a FAIRY TALE STORY!


January 18, 2015 ·

You got a love it when an atheist or an evolutionists or a combination of both try to show your textbook allies. The formations and where you find dinosaurs is not always the same all over the world. Dinosaurs not only are found in the different formations but they're found right on top of this planet. Don't be deceived by text book a lies

January 18, 2015 at 7:53am · Like · Remove Preview



<u>Michael</u> <u>Swenson</u> https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=10155043947850234&set=p.1015504394785023 <u>4&type=1&theater</u>




January 21, 2015 at 5:51pm · Like · Remove Preview



Michael

Swensonhttps://www.facebook.com/Piltdown.Superman/photos/a.216028348491713.48854.21360616 2067265/782030445224831/?type=1&theater



The Question Evolution ProjectLike Page

January 24, 2015 ·

Evolutionary and atheistic worldviews cannot account for logic and science. The biblical worldview can, however. When Darwin and others try to disprove God, creation, and so forth, they are actually relying on the truth of the Bible to do so!

Image first used here: <u>http://www.piltdownsuperman.com/.../charles-darwin-creationis...</u>

January 26, 2015 at 12:27am · Like · 2 · Remove Preview



<u>Michael Swenson http://www.silverweapon.com/atheismdestroyed.html</u> - there is no knowledge without a Thinker, no word without a Speaker, no student without a Teacher, no deed without a Doer, no law without a Lawgiver; no structure without a Builder, no breath without a Breather and no life/creation without a Creator.



The Silver Bullet That Killed Evolution.

SILVERWEAPON.COM

January 29, 2015 at 3:38am · Like · 2 · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson https://www.facebook.com/video.php?v=755825727818824



<u>05:53</u>

27,087,369 Views

Sex Panther with Kelvis Leonardo Briceño Briceño and 16 others. Like Page

December 1, 2014 ·

Watch this man manipulate fire, water, and electricity with the power of sound... via Nigel Stanford

January 30, 2015 at 1:29pm · Like · 1 · Remove Preview



Michael

Swenson https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=938829919468956&set=gm.533933210080848& type=1&theater

The Amazing Evo Parrot



(No. Disbelief in evolution is disbelief in evolution. It's also disbelief in a magical nature fairy) If you consistently use these tired arguments, then

If you consistently use these tired arguments, then you are an evo parrot that is devoid of free thought. Get out of the house and visit a zoo, you evo twit.

January 31, 2015 at 1:31am · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson https://www.facebook.com/.../scientists.../758604884184284

February 2, 2015 at 7:52am · Like · 1



Michael Swenson per Heather Ritz

"Evolutionary theory teaches the ancestors of gorillas interbred with the ancestors of humans and chimps, and human ancestors and chimpanzee ancestors interbred, with humans descending from the

hybrid population. The Bible clearly forbids humans interbreeding with animals, saying it's a perversion [distortion or corruption of the original course, meaning, or state of something]. How do Theistic evolutionists reconcile this?

The ancestors of gorillas supposedly interbred with the ancestors of humans and chimps:<u>http://www.newscientist.com/.../bonobo-genome-hints-at...</u> Chimpanzee and human ancestors supposedly interbred: <u>http://www.nature.com/.../060515/full/news060515-10.html</u> "Neither shalt thou lie with any beast to defile thyself therewith: neither shall any woman stand before a beast to lie down thereto: it is confusion." ~ Leviticus 18.23 (KJV)

The word "confusion" [Hebrew word: tebel], means perversion, unnatural bestiality; the violation of nature, or the divine order. The word "perversion", means distortion or corruption of the original course, meaning, or state of something, sexual behaviour that is considered abnormal and unacceptable.

Some other translations: "And you shall not lie with any animal and so make yourself unclean with it, neither shall any woman give herself to an animal to lie with it: it is perversion." ~ Leviticus 18.23 (ESV) "Don't have sex with an animal and violate yourself by it. A woman must not have sex with an animal. That is perverse." ~ Leviticus 18.23 (MSG) " Also you shall not have intercourse with any animal to be defiled with it, nor shall any woman stand before an animal to [a]mate with it; it is a perversion." ~ Leviticus 18.23 (NASB) "'Do not have sexual relations with an animal and defile yourself with it. A woman must not present herself to an animal to have sexual relations with it; that is a perversion." ~ Leviticus 18.23 (NIV) "Nor shall you mate with any animal, to defile yourself with it. Nor shall any woman stand before an animal, to defile yourself with it. Nor shall any woman stand before an animal, to defile yourself with it. Nor shall any woman stand before an animal to have sexual relations with it. Nor shall any woman stand before an animal to have sexual relations with it. Nor shall any woman stand before an animal to have sexual relations with it. Nor shall any woman stand before an animal to defile yourself with it. Nor shall any woman stand before an animal to mate with it. It is perversion." ~ Leviticus 18.23 (NKJV)"



Short Sharp Science: Bonobo genome reveals more promiscuity in human past

NEWSCIENTIST.COM

February 3, 2015 at 1:04pm · Like · 1 · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=W8YVEoFDIew



Solid Evidence that the Bible is True

Original article: <u>http://www.eternalanswers.org/is-the-bible-true/</u> List of fulfilled prophesy: <u>http://www.reasons.org/article...</u>


YOUTUBE.COM

February 6, 2015 at 12:39pm · Like · 1 · Remove Preview



Michael

<u>Swenson</u> https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=754583437919762&set=a.345811072130336.86 973.100001043398117&type=1



Heather Ritz

<u>April 27, 2014</u> ·

Human chromosomes are not the same as chimpanzee chromosomes, they are only similar, therefore they aren't evidence that they were passed down through inheritance. There are differences in the chromosomal banding patterns and the number of chromosomes, Humans have 46 chromosomes whereas Chimpanzees have 48.

The supposedly "fusion" isn't evidence that we share a common ancestor with the chimpanzee. If it is a fusion, all it would show is that at some point humans experienced a chromosomal fusion event, and wouldn't be evidence of whether we lead back to a common ancestor with chimpanzees, nor would it be evidence that the earliest humans were more ape-like.

Interestingly, Denisovans share the same 46 chromosome set that we have. http://www.nature.com/.../new-dna-analysis-shows-ancient-huma...

If we had 48 we would still be completely different from chimpanzees. There are people who have fused chromosomes now, with 44 chromosomes instead of the usual 46, yet they are still human and are no different than those who don't.

"Most Robertsonian translocation carriers are healthy and have a normal lifespan, but do have an increased risk of pregnancy loss and children with trisomies." (Case Report: Potential Speciation in Humans Involving Robertsonian Translocations, Biomedical Research; 24 (1): 171-174, November 29 2012)

http://biomedres.info/.../as.../docs/171-174-Bo Wang.1584046.pdf

However. "Because of this, Robertsonian translocation carriers do have an increased risk of pregnancy loss. While a few babies with trisomy 13 or 21 will survive, those with trisomy 14, 15, or 22 usually miscarry in the first twelve weeks." (from above link)

Unbalanced robertsonian translocation can cause patau syndrome and down syndrome. Most people with Robertsonian translocations have only 45 chromosomes in each of their cells, yet all essential genetic material is present, and they appear normal. Their children, however, may either be normal and carry the fusion chromosome (depending which chromosome is represented in the gamete), or they may inherit a missing or extra long arm of an acrocentric chromosome.

"A balanced Robertsonian translocation only matters when a couple tries for a baby." And, there are different possible outcomes:

> Pregnancy loss or failure to establish a pregnancy [which prevents it taking hold in a population].

> A baby will be born with a chromosome disorder.

> The baby does not inherit the fused chromosome and has a normal make-up of 46 separate chromosomes [which prevents it taking hold in a population].

>The baby inherits the fused chromosome but, like their carrier parent, is a carrier but is expected to

grow and develop normally [but they can also experience problems when they try to reproduce, e.g. infertility, spontaneous abortions, chromosomally unbalanced offspring, etc]. <u>http://www.rarechromo.org/.../robertsonian%20translocations%2...</u>

"Robertsonian translocation carriers are at increased risk for infertility, spontaneous abortions, or chromosomally unbalanced offspring. Reproductive counseling of these carriers is challenging. We performed a retrospective analysis of all prenatal diagnoses from Robertsonian translocation carriers during the time period January 1, 1992 through December 31, 2007. Data on the carriers and the results of their prenatal analyses were retrieved as well as data on their previous pregnancies. We identified 28 female and 20 male carriers of Robertsonian translocations and results on 79 prenatal samples were obtained. Among female carriers, 10.3% of chorionic villus sampling and 5.9% of amniocentesis results were unbalanced, whereas for male carriers, this was 3.6% and 0%, respectively. When considering all pregnancies involving carriers, 52.7% of those to female carriers and 61.8% of those to male carriers led to the birth of a healthy child. Male carriers in whom the translocation was ascertained because of infertility or recurrent miscarriages appear to be at higher risk, whereas carriers in whom ascertainment was because of a family history are at lower risk. We conclude that pregnancies of Robertsonian translocation carriers of Robertsonian translocation carriers in whom ascertainment was because of a data discussed." (Pregnancy outcome in carriers of Robertsonian translocations, 2011 Oct) http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21910218

"Since loss of a large amount of chromosomal material is almost always detrimental, we need an event that reduces chromosome number without losing information. One way for this to happen is for two chromosomes to fuse together and become one. Initially, this event would produce an individual with 47 chromosomes, where two different chromosomes get stuck together. Contrary to what is often assumed, this individual would be fertile and able to interbreed with the others in his or her population (who continue to have 48 chromosomes). In a small population, over time, two relatives who both have one copy of the fusion chromosome may mate and produce some progeny with two copies of the fused chromosome, or the first individuals with 46 chromosomes. Since either a 48-pair set or a 46-pair set is preferable for ease of cell division, this population will either eventually get rid of the fusion variant (the most likely outcome), or by chance will switch over completely to the "new" form, with everyone bearing 46 chromosome pairs. While not overly likely [there's no example in the human population, however], this type of event is not especially rare in mammals, and we have observed this sort of thing happening within recorded human history in other species. Some mammalian species even maintain distinct populations in the wild with differing chromosome numbers due to fusions, and these populations retain the ability to interbreed." (Denisovans, Humans and the Chromosome 2 Fusion, September 06, 2012)

http://biologos.org/.../denisovans-humans-and-the-chromosome-...

- - -

TRF2 seems to protect Human telomeres from end-to-end Fusions. "The mechanism by which telomeres prevent end-to-end fusion has remained elusive. Here, we show that the human telomeric protein TRF2 plays a key role in the protective activity of telomeres. ...Molecular analysis suggested that the fusions represented ligation of telomeres that have lost their single-stranded G-tails. Therefore, TRF2 may

protect chromosome ends by maintaining the correct structure at telomere termini. ...The results raise the possibility that chromosome end fusions and senescence in primary human cells may be caused by loss by TRF2 from shortened telomeres." (TRF2 Protects Human Telomeres from End-to-End Fusions, Volume 92, Issue 3, 6 February 1998)

http://www.sciencedirect.com/.../article/pii/S0092867400809320

"The loss of telomere function can result in telomeric fusion events that lead to the types of genomic rearrangements, such as nonreciprocal translocations, that typify early-stage carcinogenesis [the initiation of cancer formation]. ... Telomere fusion was accompanied by the deletion of one or both telomeres extending several kilobases into the telomere-adjacent DNA, and microhomology was observed at the fusion points. This contrasted with telomere fusion that was observed following the experimental disruption of TRF2. The distinct error-prone mutational profile of fusion between critically shortened telomeres in human cells was reminiscent of Ku-independent microhomology-mediated endjoining. ... The end-capping function of human telomeres is mediated by TRF2 and associated proteins (van Steensel et al. 1998). Inhibition of TRF2 function results in telomere fusion events that are dependent on factors involved in nonhomologous end-joining (NHEJ) (Smogorzewska et al. 2002; Celli and de Lange 2005). These types of fusion usually contain many kilobases of telomeric repeat DNA (van Steensel et al. 1998) and result from the covalent linkage of the G-strand of one chromosome end to the C-strand of the other (Smogorzewska et al. 2002). These data are consistent with a role of TRF2 in preventing aberrant fusion of functional telomeres by NHEJ. However, in contrast to TRF2-deficient cells, telomere fusion has been observed between short telomeres in the absence of NHEJ components (Baumann and Cech 2000; Heacock et al. 2004; Maser et al. 2007). Thus, the mechanistic basis of fusion between critically shortened telomeres in human cells is still unclear." (The nature of telomere fusion and a definition of the critical telomere length in human cells, 2007 Oct 1; 21(19): 2495–2508) http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1993879/

"Nonhomologous [chromosomes that are not members of the same pair] end-joining (NHEJ) inhibition at telomeres ensures that native chromosome ends do not fuse together. But the occurrence and consequences of rare telomere fusions are not well understood. ... One function of telomeres in eukaryotes is to prevent fusions by the nonhomologous end-joining (NHEJ) double-strand break repair pathway (Riha et al. 2006). Telomere fusions between chromosomes lead to dicentric [having two centromeres] chromosomes, whose expected detrimental consequences are observed in several experimental situations. For instance, TRF2 inhibition in human cells results in anaphase bridges (van Steensel et al. 1998). In mice, liver cells with extensive telomere fusions induced by TRF2 loss fail to proceed normally through anaphase (Denchi et al. 2006). In fission yeast lacking Taz1 and in budding yeast with a conditional Rap1 mutant, telomere fusions cause some cell death (Ferreira and Cooper 2001; Pardo and Marcand 2005). Most commonly studied dicentrics are created by double-strand breakinduced rearrangements and were first described by Barbara McClintock in the 1940s (McClintock 1941, 1942). They form anaphase bridges that break somewhere between the two centromeres, causing further rearrangements and genome instability, which eventually lead to cell death (Bajer 1964; Mann and Davis 1983; Haber et al. 1984; Surosky and Tye 1985; Koshland et al. 1987; Hill and Bloom 1989; Kramer et al. 1994; Jannink et al. 1996; Lo et al. 2002; Han et al. 2009; Paek et al. 2009; Pennaneach and Kolodner 2009). The mechanism of dicentric breakage is unknown. ...we analyzed dicentric breakage following centromere reactivation. Unexpectedly, dicentrics often break at the telomere fusions."

(Dicentric breakage at telomere fusions, 2010) http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/24/7/720.full

They claim, "Telomere fusions between chromosomes lead to dicentric [a telomeric fusion results in a dicentric chromosome, that is, a chromosome with two centromeres] chromosomes, whose expected detrimental consequences are observed in several experimental situations. For instance, TRF2 inhibition in human cells results in anaphase bridges (van Steensel et al. 1998). In mice, liver cells with extensive telomere fusions induced by TRF2 loss fail to proceed normally through anaphase (Denchi et al. 2006). In fission yeast lacking Taz1 and in budding yeast with a conditional Rap1 mutant, telomere fusions cause some cell death (Ferreira and Cooper 2001; Pardo and Marcand 2005). Most commonly studied dicentrics are created by double-strand break-induced rearrangements and were first described by Barbara McClintock in the 1940s (McClintock 1941, 1942). They form anaphase bridges that break somewhere between the two centromeres, causing further rearrangements and genome instability, which eventually lead to cell death (Bajer 1964; Mann and Davis 1983; Haber et al. 1984; Surosky and Tye 1985; Koshland et al. 1987; Hill and Bloom 1989; Kramer et al. 1994; Jannink et al. 1996; Lo et al. 2002; Han et al. 2009; Paek et al. 2009; Pennaneach and Kolodner 2009). The mechanism of dicentric breakage is unknown." (Dicentric breakage at telomere fusions, 2010)

http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/24/7/720.full

They then claim "telomere fusions that occur spontaneously in the germline can sometimes get stabilized," and use chromosome 2 as an example ??!

http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/24/7/720.full

"A dicentric chromosome is an abnormal chromosome with two centromeres. It is formed through the fusion of two chromosome segments, each with a centromere, resulting in the loss of acentric fragments (lacking a centromere) and the formation of dicentric fragments.[1] The formation of dicentric chromosomes has been attributed to genetic processes, such as Robertsonian translocation[1] and paracentric inversion.[2]

...When telomeres of chromosomes shorten with continued cell divisions, the chromosome ends may also fuse, forming dicentric chromosomes.[6] This is considered "crisis", a type of cell cycle arrest, and most cells in this state suffer apoptosis.[6] The resulting dicentric chromosomes are highly unstable, giving rise to chromosomal translocations, deletions and amplications, such as the Robertsonian translocation. This results in broken, deleted gene products of dicentric fragments.[1]

...The existence of dicentric chromosomes has clinically relevant consequences for individuals, which may live with intellectual, neurological and physical disabilities.

...Dicentric chromosomes may lead to pseudodicentric mutations, in which one of the centromeres on the chromosome becomes inactivated.[1] This can have severe clinical consequences for patients, as observed in severe progressive neurological and intellectual disorders[11] such as Kabuki syndrome, a pediatric congenital disorder.[3] Kabuki syndrome is one of the most widely studied syndromes that involve the pseudodicentric chromosome.[3] Other characterized syndromes have also been linked to pseudodicentric chromosomes, such as Edwards syndrome,[12] a trisomy of chromosome 18, and Turner syndrome,[13] a loss (or partial loss) of the X chromosome."

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dicentric_chromosome

" An example in human evolution is chromosome 2, which [supposedly] comes from a fusion between two chromosomes that are distinct in apes (Lejeune et al. 1973). The head-to-head telomeric repeats

are still present at the fusion point (IJdo et al. 1991), and one centromere is inactivated (Avarello et al. 1992)." (Dicentric breakage at telomere fusions, 2010)

http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/24/7/720.full

"in many dicentrics, one centromere becomes inactivated, and, indeed, that [supposedly] seems to be the case in human chromosome 2. But doesn't that mean we now need two mutations—first the fusion, then the centromere inactivation— to get a viable chromosome? Doesn't that dramatically reduce the probability of the fusion becoming fixed? [fixation of this sort of thing probably is of rather low probability, else there'd be way more examples of it.] "

http://www.pandasthumb.org/archiv.../.../02/the-rise-of-hum.html

Even though some fusions are known to have little, if any, effect on fertility the fact remains many do decrease fitness to some extent.. And, a head-to-head fusion that allegedly resulted in human chromosome 2 is extremely rare.

http://pandasthumb.org/archiv.../2009/.../the-rise-of-hum-2.html

If there was a fusion, there would be a deletion of one or both telemeres and an insertion of many kilobases; which in turn would give some enormous health defects.

http://atlasgeneticsoncology.org/Anomalies/Tri2ID1429.html

"a random chromosomal fusion event which, in our experience ultimately results in offspring with genetic diseases, didn't result in a genetic disease and was thus advantageous enough to get fixed into the entire population of our ancestors." ~ Casey Luskin

There is not one observed example to date of telomere to telomere fusion in humans that isn't related to health defects.

"Although the precise nature of this putative fusion is unknown,cyto-genetic data pointto either a centromeric or telomeric fusion in the vicinity of Region 2ql(1,2,And 6. ...Fusion Of telomeres is a rare occurrence in normal lym-phoblasts and fibroblasts, although it has been observed in 20-30% of the cells of certain tumors, where it appears to be nonclonal (25-29).T" (Origin of human chromosome 2: An ancestraltelomere-telomere fusion, 1991)

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/.../PMC52.../pdf/pnas01070-0197.pdf

"Telomere fusion is an important mutational event that has the potential to lead to large-scale genomic rearrangements of the types frequently observed in cancer. [These fusions are common in the human genome due to a shorting of the telomeric sequence due to aging, which leads to cancer]" (Fusion of short telomeres in human cells is characterized by extensive deletion and microhomology, and can result in complex rearrangements, (2010) 38 (6): 1841-1852)

http://nar.oxfordjournals.org/content/38/6/1841.short

Furthrmore, "Even though human chromosome 2 looks like it arose through a fusion event, it seems unlikely that its genesis resulted from undirected natural processes. The combining of two chromosomes to make human chromosome 2 would have required a succession of several highly improbable events.

It is not unusual for chromosomes to fuse. When chromosomes break, "sticky ends" result. These sticky ends will readily combine with other chromosomes that have also broken apart. But they will almost

never fuse with intact chromosomes due to the presence of telomeres. In addition to providing stability, telomeres are designed to prevent chromosomes from undergoing fusion with chromosome fragments. For human chromosome 2 to arise, it would have required either telomere-telomere fusion (a virtual impossibility), or fusion of an intact chromosome at its telomere with a sticky end generated when another chromosome fractured near its telomere. This type of fusion can happen, but it is a rare occurrence." (Chromosome 2: The Best Evidence for Evolution? June 1, 2010) http://www.reasons.org/.../chromosome-2-the-best-evidence-for...

If it is a fusion, the event would be "Human specific" and would demonstrates ZERO evidence of "Random Evolution" from a primate ancestor. Great evidence for Neo-Darwinian evolution would be a fusion that occurred in all great apes and man to show how much we have in common with great apes. Not exactly sure how "Only humans have this" supports a common ancestor with apes.

"the [supposedly] fusion must have occurred after the human–chimpanzee split, but before modern humans spread around the world..." (Genomic Structure and Evolution of the Ancestral Chromosome Fusion Site in 2q13–2q14.1 and Paralogous Regions on Other Human Chromosomes, Nov 12, 2002) http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC187548/

^^ They even say the supposedly event would've been "Human specific". The above paper asks the question "If the fusion occurred within the telomeric repeat arrays less than ~6 Mya, why are the arrays at the fusion site so degenerate?" They then use ad-hoc rationalizations to answer this question, however this would lead me to think that it isn't a fusion after all.

Also, "we sequenced 400 kb of the subtelomeric region of the long arm of human X chromosome (Xq-PAR) and the related telomere sequence. During this work, the bioinformatics analysis revealed, within this genomic region, the presence of 4 genes (SYBL1, HSPRY3, IL9R and CXYorf1, currently named WASH) and 2 pseudogenes (AMD2p and CHL1p)." (DDX11L: a novel transcript family emerging from human subtelomeric regions, 2009)

http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2164/10/250

The above mentioned genes and pseudogenes are not found in any telomeres anywhere, ever. Thus this is further evidence against the chromosome fusion.

On a side note, notice how in one of the above papers

[http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC187548/] they also use ad-hoc rationalizations when there's other contradictory evidence, such as, "Given the generally accepted hominid lineage (Chen and Li 2001), either orangutan and gorilla independently acquired copies of portions of the RP11–432G15 sequence at these locations, or homologous sequence was deposited at these sites before hominids diverged and then was lost in the ancestor of human and chimpanzee. One interstitial and 26 subtelomeric integration sites are unique to gorilla, indicating that a burst of duplications also occurred along the gorilla-specific branch [etc]."

- - -

- - -

"In a recent article, a doctor in China has identified a man who has 44 chromosomes instead of the usual 46. Except for his different number of chromosomes, this man is perfectly normal in every measurable way. His chromosomes are arranged in a stable way that could be passed on if he met a nice girl who

had 44 chromosomes too. And this would certainly be possible in the future given his family history." (The 44 Chromosome Man, February 26, 2010)

http://genetics.thetech.org/original_news/news124

Therefore, if there was a fusion event, and by some miracle the telomere to telomere fusion didn't have enormous health defects, they'd have to find someone with the same two fused chromosomes in a very small population, where there was no other interbreeding. Which could be possible if it was at a point in time when the human race nearly got wiped out, and only a very small population remained.

Actually, the "44 chromosome man" link makes a great point [i.e finding a girl with 44 chromosomes]. The chance of his "fusion event" allowing his offspring to out compete the entire race of 46 chromosome humans is very unlikely, unless there was a bottleneck like the Biblical Flood. So, either two of our chromosomes fused into one during a population bottleneck [e.g. Biblical Flood or even just after the Fall] or the chromosome could have been designed just the way it is. It makes absolutely no difference to me.

- - -

Updated info (7.02.15): It has been proposed for many decades that a fusion of two chromosomes into one would be found. Then geneticists thought they found it, and have been telling us it is proof of evolution for more than 20 years. But recently it is found that this proposed "fusion" is within an active gene. Disproving the fusion idea completely.

You can see for yourself that the fusion site is within a pseudogene called DDX11l2 [" This gene has three primary exons transcribed in the direction of telomere to centromere. It serves several regulatory functions making it a highly expressed and highly complicated gene and one not found in apes"]. You can't make a gene by wrecking two chromosomes together. So fusion is disproven.

Here is the exact spot on the genome viewer:

(Chromosome 2 Fusion, Impossibly found to be within pseudogene DDX11L2, Homo sapiens chromosome 2 genomic scaffold, GRCh38 Primary Assembly HSCHR2_CTG7_2)

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/568815346...

The entire gene sequence for DDX11L2 can be found here:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NR_024004.1

Gene content and function of the ancestral chromosome fusion site in human chromosome 2q13-2q14.1 and paralogous regions:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12421752

- - -

Human chromosome 2, and Chimpanzee 2a, 2b side by side. (Per wikipedia chimpanzee genome "At the site of [the supposedly] fusion, there are approximately 150,000 base pairs (PGML/FOXD/CBWD genes) of sequence not found in chimpanzee 2A and 2B.)

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0Odgl-vecao

Updated info (2.01.16) "The numbering, the location the length and the content are completely different than the way "fusionists" portray. Changing the numbers and misrepresenting location. The chimp chromosomes were chromosome 12 and chromosome 13. To make the tale of the fusion even more plausible they are now 2a and 2b. ...The order was changed to support the chromosome fusion

and not similarity of chromosome. Before the order was based on it's location in the chromosome. Changing the labels misrepresents the location. In fact, if it was ordered by similar content much of the content from chromosome 2 is found om chimp chromosome 9 [Human chromosome 2 has more similarity of some genes near the supposedly fusion site to chimpanzee chromosome 9 than to 2a and 2b]." (The Fusion Hoax, what fused? Jan 1, 2016)

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=D361WS48HZ0

- - -

Human Chromosome 2 Fusion Theory Shown Wrong:

http://gscim.com/.../human_chromosome_2_fusion_theory_shown_w...

Alleged Human Chromosome 2 "Fusion Site" Encodes an Active DNA Binding Domain Inside a Complex and Highly Expressed Gene—Negating Fusion:

https://answersingenesis.org/.../alleged-human-chromosome-2-.../

"[T]he place on chromosome 2 where the two chromosomes are supposed to have fused is right in the intron of a gene!5 The gene is charmingly named DDX11L2, and it is known to be used in several different cells, including those performing tasks related to the nervous system, muscle system, immune system, and reproductive system.

It's really hard to understand how two chromosomes could fuse at the intron of a functional gene, but that's not the end of the story. The fusion site also contains an important sequence of DNA called a transcription factor binding site. This is a sequence to which a molecule can attach so as to regulate how often the gene is used. So not only is the fusion site right in the middle of a functional gene, it is actually found in a region that helps to regulate how that gene is expressed. That makes it even more difficult to understand how a fusion event could have taken place there.

Is this conclusive evidence against the idea that our second chromosome is the result of two independent chromosomes being fused together? Not really. After all, the spot that Dr. Tomkins studied does bear a remarkable resemblance to the kinds of sequences found when two chromosomes fuse together. Thus, if it is not the result of chromosome fusion, we need to understand why that region of the chromosome has a sequence characteristic of such things. Also, it is well known that the human chromosome 2 has two centromeres, and typical chromosomes have only one. As the illustration at the top of this article shows, a fusion event would explain why this chromosome has two centromeres. At the same time, however, there is a known genetic process in which a chromosome can form a second centromere.6 It is certainly not a common event, but it does happen.

...,even if it turns out that geneticists can explain how a chromosome fusion event happened in the middle of a functional gene at one of its transcription binding sites, it still offers no evidence for common ancestry. It only shows that at some point in the past, two chromosomes fused. There is no reason to think it was more likely to have happened in some hypothetical evolutionary ancestor than it was to have happened as a part of human history." (Chromosome Fusion? It's Getting Harder and Harder to Believe, Dec.9,2013)

http://blog.drwile.com/?p=11801

"Neocentromeres are rare human chromosomal aberrations where a new centromere has formed in a previously non-centromeric location. The emergence of new centromeres on a chromosome that already contains an endogenous centromere would be a highly deleterious event which would lead to dicentricity and mitotic instability. Nonetheless, neocentromere formation appears to provide a

mechanism for the acquisition of a new centromere. " (Chromosomal dynamics of human neocentromere formation, 2004)

https://www.researchgate.net/.../8420215_Warburton_P_E_Chromo...

"The "telomere-to-telomere" fusion site they mentioned contains no telomere at all but is just some short "gene switch" or promoter codes that turns the gene on or off and is a common feature of the genetic coding system. Genetic fusions in nature requires satelite DNA (satDNA) in the fusion site, but this was not found in the alleged fusion site in our chromosome.

Furthermore, even IF there was a fusion, the two chromosomes that were alleged to have fused cannot even be found in chimps. It was just 800 base-pairs long. The two chimp genes that they claim to have fused is 5000 base-pairs long each. So even IF there was a fusion, chimps had nothing to do with it. Telomeres are supposed to be very long and repetitive and CONTINUOUS sequence of hundreds to thousands of TTAGGG at the end of chromosomes to serve as a "period" and to prevent chromosomal fusion. Those short TTAGGG "gene switches" you see there are no telomere at all, since they sandwich active codes in the middle. They are just regulators and are found in other of our chromosomes too." (Anthony Jan Yap)

https://www.facebook.com/anthony.yap.5?fref=ufi

"s claimed that there was a "Telomere to telomere" fusion. Telomeres are the end part of the chromosome that protects it from fusion. It is composed of very long and continuous repeat of TTAGGG codes.

First of all, Telomere-to-telomere fusion do not happen in nature. Fusions involve a Satellite DNA (satDNA) and fusion only happens with satDNA-to-satDNA or satDNA-to-Telomere.

Next, there was no Telomere in that part that they claimed to have fused. Telomeres look like this: TTAGGGTG

What was found was a few bits of TTAGGG in the middle of active codes (see picture), and they act as gene regulators to control the expression of those codes surrounding them. In fact, this is even normally found in other parts of our genome.

There was even no evidence of a fusion in the first place." (Anthony Jan Yap) https://www.facebook.com/.../1506.../permalink/969331676483912/...

Human chromosome number two has a lot more data then the two supposedly chromosomes of the chimpanzee that supposedly make the human chromosome number two. There are about 150,000 base pairs of sequence in human chromosome 2 that are not found in chimpanzee chromosomes 2A and 2B [the two are not even the same size as chromosome 2 in humans].

How could anyone believe that chimp number 12 and 13 chromosomes renamed chimpanzee chromosome number 2a and 2b, fused together losing 20,000 telomeres yet gaining 150,000 base pair?

Explain how chromosome 2A and chromosome 2B can fuse and lose 20,000 telomeres yet gain a huge amount of new data?

- - -

There are nine other major chromosomal differences between humans and chimpanzees on chromosomes 1, 4, 5, 9, 12, 15, 16, 17, and 18.

The genes and markers on chromosomes 4, 9, and 12 are not in the same order in the human and chimpanzee. (Ann Gibbons, "Which of Our Genes Make Us Human?" Science 281, 1998) http://www.sciencemag.org/content/281/5382/1432

Chromosome 22 may look very similar, however "the International Chimpanzee Chromosome 22 Consortium reports that 83% of chimpanzee chromosome 22 proteins are different from their human counterparts. ...The difference is "much more complicated that we initially imagined or speculated. ...The results reported this week showed that "83% of the genes [are different] between the human and the chimpanzee—only 17% are identical—so that means that the impression that comes from the 1.2% [sequence] difference is [misleading]. In the case of protein structures, it has a big effect," Sakaki said." (Chimps are not like humans, May 27, 2004)

http://cmbi.bjmu.edu.cn/news/0405/119.htm

DNA sequence and comparative analysis of chimpanzee chromosome 22: (Nature 429, 382-388, 27 May 2004)

http://www.nature.com/.../jo.../v429/n6990/full/nature02564.html

"In this study, we sequenced the coding and regulatory regions of 127 known genes on human chromosome 21 in DNA samples from human and chimpanzees and a part of the corresponding genes from orangutan, gorilla, and macaque. Overall, 3,003 nucleotide differences between human and chimpanzee were identified over 400 kb." (Divergence of the genes on human chromosome 21 between human and other hominoids and variation of substitution rates among transcription units, May 5, 2003)

http://www.pnas.org/content/100/14/8331.full.pdf-

- - -

- - -

Only 69% of the chimpanzee X chromosome is similar to the human X chromosome.

- - -

Only 43% of the chimpanzee Y chromosome is similar to the human Y chromosome. The Y chromosome has a different size and many markings that do not match. - As far as looking at specific genes, the chimp and human Y chromosomes had a dramatic difference in gene content of aprox 53%. In other words, the chimp was lacking approximately half of the genes found on a human Y chromosome. They found a 33% difference in actual gene categories. The human Y chromosome contains a third more gene categories-- entirely different classes of genes--compared to chimps. "By comparing the MSYs of the two species we show that they differ radically in sequence structure and gene content,... The chimpanzee MSY contains twice as many massive palindromes as the human MSY, yet it has [supposedly] lost large fractions of the MSY protein-coding genes and gene families..." (Chimpanzee and human Y chromosomes are remarkably divergent in structure and gene content, 13 January 2010)

http://www.nature.com/.../jou.../v463/n7280/abs/nature08700.html

To top it off, "Surprisingly, we found that in many ways the gorilla Y chromosome is more similar to the human Y chromosome than either is to the chimpanzee Y chromosome ...[They claim] the chimpanzee Y chromosome appears to have undergone more changes in the number of genes and contains a different

amount of repetitive elements compared to the human or gorilla. Moreover, a greater proportion of the gorilla Y sequences can be aligned to the human than to the chimpanzee Y chromosome." (New method reveals high similarity between gorilla and human Y chromosome, 02 March 2016) http://science.psu.edu/news-and-even.../2016-news/Makova2-2016

With add-hoc explanations such as "different rates of change," you can make anything "fit" your belief.

They claim the Y chromosome has a very high recombinant rate. However, if the Y chromosome has a very high recombinant rate why has the gene content not supposedly changed much for supposedly 25 million years in the comparison of rhesus Y chromosome with that of human Y chromosome?

" A comparison of the rhesus Y chromosome with that of modern men revealed that reports of the chromosome's [supposedly] decay have been exaggerated. The two sex chromosomes are remarkably similar, ...For the most part, the gene content has not changed for [supposedly] 25 million years," (Men Going Extinct? Scientists Say That's Unlikely, As Y Chromosome Not Degrading Rapidly, 02/23/2012) http://www.huffingtonpost.com/.../men-going-extinct-not-likel...

"We discovered that, during the last [supposedly] 25 million years, MSY gene loss in the human lineage was limited to the youngest stratum (stratum 5), which comprises three percent of the human MSY. Within the older strata, which collectively comprise the bulk of the human MSY, gene loss evidently ceased more than [supposedly] 25 mya. Likewise, the rhesus MSY has not lost any older genes (from strata 1–4) during the past [supposedly] 25 million years, despite major structural differences from the human MSY. " (Strict evolutionary conservation followed rapid gene loss on human and rhesus Y chromosomes, 2012 Feb 22)

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3292678/

February 6, 2015 at 3:43pm · Like · 2 · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson https://www.facebook.com/official.../posts/978686602151813

February 11, 2015 at 3:40pm · Like



<u>Michael Swenson</u> per Anthony Jan Yap "1. Fossils are tangible. It can give us an idea if evolution ever took place. But does the fossil record shows evolution? Absolutely not. From Charles Darwin, to S. Gould, to Richard Dawkins, they all admit that the fossil record does not show any signs of evolution. Only the lay evolutionists who knows nothing about the matter believes that the fossil record proves evolution. In fact, if you read Charles Darwin's book, you will find that his greatest problem with his theory is the fossil record because it speaks AGAINST evolution. Read Darwin's book so you will get an idea.

But let me give a sample:

"It is as though they [fossils] were just planted there, without any evolutionary history. Needless to say this appearance of sudden planting has delighted creationists. ...Both schools of thought (Punctuationists and Gradualists) despise so-called scientific creationists equally, and both agree that THE MAJOR GAPS ARE REAL, that they are true imperfections in the fossil record. The only alternative explanation of the sudden appearance of so many complex animal types in the Cambrian era is divine creation and both reject this alternative." - Richard Dawkins, The Blind Watchmaker, pp. 229-230, emphasis are mine

Now I don't care what "special pleading" (a fallacy, pathetic excuse) that Richard Dawkins made after he said those things (he even admitted in that same book that he is doing a special pleading fallacy). The fact is he admits the reality that the fossil record speaks highly AGAINST evolution.

2. Genetics is observable indeed. But does it show evolution? NO. A big fat NO. In fact, it also speaks highly AGAINST evolution. Our genes are DETERIORATING negatively, not evolving at all. To evolve a frog into a reptile requires addition of NEW functional and purposeful information in the DNA such as the programming for the positioning of all the scales, new organs, and so on. This is impossible to achieve by deteriorating the genome or by chance. It is the same with hoping that a WindowsXP operating system would turn into a Windows8 operating system via natural data loss and copying errors in the hard drive (assuming that you keep on copying the hard drive and destroying the original to simulate a realistic living population). Yet the programming for DNA (even for just the eye) is far, far too complex than a Windows8 software. But what will you get from that hard drive if you extrapolate forward? You will get a dead software that will not even boot up and that's what will happen to us in the future. So therefore, genetics is the most powerful evidence that Evolution is not happening today nor even possible.

3. Geology and Archaeology you said? Dinosaur fossils are found with soft-tissues (meat), blood, osteocytes, and even some remnants of DNA. Furthermore, they still contain Carbon14 (detectable half-life of only 90,000 years max) inside. These things don't even last a million years, especially if they are just laying there in the dirt. Carbondating shows that dinosaurs are only around 10,000 to 50,000 years old. That's a mortal blow to the theory of evolution. And all the evolution scientists could offer as an excuse is that fraudulent Iron Preservation (by Mary Schweitzer et al). It was found that they lied, there was no iron on so many samples (they just cropped those images of areas with iron). Even if there was, it can't even preserve the proteins for millions of years. It was a hastily made-up excuse to silence the lay evolutionists who would just accept any explanation, no matter how stupid it is, just to defend their precious Evolution. I don't care what the texbooks says. They are all relying on assumptions. But this right here is empirical evidence that trumps all evolutionary assumptions of millions of years.

In light of those very solid evidences, it is clear that Evolution did not happen in the past (fossil record), is not happening now (genetic deterioration), and will never even happen (genetic complexity).

But I know evolutionists won't understand these things because evolutionists cling to imaginary evidences that don't even exist or on fraudulent evidences that they deliberately made while Creationists rely on honest empirical science. Evolutionists are science deniers. "

February 13, 2015 at 10:28am · Like · 1



Michael

Swenson https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=345811118796998&set=a.345811072130336.86 973.100001043398117&type=1 - don't miss some of the points made in this argument by dismissing the empirical evidence merely because you don't like the sources. The FACTS are that large, small, big, tall, humans and all species have variations. Variations that are so noticeable, evolutionists dig up the bones and CLAIM proof of evolution; rather than what we fundamentally observe which is that there are significant variations in all species with no indication of those variations leading to macroevolution at all; rather only to deterioration and extinction.



Heather Ritz

February 25, 2012 ·

Θ

Human Variation

"Overall, the dome of the Asian skull is round and the face is flat. 2 Although the Caucasian skull is a bit longer (top to bottom), it is very similar to the Asian skull,..

Figure 9-3 shows a male African-American skull. 3 Although this skull is described as being of an African-American, it has many African features. (The drawing of the "Negro" skull in Figure 9-9 may better epitomize the Congoid skull.)

The African skull is quite different from the Asian and Caucasian skulls, indicating a much greater genetic distance between Eurasians and Africans than between Europeans and Asians. Compared to Asian and Caucasian skulls, the African skull is narrower.

The bones of the skull (and the rest of the body) are denser and thicker. The eye sockets are rounder and proportionately larger and the distance between them is greater. The slight bump at the top of the

head suggests a "saggital keel," a ridge along the top of the head from the forehead to the back of the skull for attaching chewing muscles and strengthening the skull from blows received in fighting. 4 The opening for the nose is wider, the nose bones protrude less, and the teeth more massive, with the incisors meeting at an angle (also see Figure 26-11).

The most noticeable difference, however, is the protruding jaw, a condition known as "prognathism,"... The considerable gap between the cheekbones ("zygomatic arches") and the indentation on the sides behind the eye sockets ("post-orbital constriction") indicate that the more massive jaw was serviced by powerful chewing muscles that passed through the gap. Figures 9-4 and 9-5 provide a side-by side comparison of the skulls of an African of the Manbettu tribe in the northern Congo basin and an Englishman. 5 The African skull has less prominent nose bones and chin, a deeper jaw and the bone that supports the jaw (the "ascending ramus") is wider; the shape of the skulls is also different. .. The African skulls are very different from the skulls of all the other populations, even the Australian aborigines. Figure 9-9 show a Negro skull profile superimposed upon a European skull profile. 9) The Negro skull is smaller, with less space in the forehead, but proportionately more at the back. (Hunt, 1864, p. 8). ...6) The occipital bun (Figure 9-12) 11 is a bulge at the back of the skull, where the brain processes visual information. Georgicus, antecessor, Peking man (Figure 17-7b), Junniushan (Figure 17-9), and the Neanderthals had occipital buns and Heidi, too, may have had it. "They [occipital buns] do however occur fairly often among Australids [Australian aboriginal people], Khoisanids [Hottentots, Bushmen see Chap. 26], and Lappids [Lapps (Sami) in Finland], and, interestingly, among inhabitants of Lancashire, UK." 12 Although the purpose of the occipital bun is not clear, it is associated most with the Neanderthals. Some African skulls are also characterized by a "dent" ("post bregmatic depression") in the top of the skull visible from the side. (Figure 9-13). 13 This "dent" is also seen the Hobbit skull, Figure 17-11 and some erectus skulls; note that even the otherwise-modern English skull in Figure 9-5 has a dent... (Coqueugniot, 2004; Figure 14-2) ...(11) East Asians have the roundest eye sockets and Australian aborigines have the most rectangular.18 Neanderthal orbits are also round (Fig. 2-6 & 2-7) but African and European orbits are square or rectangular; European orbits slope more." (Chapter 9 -Hard Tissue)

http://erectuswalksamongst.us/Chap9.html

Seven feet tall Russian heavyweight boxing champion Nikolai Valuev has some of the skull morphology characteristics of Neanderthal.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=H_yXcmGuTDY

"[T]he browridges of early H. sapiens are fairly large, but differ in shape from those of H. neanderthalensis and H. heidelbergensis. The face of Homo sapiens is much smaller than those of earlier hominin species. In addition the face is "tucked under" the braincase—i.e., the face is located entirely below (rather than in front of) the front part of the brain." (Homo Sapiens, <u>becominghuman.org</u>) <u>http://www.becominghuman.org/node/homo-sapiens-0</u>

"Homo heidelbergensis was probably a lot more human than we thought. We have this idea that only modern humans have been innovative enough to keep coming up with ideas for technological progress while other early humans discovered things once and then continued to make them the same way afterwards. This study shows that there probably wasn't much difference between them and us." (Prehistoric humans were far smarter than previously assumed, October 15, 2014) http://sciencenordic.com/prehistoric-humans-were-far-smarte...

"Balter is not claiming that no skulls of this creature existed: there are, after all, "11 potential H. heidelbergensis skulls" that Heidelberg defender Philip Rightmire of Harvard examined. At issue is whether a suite of characteristics can be defined for a single species, given all the diversity in human skulls in the record, and whether that species shows a transition between Homo erectus and later alleged ancestors." (Good-bye Heidelberg Man: You Never Existed)

http://crev.info/2014/07/heidelberg-man-never-existed/

"[Homo sapiens] co-existed for a long time in Europe and the Middle East with the Neanderthals, and possibly with Homo erectus in Asia and Homo floresiensis in Indonesia, but are now the only surviving human species." (Homo sapiens – modern humans)<u>http://australianmuseum.net.au/Homo-sapiens-modern.../</u>...

They co-existed!!! Which means just as there are variations today, they are just variations of humans.

"They may have had heavier brows or broader noses or stockier builds, but behaviorally, socially and reproductively they were all just people." (Michael D. Lemonick, "A Bit of Neanderthal in Us All?," Time Magazine (April 25, 1999).)

http://www.time.com/t.../magazine/article/0,9171,23543,00.html

Neanderthal DNA falls within the range of human variation. "The majority of the Neandertal divergences overlap with those of the humans (Fig. 3), reflecting the fact that Neandertals fall inside the variation of present-day humans." (A Draft Sequence of the Neandertal Genome, May 2010, sciencemag) http://www.sciencemag.org/content/328/5979/710.full

"We found that the mtDNA sites where Neandertals differed from modern man tended to be at mutational hotspots—sites where many modern humans also differ. In addition, at the sites where Neandertals differed from each other, one of them would match the modern human." (Dr. David DeWitt, Neuroscientist and Biologist)

It's epigenencs that may explain the differences. Neanderthals weren't an evolving pre-human species, but were fully human with trait variability that was determined by epigenetics [diet, life-style, and environmental factors]. "Epigenetic differences between humans and their ancient relatives may explain differences in physical traits, or phenotypes, such as the jutting brow ridge of Neanderthals." (How to build a Neanderthal, 17 April 2014)

http://www.nature.com/ne.../how-to-build-a-neanderthal-1.15063

It's the environment, and not chance mutations that can directly cause adaptive epigenetic changes. This is a built-in adaptability that allows us to respond to environmental changes, and is not unguided.

But sadly, due to The fall we observe loss. "From the first cell that coalesced in the primordial soup to the magnificent intricacies of Homo sapiens, the evolution of life—as everyone knows—has been one long drive toward greater complexity. The only trouble with what everyone knows…is that there is no evidence it's true." (Lori Oliwenstein, Discover magazine, 1993)

http://www.icr.org/article/6593/

"...clever people— on average have slightly bigger brains... ...the fossil record strongly suggests that our brain size peaked at 1,500 cubic centimeters around 20,000 years ago and has since shrunk to 1,350 cc." (Human Evolution Isn't What It Used to Be, May 24, 2012)

http://online.wsj.com/.../SB100014240527023036105045774185119...

"John Hawks is in the middle of explaining his research on human evolution when he drops a bombshell. Running down a list of changes that have occurred in our skeleton and skull since the Stone Age, the University of Wisconsin anthropologist nonchalantly adds, "And it's also clear the brain has been shrinking." "Shrinking?" I ask. "I thought it was getting larger." The whole ascent-of-man thing.,,, He rattles off some dismaying numbers: Over the past 20,000 years, the average volume of the human male brain has decreased from 1,500 cubic centimeters to 1,350 cc, losing a chunk the size of a tennis ball. The female brain has shrunk by about the same proportion. "I'd call that major downsizing in an evolutionary eyeblink," he says. "This happened in China, Europe, Africa—everywhere we look." (If Modern Humans Are So Smart, Why Are Our Brains Shrinking? - January 20, 2011) http://discovermagazine.com/.../25-modern-humans-smart-why-br...

"Human intelligence and behavior require optimal functioning of a large number of genes, which requires enormous evolutionary pressures to maintain. A provocative hypothesis published in a recent set of Science and Society pieces published in the Cell Press journal Trends in Genetics suggests that we are losing our intellectual and emotional capabilities because the intricate web of genes endowing us with our brain power is particularly susceptible to mutations and that these mutations are not being selected against in our modern society." (Study suggests humans are slowly but surely losing intellectual and emotional abilities November 12, 2012)

http://medicalxpress.com/.../2012-11-humans-slowly-surely-int...

Nearly all human geneticists agree that man is degenerating genetically. The most definitive findings were published in 2010 in the Proceedings of the National Academy of Science by Lynch. That paper indicates human fitness is declining at 1–5% per generation.

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20080596

"Basically, by demonstrating that the human genome is deteriorating, and always has been since its origin, the theory of human life arising from random, beneficial, and increasingly complex mutations simply can't be true. If we take an honest look at the human genome research, we will discover profound implications about our views of life, and we must conclude that The Primary Axiom is false." (John Sanford: retired Cornell professor shows up Darwinism, February 24th, 2009)

http://www.diaryof1.com/.../john-sanford-retired-cornell-pro.../


"Men today are the weakest in history and would have been no match for our ancestors in a battle of strength or speed, research suggests." (Usain Bolt would have been outrun by our ancestors, claims anthropologist, The Telegraph, 19 Oct 2009)

http://www.telegraph.co.uk/.../Usain-Bolt-would-have-been-out....

February 13, 2015 at 10:46am · Like · 1 · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0E-EqlQiHBw



Human Origins by Dr Duane Gish Who WON OVER 300 FORMAL DEBATES

YOUTUBE.COM

February 14, 2015 at 3:07pm · Like · 1 · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson https://answersingenesis.org/.../what-are-some-good.../...



What Are Some Good Questions to Ask an Evolutionist?

The Bible instructs believers to have answers when challenged by any and all who oppose the Word of God.

ANSWERSINGENESIS.ORG

February 16, 2015 at 5:24pm · Like · 1 · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dhSgMu1rhto



Atheists Are Anti-First Amendment Bullies

Atheists hate the pledge of allegiance. Zo thinks that atheists are actually using the pledge to force atheism on others.

YOUTUBE.COM

February 20, 2015 at 1:23pm · Like · 1 · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson http://www.collective-evolution.com/.../500-year-old-map.../



500 Year Old Map Was Discovered That Shatters The "Official" History Of The Planet


COLLECTIVE-EVOLUTION.COM

February 25, 2015 at 1:20am · Like · 1 · Remove Preview



Michael

<u>Swenson</u> https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=10155167477090234&set=a.1015316505433523 4.1073741825.750740233&type=1&theater



Luke Lefebvre


February 25, 2015 ·

Did I miss something here ,whenever an evolutionist asks what Christianity contributed to the world? Hello, this is what Christianity brought to the world amongst many other things. Evolution as an independent science has done nothing for mankind it has to steal from other sciences to demonstrate the good that they think it does. On it's own evolution has done nothing for humanity.

February 25, 2015 at 8:10am · Like · 1 · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson http://creation.mobi/once-upon-a-time



Once upon a time ... - CMI Mobile

The Mythology of Modern Dating Methods is a very important book, not only for creationists but also evolutionists. John Woodmorappe has...

CREATION.MOBI

February 26, 2015 at 2:08am · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson http://www.huffingtonpost.com/.../woolly-rhino-baby...



Baby Woolly Rhino Stuns Scientists

Scientists are going gaga over the recent discovery of a baby woolly rhino. The pristine specimen of the tiny extinct rhino--the only one of its type...

HUFFINGTONPOST.COM

February 26, 2015 at 11:54am · Like · 1 · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson http://www.truthandaction.org/obamas-science-czar-need.../



Obama's Science Czar: We Need Forced Abortions and Mass Sterilization Overseen By Planetary...

TRUTHANDACTION.ORG

February 27, 2015 at 6:04pm · Like · 1 · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson http://www.telegraph.co.uk/.../Children-are-born...



Children are born believers in God, academic claims - Telegraph

TELEGRAPH.CO.UK | BY BY MARTIN BECKFORD, RELIGIOUS AFFAIRS CORRESPONDENT

March 6, 2015 at 8:23pm · Like · 1 · Remove Preview



Michael

<u>Swenson</u> https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=932287983482639&set=oa.350829445000808&t ype=1&theater



Heather Ritz

March 9, 2015 ·

The flightless Cormorant, an example of genetic entropy: "This species qualifies as Vulnerable... Critically Endangered, or even Extinct, owing to potential future threats." (Flightless Cormorant Phalacrocorax harrisi)

http://www.birdlife.org/datazone/speciesfactsheet.php?i3671

Neo-Darwinists claim the driving force behind evolution is "random mutation", however "beneficial" mutations consistently involve loss of function changes. The flightless cormorant lost the ability to fly due to mutation... "Over time, the muscles required for flying waste away, the breast bone and other bones needed for flying were reduced. Shoulders and breast got smaller and weaker, the wings became tiny winglets. This is due to mutations in the regulation of genes that control growths (pedomorphosis). The shoulder girdle of the flightless cormorant got stuck in a juvenile state. The same mutations caused an unusually long youth, very fine, down-like feathers on the throat, and a late sexual maturity in comparison to other cormorants." <u>http://www.amocean.de/.../6-about-flying-and-diving-the-galap...</u> The flightless Cormorant lost the ability to fly "by virtue initially of disuse and later of mutations that reduced wing size" (John C. Kricher, Galápagos: A Natural History, pg 106, 2006)

https://books.google.com.au/books...

However the flightless Cormorant is a stronger swimmer.

Did they incur mutations that built stronger legs? I can't find any scientific claims of this, so they are likely stronger swimmers due to the fact that the Cormorant uses it's legs more than it's wings, just as you'd observe if a person exercises, the muscle they gain is not evolutionary change.

And, "Right after biking — and probably even rowing or slaloming — your muscle cells make quick epigenetic DNA alterations that epigeneticists previously considered long-lived and often long-to-form.

And the amount of change depends on the intensity of exercise." (Exercise & Rapid Muscle Methylation Changes – Q&A with Romain Barrès, March 14, 2012)

http://www.nature.com/.../the-role-of-methylation-in-gene-exp...

"There is increasing evidence that epigenetic modifications are transgenerational (inherited through multiple generations) in a variety of species." (Epigenetics: A Turning Point in Our Understanding of Heredity, January 16, 2012)

http://blogs.scientificamerican.com/.../epigenetics-a-turnin.../

"Epigenetics: A Problem for Evolution?

Until these findings, many evolutionists dismissed the ideas of Charles Darwin's contemporary, Jean-Baptiste Lamarck, who believed that animals could acquire new traits through interactions with their environment and then pass them to the next generation. For instance, he believed giraffes stretching their necks to reach leaves on trees in one generation would cause giraffes in the next generation to have longer necks. Many science textbooks today reject Lamarck's ideas, but epigenetics is a form of Lamarckianism.

...Of course this is contrary to classic Darwinian evolution. The theory of evolution is based on random changes or mutations occurring in DNA. If a change happens to be beneficial, then the organism will survive via natural selection and pass this trait to its descendants.

..Although evolutionists do not deny the reality of epigenetics, its existence is hard to explain! Epigenetic changes are not random; they occur in response to the environment via complex mechanisms already in place to foster these changes.

...These non-random epigenetic changes imply that evolution has a "mind." Creatures appear to have complex mechanisms to make epigenetic changes that allow them to adapt to future environmental challenges. But where did this forward-thinking design come from? Evolution is mindless; it cannot see the future. So how could it evolve mechanisms to prepare for the future?

...God likely designed organisms with epigenetic mechanisms to allow them to change easily and quickly in relation to their environment. These types of changes are much more valuable than random mutation and natural selection because they can produce immediate benefits for offspring without harming the basic information in the actual sequence of DNA." (Epigenetics—Inheriting More Than Genes, February 26, 2013)

http://www.answersingenesis.org/.../inheriting-more-than-genes

Mutations typically produce defects, deterioration, loss, disease, etc; whereas epigenetic changes aren't random; they occur in response to the environment through mechanisms that are already in place, as expected in a designed system.

March 11, 2015 at 4:02am · Like · Remove Preview



Michael

Swenson https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=10155219836355234&set=pcb.85938906078774 5&type=1&theater




March 11, 2015 at 4:38pm · Like · 1 · Remove Preview



Michael

Swenson https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=10155219836545234&set=pcb.85938906078774 5&type=1&theater




March 11, 2015 at 4:38pm · Like · 1 · Remove Preview



Michael

Swenson https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=10155219836850234&set=pcb.85938906078774 5&type=1&theater




March 11, 2015 at 4:39pm · Like · 1 · Remove Preview



Michael

Swenson https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=10155219837070234&set=pcb.85938906078774 5&type=1&theater



March 11, 2015 at 4:40pm · Like · 1 · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson per Heather Ritz God in Science.

"I'm on a roll! Neo-Darwinian evolution has been falsified again! I was told by a Neo-Darwinian evolutionist that a modern animal found next to a dinosaur would falsify Neo Darwinism. "Among the remains is a wing unearthed in the Gobi Desert in 1987. Compared with the spectacularly preserved dinosaur skeletons in the museum's collections, this tiny wing—its delicate bones jumbled and crushed—is decidedly unglamorous. But it offers a strong hint that a widely held view of bird evolution is wrong." (Gareth Dyke, Winged Victory: Modern Birds Now Found to Have Been Contemporaries of Dinosaurs, Scientific American, July 6, 2010) http://www.scientificamerican.com/article.cfm...

Where's my fame and money?" I don't know about all that "fame and money", but I give you props Heather for advocating for truth to be taught all people, especially children in public education. smile emoticon



Science News, Articles, and Information - Scientific American

SCIENTIFICAMERICAN.COM

April 8, 2015 at 11:55pm · Edited · Like · 1 · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson http://www.icr.org/article/571/387



The Institute for Creation Research


It's in all the textbooks. Every high school biology student is exposed to it. It's taught as if it were fact and "that all scientists agree." It's thought to...

ICR.ORG

April 13, 2015 at 6:49pm · Like · Remove Preview



<u>Michael Swenson http://www.bbc.com/.../20150413-can-animal-stop-evolving</u> evolution is bunk, total nonsense and its time it ceased to be taught as science worldwide.



Are there some animals that have stopped evolving?

Some modern animals look just like their long-extinct ancestors. Have these

BBC.COM | BY LUCY JONES

April 14, 2015 at 3:03am · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/christ-

<u>crucified/735365403209088</u> there isn't a more thoroughly documented event in all of antiquity; nor a more published and broadcast event than the Annunciation, Declaration, Incarnation, Crucifixion and Resurrection of the ONE and ONLY MESSIAH, YAHOSHUAH. so muslims, atheists, unbelievers appear EXTREMELY ignorant when they try and deny it! A FACT they and ANYONE can verify for themselves SIMPLY BY CALLING ON YAHOSHUAH!!!!!!!<u>https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-</u>swenson/god/719346771477618



Michael Swenson

October 31, 2014 ·

Christ Crucified and Resurrected


Today some in a display of extreme ignorance or willful deception and delusions deny Jesus Christ even existed; even though He is the most documented Person in all of history; with the details of His Incarnation and deeds, together with His Pre and Post Incarnation Existence published worldwide in over 5000 languages. ...

Continue Reading

April 20, 2015 at 12:25am · Edited · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=ApJ-nvNoTSg&hc_location=ufi



Evolutionists Debunk Evolution

A very Funny compilation of Atheists debunking each other. One Atheist DESTROYS another one after another...These...


YOUTUBE.COM


April 20, 2015 at 7:35am · Like · 1 · Remove Preview



Michael

Swensonhttps://www.facebook.com/EvolutionIsALie/photos/a.257634081006395.40406.25737385103 2418/572977452805388/?type=1&pnref=story





This is one of the racist comments that Darwin made. One of the excuses atheists will try and use is that Darwin was referring to animals, not humans.

So my questions are: Where in Darwin's time can anyone show us where different animals were referred to as races? And can they also list which one's that were civilized and which ones were savage? Also remember that Darwin's book was named "Origin of Species" not Origin of races. And the second part of the title did refer to race making the theory racist and therefore was later removed to cover that up.

Evolution is a Lie

April 20, 2015 ·

Evolution is based in racism...

I posted this before but I am posting it again because no atheist responded to this. And the reason is because the pic makes a point that cannot be refuted. So there are 3 question to ask:

1) Can the atheist show where in Darwin's time that the word "race" was commonly used to refer to different types of animals?

2) Can you name the types of animals consider civilized?

3) Can you name the types of animals that were savage?

Not being able to answer these questions shows that atheist are willing to openly lie for evolution and cover up it's real goals which is to exalt one race over another. And to cover up that Darwin and all his friends who helped him with evolution were nothing more than KKK thinking people who would do anything to promote the white above all other races,

Don't believe me? Every scientifically used human evolution chart always ends in white man as the final product and the highest evolved race of humans. Exactly hos was this determined?

Could it be that every person involved inventing this idea were white as well? More silence will only speak more volumes about the truth of all this. ~ Issac

April 23, 2015 at 1:34am · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson http://m.youtube.com/watch?v=hkwXNuyFhAw



Abiogenesis explained and discredited

Abiogenesis is statistically impossible. Atheists still advocate this myth however despite it having zero evidence.

YOUTUBE.COM

April 28, 2015 at 11:03pm · Like · Remove Preview



<u>Michael Swenson</u> Some astronomers (the "naturalistically" minded) like to claim these days that the stars and galaxies are all just random formations of dust and gas that come together due to gravity; but let us examine the facts. Properties of

gas https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gez2rmeCpfE http://chemed.chem.purdue.edu/.../bp/ch4/pro perties2.html Gases have three characteristic properties: (1) they are easy to compress, (2) they expand to fill their containers, and (3) they occupy far more space than the liquids or solids from which they form. Those that leave GOD out of the equation are leaving an aspect that is apparent in everything that we observe - KNOWLEDGE/INFORMATION/DESIGN especially regarding LIFE! The Law of Biogenesis HAS NEVER BEEN REFUTED BY SCIENCE and yet we get posts like this one. Every point in it is fallacious! (goes against science) Note that our Creator tells ushttp://biblehub.com/genesis/1-14.htm that the stars He made serve specific purposes. Certain sectors of so called "naturalists" observe things about our universe and PRETEND like we don't have written explanations for them! (kind of like those who like to CLAIM the Biblical Account came from other ancient civilizations; rather than from GOD, and hence ancient civilizations confirm it all over the world.) or those who dig up artifacts and assign their imagination to it until and unless they get wise enough to read the actual history regarding said artifact that tells them plainly what it is and what it was used for. astronomers (especially of late) are just tossing out laws of science that have been sound for centuries; if not millenniums. They point to supermassive galactic cores of enormous mass and matter and say LOOK! it's a super-massive black hole! (they point to the OPPOSITE of what they are declaring); their observations and declarations are not just unsound, unscientific, but are 180 degrees what they should be! This is prophesied in the Divinely Inspired Holy Bible as a woe upon those doing so; who are doing so BECAUSE they are putting GOD and the Knowledge of GOD intentionally away from them. 28And just as they did not see fit to acknowledge God any longer, God gave them over to a depraved mind, to do those things which are not proper, (depravity and insanity comes upon those who do not retain a holy union with our Creator, everything they perceive and hence conclude is distorted and in some cases becomes the OPPOSITE in their minds) 20Woe to those who call evil good, and good evil; Who substitute darkness for light and light for darkness; Who substitute bitter for sweet and sweet for bitter! 21Woe to those who are wise in their own eyes And clever in their own sight!... http://biblehub.com/isaiah/5-20.htm Notice that most who are teaching such rubbish in the name of science, are starting to hate on Christians more and more, even though Christians were behind the modern scientific explosion and have NEVER opposed true science. We DO OPPOSE the modern fiction and fantasy being taught in the name of science (like this post). https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/the-modern-evolutionary-paradigm-is-notscience-it-isnt-even-good-science-

fictio/747467775332184 and https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/the-space-timecontinuum/833112730101021 Note that life comes from LIFE, specifically the ETERNAL LIFE of our Creator, YAHOSHUAH, who ALSO designed the stars to show forth signs on earth, such that all men, women and children would SEE the Gospel every night before their very eyes; and by reading His Word, the HOLY BIBLE; remain sane, of sound knowledge understanding the Truth about everything they observe! https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ff-

<u>Gp194XUU</u> and <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BJ6szvfApNM</u> and <u>https://www.youtube.com/watc</u> <u>h?v=CtJ8Jjma5cM</u> No one but GOD ALMIGHTY could predict His entire Incarnation in detail (http://www.accordingtothescriptures.org/.../353prophecies...

and<u>https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/prophecies-show-divine-inspiration-of-the-holy-bible/520171808061783</u>) And have the STARS TELL the Gospel, AND not just tell the Gospel but coincide DIRECTLY with the Divine Incarnation, Crucifixion and Resurrection.

(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ff-

<u>Gp194XUU</u> and <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BJ6szvfApNM</u> and <u>https://www.youtube.com/watc</u> <u>h?v=CtJ8Jjma5cM</u>) Watch those three presentations and stop saying you have never seen evidence of the Divine or Supernatural. Supernatural events are documented by the

millions! <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LYBnJF2P_WQ</u> Naturalists SHOULD NOT IGNORE history and philology to assign wild imaginations to what they observe; when sound science and explanations are so readily available. <u>https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/god-part-</u>

1/719346771477618 and https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/god-part-

<u>2/830858016993159</u> Far too many so-called "scientists" of today need to properly dump out the nonsense in their heads like the Apostle did <u>http://biblehub.com/philippians/3-8.htm</u> and learn from our Creator; not only for their own benefit and sanity; but for everyone they would hope to teach.<u>https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/how-to-know-truth-in-a-world-of-lies/622590531153243</u> and <u>https://www.facebook.com/.../the-religion.../729829070429388</u>



States of matter : Solids, Liquids and Gases : funza Academy Science Videos for kids


YOUTUBE.COM

April 29, 2015 at 9:18am · Edited · Like · 1 · Remove Preview



Michael

Swenson https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=713295118799879&set=gm.586232314850937& type=1&theater Much of the gibberish coming out of theoretical physics these days gives more credence to the fact that people; even so-called scientists can be more irrational and delusional than purporting anything sound or scientific. Something from nothing violates the very foundational laws of epistemology; the laws upon which knowledge itself is based. (Laws of Causality and Non-Contradiction) looks like Hawking is becoming delusional in his effort to deny the OBVIOUS... Our Eternal Creator.https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/the-modern-evolutionary-paradigm-is-notscience-it-isnt-even-good-science-fictio/747467775332184



Gary Robokoff to Human evolution is a FAIRY TALE STORY!

<u>May 17, 2015</u> · <u>Palo, MN</u> ·

This is a debate thread for <u>Christopher Fraley</u> And myself.

We are going to debate the very beginning.

May 19, 2015 at 9:04pm · Like · Remove Preview



Michael

Swenson https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=10155481852970234&set=pcb.10155481853110 234&type=1&theater

Dinosaur Soft Tissue Preserved by Blood?

Publishing in the journal Proceedings of the Royal Society B, Mary Schweitzer led a team that showed how iron atoms from blood adhere to and preserve blood vessels. The team placed ostrich bone blood vessels in water and watched them disintegrate in less than a week. They then treated another set of ostrich blood vessels with concentrated blood, and the treated blood vessels still looked fresh after two years of sitting on the lab bench.

They postulated that iron generates chemically reactive oxy radicals that help adjacent proteins bond, preserving their overall structure in a process called cross-linking

Https://www.icr.org/article/7855

Publishing in the journal Proceedings of the Royal Society B, Mary Schweitzer led a team that showed how Proceedings of the Royal Society B, Mary Schweitzer Society



1- Ancient dinosaur and other fossils did not have the advantage of scientists treating their carcasses with a blood-soup concentrate.

2- Researchers have encountered bone cells called osteocytes locked inside dinosaur bones. These cells have fine, threadlike extensions that penetrate the bone's through tiny tunnels called canaliculi. Could concentrated blood penetrate and preserve those almost inaccessible bone cells?

help adjacent proteins bond, preserving their overall structure in a process called

4- They showed how iron ups resistance to microbes for two years, they did not show that it does so for millions of years.

May 20, 2015 at 7:11pm · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson There is NO DOUBT WHATSOEVER that YAHOSHUAH is the ONE TRUE GOD!!!!!!!! NOTHING is MORE OBVIOUS in ALL of HISTORY! NOTHING and NO ONE even comes CLOSE to DEMONSTRATING DIVINITY! THERE IS NO DOUBT WHATSOEVER THAT YAHOSHUAH BOTH DECLARED AND PROVED HE IS THE ONE TRUE GOD!!!!!!!<u>http://www.icr.org/article/i-ams-</u> christ/ and <u>http://www.letusreason.org/trin16.htm</u> and<u>http://biblehub.com/1_john/5-20.htm</u> that HE ALONE is the WAY to ETERNAL LIFE!!!!!!!<u>https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/christcrucified/735365403209088 and<u>https://www.facebook.com/.../holy-</u></u> <u>bible.../719366671475628</u> and <u>https://www.facebook.com/.../evidence-of.../568134419932188</u> No one but GOD ALMIGHTY could predict His entire Incarnation in detail

(http://www.accordingtothescriptures.org/.../353prophecies... and https://www.facebook.com/notes/m ichael-swenson/prophecies-show-divine-inspiration-of-the-holy-bible/520171808061783) And have the STARS TELL the Gospel, AND not just tell the Gospel but coincide DIRECTLY with the Divine Incarnation, Crucifixion and Resurrection. (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ff-

<u>Gp194XUU</u> and <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BJ6szvfApNM</u> and <u>https://www.youtube.com/watc</u> <u>h?v=CtJ8Jjma5cM</u>) Watch those three presentations and stop saying you have never seen evidence of the Divine or Supernatural. Supernatural events are documented by the millions! <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LYBnJF2P_WQ</u>

Why not become a personal eyewitness of the Messiah today, call on YAHOSHUAH and tell Him if He is the ONE TRUE MESSIAH to forgive you your sins and unbelief and to reveal Himself to you, so that you can KNOW Him! Then you will join the billions of us worldwide who already

do!<u>http://biblehub.com/jeremiah/31-34.htm</u> Those STILL in denial of the Divinity of YAHOSHUAH are dismissing REALITY! (they are choosing to live in their delusions; regardless of history and observable creation) some WRONGLY say if Jesus, a human, could become GOD, why not me? so I reply to them (although it will be a miracle if any such mad fools come to their senses; so I write more hoping no one else chooses to be so delusional) human did not BECOME God! GOD chose to Incarnate TO DECLARE HIMSELF PLAINLY to us all!<u>https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/god-part-1/719346771477618</u> the day you HEAL every disease, malady, sickness known to man (LIKE YAHOSHUAH -<u>http://biblehub.com/matthew/4-23.htm</u>), the day you stop storms (LIKE YAHOSHUAH.<u>http://biblehub.com/matthew/8-27.htm</u>), the day you raise the dead (LIKE YAHOSHUAH.<u>http://biblehub.com/john/11-43.htm</u> and <u>http://biblehub.com/john/11-25.htm</u>), the day you speak only TRUTH, words of GOD (LIKE YAHOSHUAH - <u>http://biblehub.com/john/12-49.htm</u> and<u>https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=John+2:1-11</u> and https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/...), the day you lay down your own life and raise it up

again (LIKE YAHOSHUAH http://biblehub.com/john/10-

<u>18.htm</u> and<u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ay_Db4RwZ_M</u> and<u>https://www.facebook.com/notes/</u> <u>michael-swenson/god-part-2/830858016993159</u>) is the day your presently mad claim to being a god or goddess or any other fantasy, myth, delusion you might claim, might be considered. THE moment ANYONE can show THEY or some other FULFILLS ALL THE MESSIANIC PROPHECIES SINCE THE BEGINNING, LIKE YAHOSHUAH,<u>http://www.accordingtothescriptures.org/.../353prophecies...</u>, is the day even I, who have seen the Risen LORD of Creation face to face, will humor their rantings and ravings; otherwise, RIGHT NOW IT JUST MAKES all such persons LOOK LIKE AN EXTREMELY IGNORANT, DEMONIC FOOL! <u>https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/god-part-2/830858016993159</u>



The " I Am's" Of Christ | The Institute for Creation Research

ICR.ORG

May 23, 2015 at 8:12am · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson all known dating methods are based on assumptions. assumptions about the speed light travels namely in dating distant objects. but consider this if we could travel to the furthest galaxies such that at the furthest reaches of the universe people were scattered abroad and we all light a candle at the very same time; how would anyone in the future know exactly when they were lit simply by observing the light emitted from their own vantage in the universe? IN OTHER WORDS DISTANCE actually has NOTHING to DO WITH TIME in and of itself. WHAT IF ALL MASS WAS ONE ENORMOUS BURNING BALL OF FIRE in the BEGINNING a GIANT MOLTEN MASS SO LARGE it spanned the now scattered bits called galaxies in our universe and INSTEAD of coming together has been not just one big explosion but virtually innumerable ones such that all light and mass permeates the universe and what we now think of as space is nothing more than entropy, the breaking down of once giant cohesion. light then would have simultaneously come into existence and ALL matter as well; but we only THINK some is older due to our vantage of observation. something all naturalists are leaving out of their equations and that is the fact that the universe (reality) is not JUST Supernatural in origin, but Supernatural in essence and Designed specifically to teach us from GOD our Creator to our vantage. However, our vantage only ACCURATELY perceives Creation, when it is done in unity with our

Creator. <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EUQEMqF5dL8</u> In other words light appears to "travel" when in fact from the vantage of God light is rather pervasive. photons bump into other photons and objects speeding some up, slowing others down depending on collisions and vectors even causing changes in perceived colors and all of those exist not only supernaturally but even our ability to perceive them is supernatural. Some have called it a giant hologram, others the grand illusion; regardless understanding creation properly can only be accomplished by learning from our Creator. I for one have not been told by Him WHEN He brought all things into existence, and I think those who say the Holy Bible tells us WHEN still need to work at convincing me and others how they come to such conclusion. I am neither YEC or OEC personally; because I have yet to see a date for the BEGINNING anywhere in Holy Writ. So I write and speak about what GOD HAS taught me; rather than what He hasn't.<u>https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/understanding-the-holy-bible-part-</u>

1/611082142304082 Does it follow that He which made then from the Beginning is a direct reference to

the Beginning of all Time and Space, the Beginning of Creation, or is it a reference to the beginning of the manifestation of mankind on earth? I suppose those who argue for the rather narrow historic, literal interpretation, leave me out of their worldview, and the ONE who wrote the Scriptures who tells us plainly His Words are Spirit and are to be understood Spiritually. http://biblehub.com/1 corinthians/2-14.htm I think such vantage is leaving many revealed truths far behind because it is a rather narrow and private interpretation; not shared by the entire Body of Christ; let alone myself who has been taught by our Creator by many thousands upon thousands of revelations over many years. And yet, I reiterate I have no recollection of God ever telling me personally exactly WHEN Creation Began. He who inhabits Eternity, may one day tell me; but at present I see Creation as coming forth in our Eternal Creator, and while Creation definitely had a Beginning, when I have had glimpses of His Omniscence it is so vast as to seem without Beginning or End; HOW does one pinpoint the FIRST THOUGHT of an ETERNAL CONSCIOUSNESS? unless that Being tells them exactly? And IF He tells them; are we certain we have understood WHAT He tried to convey to us concisely? Often it takes multiple Divine Revelations to even begin to understand the very simplests concepts. I personally see no discrepancies between those who claim long dates and the Scriptures; and yet, neither am I convinced that ANY dating method I have researched is remotely accurate. Stepping beyond the parallax method, I see room for greater and greater error the larger the distances claimed by the other methods. Similar to the dating of anything prehistoric. The room for error increases exponentionally as one attempts to gaze beyond recorded history; especially beyond recorded history as contained in the Holy Bible. I am more fascinated with the fact that Creation is MOST CERTAINLY CREATED with a DIVINE PURPOSE

as <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EUQEMqF5dL8</u> points out, and it appears that purpose is to lead ALL souls to KNOWING our CREATOR personally. In that process perhaps one or more of us will finally be able to convince all the rest of us EXACTLY WHEN it ALL "began". Until that moment, I am more concerned with making sure that everyone knows Him, before people destroy each other and the world at present, while others are preoccupied with prehistoric theories of our

past. https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/america-is-under-

attack/699844766761152 and https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/end-times-verse-byverse/625795230832773 and https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/observable-divinejudgments/732720553473573



Mazzaroth the story of Yeshua.

For years I struggled with being a "Christian" using generalised titles as my Gods name etc. I struggled with...

YOUTUBE.COM

May 26, 2015 at 10:28pm · Like · Remove Preview



Dana Oleskiewicz · 2 mutual friends

Wow - methinks thou doth protest too much. Why the fear? And anger? And hate?

July 7, 2015 at 7:45am · Like · 1



Michael Swenson Dana Oleskiewicz because teaching children that they are nothing more than animals is leading to the insanity and depravity that is destroying our nation and the world, the devaluation of human life (including my own) that has caused me to suffer hellishly every waking moment due to the resulting wickedness of mass murdering doctors and nurses, raping cops, and a society full of people so clueless and apathetic that they say things like "Wow - methinks thou doth protest too much. Why the fear? And anger? And hate?" when I write such obvious things as to how to Know our Eternal Creator such that their souls can be saved from the ignorance and wickedness I have personally encountered as a result of this overt demoralization of our nation and the world by antichrists. This most obvioushttps://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/the-planned-demoralization-ofamerica/632305113515118 is leading to global catastrophic eventshttps://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/observable-divinejudgments/732720553473573 and loss of our freedoms that were fought, bled and died forhttps://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/americans-and-citizens-of-the-world-freedomand-life-comes-from-god/748905988521696 and your response as tohttps://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/why-care/814117475333880 is the classic and typical one from people who are watching the decimation of our nation and world due to such intended deceptions from the devil and his minions and yet still shrug their shoulders as if it's no big deal that people are suffering and dying worldwide due to this demonic madness, lies from hell itself, and not only suffering and dying but headed to the everlasting flames of damnation where their worm dies not. So matters of LIFE, DEATH and EVERLASTING DESTINIES are at stake and that is why my notes are most passionately written warning that evolutionary dogma is just one of many https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/strategies-of-war/674592165953079 causing so much widespreadhttps://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/why-so-muchsuffering/735857516493210. And not so much intended for willfully ignorant, stupid persons who don't

care enough about their own souls, let alone others, to escape hell in this life and to come<u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vQ8TEGMj-jc</u>, but are written to wise souls;<u>https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/to-those-who-care/814251095320518</u>



Michael Swenson

<u>April 12, 2014</u> ·

THE PLANNED DEMORALIZATION OF AMERICA

https://www.facebook.com/SonsOfLibertyRadio/photos/a.210934395644997.51021.118893408182430 /669870986418000/?type=1&theater

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VIIwRgSECcw

•••

Continue Reading

July 7, 2015 at 8:16am · Edited · Like · Remove Preview



Dana Oleskiewicz · 2 mutual friends

Fear, anger are not the tools of my Savior.

July 7, 2015 at 8:12am · Like · 1



Michael Swenson Dana Oleskiewicz then you don't KNOW the

SAVIOR.<u>http://biblehub.com/matthew/10-28.htm</u> away from me now and forever foolish woman(except you repent of criticizing such labor in the Faith of JESUS CHRIST and properly for the Salvation of souls), read and understand that hundreds of millions of souls are being lied to by very wicked persons and the ONE TRUE GOD tells us quite plainly <u>http://biblehub.com/psalms/7-11.htm</u> and unless you get off your lazy carcass and begin to criticize those leading innocent children astray instead of those fighting for their lives and souls, you will join those who hear<u>http://biblehub.com/matthew/7-23.htm</u>

Matthew 10:28 Do not be afraid of those who kill the body but cannot kill the soul. Rather, be...

And fear not them which kill the body, but are not able to kill the soul: but rather fear him which is able to destroy both soul and body in hell.

BIBLEHUB.COM

July 7, 2015 at 8:31am · Edited · Like · Remove Preview



Dana Oleskiewicz · 2 mutual friends

Jesus is about love, not hate. He is about peace, not anger. He is joy, not fear. It is you, my friend, who is being led astray. I will pray for you, Michael Swenson.

July 7, 2015 at 10:12am · Like · 1



Michael Swenson Dana Oleskiewicz I have seen Jesus Christ face to face, and you arrogantly presume to lecture me about who He is. <u>http://biblehub.com/2_thessalonians/1-8.htm</u> your willful misunderstanding of my intentions, of my plainly written words shows it is your own conscience and and lack of proper awareness and understanding of the very purpose of Jesus Christ that needs to be corrected. <u>http://biblehub.com/1_john/3-8.htm</u> to All who Repent He is Salvation, Love, Mercy, Goodness, but Divine Wrath and destruction is for all sinners, all devils, all unrepentant wicked souls and until you can face the evil that threatens all righteous persons worldwide with a proper attitude you are just one of the many enabling evil to spread in your present luvy duvy delusions of just who Jesus Christ really is. He is the LORD of Hosts, the Armies of Heaven and all His enemies will know His Wrath!!!!!!!!

...13wild waves of the sea, casting up their own shame like foam; wandering stars, for whom the black darkness has been reserved forever. 14It was also about these men that Enoch, in the seventh generation from Adam, prophesied, saying, "Behold, the Lord came with many thousands of His holy ones, 15to execute judgment upon all, and to convict all the ungodly of all their ungodly deeds which they have done in an ungodly way, and of all the harsh things which ungodly sinners have spoken against Him."... so perceive and understand that ALL RIGHTEOUS SOULS SHOUT TO THE WORLD "REPENT!!!!!!!" not because we hate them, but because we KNOW destruction, death, and hell is racing toward them or rather that they are racing toward it. Anyone who falsely accuses the Messengers of the LORD, has no means toward righteous, effectual prayer, I reject any of yours completely until the day you properly stop harassing the efforts of those who serve Him and learn instead to spend your time addressing the lost sinners of the world. good-bye and good riddance to you and all like you until the day you repent of this evil. I have better ways to spend my time than coddling those who have been

sucking the milk of sugar coated false doctrines these days about the true nature and character of the Lord of the Universe, JESUS CHRIST, the ONE TRUE GOD of all Creation.

2 Thessalonians 1:8 He will punish those who do not know God and do not obey the gospel of our...

BIBLEHUB.COM

July 7, 2015 at 2:12pm · Edited · Like · Remove Preview



<u>Michael Swenson Dana Oleskiewicz</u> A lifetime of research shows that not one good thing has come from Darwin's ludicrous theory, but has incited wars, ignorance, racism, bigotry, prejudice, insanity, madness, crimes, suicides, and all manner of vices. <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4mxXICZ9mXo...</u> IF there is any anger and hatred in my notes it is against such evil as this that is destroying souls, civilization and our world by perpetrating such evil brainwashing upon children and other ignorant persons who still do not KNOW JESUS CHRIST personally. There are many ways to spend your time wisely; falsely accusing those who serve Jesus Christ is not one of them. <u>http://biblehub.com/revelation/12-10.htm</u> leave me and my work for GOD alone; if you have any sense and IF you REALLY THINK you have repented of your own sins and received Jesus Christ, then spend your time telling the lost how to know Him, rather than critiquing those of us who already do.



Darwin's Deadly Legacy (1 of 7)

This groundbreaking documentary from Dr. Kennedy and Coral Ridge Ministries, looks into the chilling social impact...

YOUTUBE.COM

July 7, 2015 at 11:26am · Edited · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson http://www.icr.org/article/5657/369/



Mother of All Humans Lived 6,000 Years Ago | The Institute for Creation Research

ICR.ORG

July 7, 2015 at 4:39pm · Like · Remove Preview



Dana Oleskiewicz · 2 mutual friends

Do not reference me again in your posts. I do not wish to be 'throttled' with your inaccurate, highly inflammatory, method of communication that only breeds hate, fear, and anger, for I do not believe it comes from our Lord at all. Jesus is none of these things, and I choose to follow Him only.<u>https://www.facebook.com/1449424052004603/photos/a.1606949522918721.1073741833.14494</u>24052004603/1615494365397570/?type=1.

July 7, 2015 at 4:44pm · Like · 1



Dana Oleskiewicz · 2 mutual friends

Do not reference me again in your posts. I do not wish to be 'throttled' with your inaccurate, highly inflammatory, method of communication that only breeds hate, fear, and anger, for I do not believe it comes from our Lord at all. Jesus is none of these things, and I choose to follow Him only. Thank you.

July 7, 2015 at 4:44pm · Like · 1



<u>Michael Swenson</u> Dana Oleskiewicz Uninvited, you took it upon yourself to insupportably criticize both my work and my character dedicated out of Reverence to GOD, JESUS CHRIST, and for the salvation and edification of all souls; and yet then would deprive me of the liberty of free speech and thought granted

us by GOD Himself; while claiming to be one of His followers? without provocation of any kind, you libel my research and work and then dictate to me that I am not allowed to support or defend my integrity, my conclusions, my own character and person thereon?! you have the audacity to display your ignorance, your arrogance, your disdain, your perverse judgment on a lifetime of research written in such altruistic ways as to quote: "I want people to understand WHY persons like myself take this subject SO SERIOUSLY. We are NOT trying to participate in exercises of intellectual debate! We are NOT trying to insult, denigrate, slander, libel, anyone on earth! We are NOT trying to garner attention for ourselves! WE ARE TRYING TO SAVE LIVES! LITERALLY SAVE LIVES! NOT JUST FREEDOMS, LIBERTIES, AND CRITICAL THOUGHT, BUT LIVES AND EVERLASTING DESTINIES!!!!!!!

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QsIXG6YhnFY" I will expose any and all persons who are offended by Truth like yourself, as being FALSE representatives of the ONE they CLAIM to venerate. At NO TIME did the Lord Jesus Christ ever back away from proclaiming what is fundamentally true and at no time did He waver in the presence of false accusations from those who need to educate themselves, before ever attempting criticism of anyone or anything, let alone Him and His Messengers. You need to spend more time reading and learning, praying in your own behalf, before ever attempting communication with anyone else on earth, let alone denigration of work that deserves only commendation. If it is your mission in life to go around offending those who both profess and serve the Living Lord Jesus Christ and then CLAIM that your reason for doing so is due to some fantasy; some whimsical notion of yours regarding who you THINK He is; then I; yet, not I but He who is Faithful and True, counsels you to spend A LOT more time with Him; getting to KNOW Him as HE truly is. IF anything I have written is FACTUALLY untrue, then SHOW it; otherwise, LEARN from it and grow wiser and more knowledgeable; instead of remaining an unapologetic, hyper-critical, stubborn fool out of your present state of ignorance and willful misunderstanding. If you do not want to receive a mirrored image of yourself, I suggest practicing what you preach the next time you decide to comment on any other person's diligent efforts; especially when those efforts are dedicated to the Living Lord Jesus Christ and the Salvation and Edification of all souls, thank you.



ATHEISM RESPONSIBLE FOR LARGEST AMOUNT OF MASS MURDER SEEN...

YOUTUBE.COM

July 8, 2015 at 12:20pm · Edited · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson Dana Oleskiewicz persons calling themselves Christians that take it upon themselves to go around criticizing, slandering, libeling, denigrating and offending genuine Christians with patronizing platitudes and self-righteous attitudes while claiming "their Jesus" is nothing like their apparent representation of Him are not only hypocritical in speech but in conduct and are deceiving themselves. Convincing those of us who do know Him, that they do also; while preoccupied with the devil's handiwork of false accusations and willful misunderstandings has as much chance of success as a snowflake in hell. Clean up your own conscience by taking this and any other tendencies to criticize others who are doing God's work on earth to the ONE TRUE LORD, JESUS CHRIST, in prayer; so that you will perceive everything clearly and righteously. http://biblehub.com/titus/1-15.htm

Titus 1:15 To the pure, all things are pure, but to those who are corrupted and do not believe,...

To the pure all things are pure: but to them that are defiled and unbelieving is nothing pure; but even their mind and conscience is defiled.

BIBLEHUB.COM

July 8, 2015 at 12:48pm · Like · 1 · Remove Preview



Dana Oleskiewicz · 2 mutual friends

Wow - you truly are something. It is you who are doing all of those awful things. I simply asked you why are you so upset. I also asked you to stop using my name in your posts. Please do not do so again. Thank you.

July 8, 2015 at 12:51pm · Like



<u>Michael Swenson Dana Oleskiewicz</u> trust me I will cease any and all communication with you as soon as you no longer instigate it. Until then, I have taken far too much abuse from others, like you, who pretend to be all sweetness while inferring insults; to allow them to think it is their purview to bully me or anyone else on earth into obeying their pernicious will. BUT SINCE YOU ACTUALLY ASKED WHAT HAS BEEN CLEARLY EXPLAINED TO ALL WHO TAKE THE TIME TO READ, I WILL REITERATE: My righteous indignation is explained REDUNDANTLY; that is, IF you had bothered to READ and LEARN beforfe criticizing my efforts; in that: 1) It is FUNDAMENTALLY EXTREMELY EVIL to brainwash innocent children away from KNOWING GOD and LEARNING FROM HIM ALL THEIR DAYS in order to LIE to them and tell them they came from pond scum and monkeys. 2) It is ESPECIALLY EVIL in those that are doing so; who ADMIT they are INTENTIONALLY PERVERTING and BRAINWASHING innocent children to satisfy their own sexual perversions 3) Because these lies are raising adults in all areas of life that are so perverse, so immoral, so greedy, so selfish and so viceful that IT IS FACTUALLY ENDANGERING LIFE ON PLANET EARTH, not just our civilization and my own existencehttps://www.facebook.com/notes/michaelswenson/why-care/814117475333880 4) that these evolutionary lies taught in the name of science not only led me into sin and vice likewise, but death at a young age that placed my soul in outer darkness and there would I have remained but for the Grace and Mercy of our Living Lord Jesus Christ 5) my anger and rage is only INCREASED by persons like yourself who are too lazy to READ AND LEARN about the important fact that the antichristian demonic dogmas of the evolutionary paradigm being forced on hundreds of millions; if not billions of souls in mass brainwashing by very evil persons has not ONE SHRED of FACTUAL scientific support to date https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael- swenson/brainwashing/643617432383886; while the Biblical Account of Creation is Scientifically Supported Throughout; and thereby arming yourself with the knowledge this 5 part exposition holds; hopefully to then properly raise your own voice in defense of innocent children suffering under this antchristian demonic nonsense and oppression; that is so intrisincally evil it provably leads to depravity and insanity!!!! https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/evolution-and-atheismintertwined-religions-of-the-insane/492209810857983 Did you choose to take your time to increase your wisdom, knowledge and understanding by looking at the documentaries and citations herein? Nooooooo! but you spared not a moment of your time to be critical of my own most serious efforts to save lives and souls from perishing in such demonic ignorance and wickedness. http://www.blastthetrumpet.org/.../The%20religion%20of... SO WHILE CLAIMING TO SERVE

THE LORD, YOU HINDER HIS WORK; RATHER THAN SPENDING YOUR TIME EDUCATING THE WORLD that public indoctrination/brainwashing on this level for these reasons is in fact CRIMINAL and should be resulting in arrests across our nation and the whole

world!!!!!!!! <u>https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/the-planned-demoralization-of-america/632305113515118</u> BUT YOUR QUICK unrighteous judgment and lack of reading comprehension, diligent study of these topics has you harassing me, thereby allowing the<u>https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/strategies-of-war/674592165953079</u> against all persons worldwide by antichrists to continue unabated.



Michael Swenson

March 20, 2015 ·

Why Care?

For too many years, I walked the world intentionally looking away from anything I found disturbing, intentionally avoiding conflicts, intentionally avoiding hearing anything unpleasant; so I could survive

mainly. In so doing, by creating my own blissfully ignorant snow globe world of wishful thinking, in my own way I ...

Continue Reading

July 9, 2015 at 1:40pm · Edited · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson http://www.telegraph.co.uk/.../Children-are-born...



Children are born believers in God, academic claims

Children are "born believers" in God and do not simply acquire religious beliefs through indoctrination, according to an academic.

TELEGRAPH.CO.UK|BY BY MARTIN BECKFORD, RELIGIOUS AFFAIRS CORRESPONDENT

July 8, 2015 at 2:23pm · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson This comment is in general to all persons calling themselves Christians who think it is their mission and duty in life to harass, criticize, abuse, denigrate, falsely accuse, willfully misuderstand and otherwise attack true Christians who sacrifice their entire lives in service to GOD for the sake of His Creation. YOU NEED TO SPEND ALL YOUR WAKING MOMENTS ATTACKING THE devil, and his many antichristian ploys now in effect worldwide; NOT disciples of the LORD JESUS CHRIST!!!!!!!! All persons (especially such American females predominantly) who have turned themselves into mean spirited, hyper-critical, overtly domineering persons who resemble something from hell itself; emasculating their own husbands and sons, even strangers, disrespecting them and otherwise thinking they rule their universe and the world to such a degree that they even mold JESUS CHRIST, LORD of the Armies of Heaven, into something that resembles a timid effeminate version to their liking, STAY AWAY FROM ME NOW AND FOREVER!!!!!!!! (unless you repent) You in NO WAY represent JESUS CHRIST or CHRISTIANITY but are in fact in rebellion and opposition to Him and His

Teachings!!!!!!! http://biblehub.com/proverbs/6-19.htm If you wretches and poor excuses of what it


means to be a true woman of GOD spent even half as much time giving the devil and his minions as much grief as you give your own husbands and other men and women of GOD in the Faith, then this world would have long ago come to know the Lord!!!!!!! some of the most critical persons of others efforts in the Faith have done little to NOTHING themselves in the cause of the Great Commission. http://biblehub.com/mark/16-16.htm FOCUS on the lost, FOCUS on harassing the wicked and evil doers and their agendas and implemented policies of death and destruction!!!!!!!! And LADIES, ESPECIALLY AMERICAN WOMEN, LEARN AND REMEMBER that men crave, need, and godly men DESERVE RESPECT, like you need gentle loving care and compliments. It is commendable to be assertive, to stand for equality, but many overstep their efforts and in their zeal become domineering, destroying their own relationships. It is improper for men or women to be such, when we are designed to help each other; be supportive of each other instead of what the antichrists have done to destroy families. (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zCpjmvalgNA) IF YOU CRITICIZE A MAN (or woman) OF GOD, you NEED to back it with FACTS; not opinions or fantasies about "your Jesus" which often has become so perverted in your minds as to be nothing more than an idol, a god of your own liking and opinion; rather than who JESUS CHRIST really is!!!!!!! JESUS CHRIST is not some mamby pamby feel good, twinkle toes, little fairy! HE is the LORD of the UNIVERSE, LEGIONS of FLAMING ANGELS BRIGHTER THAN TEN THOUSAND SUNS ARE AT HIS COMMAND!!!!!!! And for all you who say the GOD of the Universe has never displayed anger, rage, hatred of wickedness, I say the TRUTH; you do NOT KNOW Him!!!!!!http://biblehub.com/2 thessalonians/1-8.htm GOD and MEN OF GOD, have the FULL GAMUT of emotions FOR THE REASON of USING THEM ALL AS NECESSARY. Fear, grounded in survival instincts; given us for the purpose of eschewing all things evil and wicked; and to learn to Reverence, Respect and Always Hold in Awe the Power that created us and the entire universe, the Same Power that can and will destroy us for choosing to become and remain wicked, perverse, demonic in thoughts, words and deeds; Love and Mercy to the Repentant (Love is the glue that holds the Virtues together, the impetus of every Righteous Thought, Word and Deed, the Bond of Fellowship between ALL who KNOW our Eternal Creator, Lord and Savior, JESUS CHRIST; the very essence and motivation of all that is Good, Honorable and Commendable), Comfort and Kindness to the disheartened, dowtrodden, weary; Sadness for those weeping due to the tragedies, trials and tribulations, calamities of this present dark age in which far too many are choosing to be sinful; estranged from their own Creator and the Knowledge that can Save them; ANGER; even RAGE against the ungodly who are harming innocent children, men and women of God, the righteous, faithful and decent souls that SHOULD NOT BE SUFFERING at the hands of the wicked!!!!!!!! No emotion God has given us is evil in any way, and all emotions when used properly are for our good and the good of all creation. Many of those speaking only Truth are being labeled by those choosing to be wicked as "haters" in derogatory fashion; all those proclaiming Truth do HATE: lies, false accusations, perversions, wickedness, evil, criminal thoughts, words and deeds that inherently harm all those participating in such and even those persons all around those participating in such. I personally hate the fact that people behaving so evilly FORCE us, instead of the many good and creative things we would RATHER be doing, to have to spend our time rebuking and in worst cases arresting them; even with lethal force when necessary. If all those proclaiming to be Christians were not focused on being critical of those who actually are Christians; INSTEAD; if those calling themselves CHRISTIANS actually were FULL OF THE VIRTUES OF CHRIST, they would be arming themselves and arresting the wicked worldwide for their crimes and atrocities against humanity; including and especially brainwashing

innocent children with their antichristian perversities and dogmas!!!!!!! women! stop STEPPING ON men (and other women in feigned self-righteousness and wistful notions of your imaginary version of Jesus Christ), your elevation will result automatically when you SUPPORT THEM instead of hindering them!!!!!!! (SUPPORT THE GODLY AND ALL RIGHTEOUS EFFORTS ON EARTH, ALL PROCLAIMED TRUTH; be critical of the ungodly, all lies, all wickedness, instead and if you are in one of those moods that men like to run away from; just to keep from giving you the tongue-lashing and/or spanking that you richly deserve (and must not have gotten in your upbringing); in such moments, TAKE IT OUT on the liars, the murderers, the rapists, the greedy, the wicked and perverse! NOT the men and women proclaiming Truth out of Love and Righteousness in Jesus Christ!!!!!!!)

July 20, 2015 at 4:38am · Edited · Like




<u>Michael</u> <u>Swensonhttps://www.facebook.com/groups/1581306098787363/permalink/1589057761345530/?pnre</u> <u>f=story</u>











Luke Lefebvre to Proving the Reality of the Flood of the Bible


July 10, 2015 ·

The Joseph ring with Jacob's name written on it. Dr. Bietack digging in avaris discovers nine rings and one of them contains the name of Jacob Joseph's father dating to the time of Joseph. Found in the palace in Egypt. You don't hear about this kind of stuff for the same reason you don't read or hear about the Tempest stone hidden in the basement of Cairo museum. A stone that shows the cutting of the water. That's the Red Sea crossing of water by the way. I wonder why we don't hear that? Do you think it has something to do with the brotherhood of Islam? Come on now give me a break.

July 10, 2015 at 6:50pm · Like · 1 · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson http://www.uncommondescent.com/.../a-world-famous.../



A world-famous chemist tells the truth: there's no scientist alive today who understands...

UNCOMMONDESCENT.COM

July 24, 2015 at 10:36pm · Like · 1 · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson Setting aside the Divinely Inspired HOLY WRIT, FACTUAL HISTORY, the scientifically supported contents of the HOLY BIBLE, for those who profess evolutionary rubbish ARE in fact following FANTASY, FABLES, FICTION!!! and their dogmas of facile twaddle arePREDOMINENTLY connected to the same gibberish as abiogenesis, and a causeless universe.https://www.facebook.com/notes/michaelswenson/the-modern-evolutionary-paradigm-is-not-science-it-isnt-even-good-sciencefictio/747467775332184 it is ALL complete CRAP, total rubbish FORCED on children in coerced indoctrination and HAS NO BASIS WHATSOEVER in reality! evolutionists post a meme of bones and CLAIM it is a transitional species because it has both lungs and gills, IT LOOKS EXACTLY like a dead salamander because that is what it is! BUT in the FANTASIES of evolutionists, it is hundreds of millions of years old and is prescribed another name to fool themselves and others; rather than what it really is, JUST ANOTHER salamander! https://www.google.com/search... ALL OF EVOLUTIONARY crap is that SAME kind of IMAGINARY NONSENSE. NO ONE in the RIGHT MIND believes a single cell magically morphed NO MATTER HOW MANY COUNTLESS AEONS you assign to it (also in your WILD FANTASIES) into all known species today. THOSE THAT DO ARE FACTUALLY DEMONSTRATING JUST HOW DANGEROUS MASS BRAINWASHING REALLY IS; because it leaves all such victims in https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/denial-of-reality/874509385961355 so severely, that it not only makes them look unbelievably STUPID but totally delusional! https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/creation-v-evolution-please-use-yourintelligence-to-recognize-

intelligence/566154943463469 and https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/evolution-andatheism-intertwined-religions-of-the-insane/492209810857983



Michael Swenson

November 22, 2014 ·

The Modern Evolutionary Paradigm is NOT SCIENCE, it isn't even good Science Fiction part 1 of 5

In the Holy and Omnipotent Name of YAHOSHUAH (JESUS CHRIST), I command the spirit of error and all foul and unclean spirits to depart from all who read these words. I call for the spirit of Repentance to come upon all who read these words and May GOD our FATHER grant His Holy Spirit to all who read these words and ful...

Continue Reading

July 27, 2015 at 3:12am · Edited · Like · 1 · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson http://www.icr.org/article/8822




Carbon-14 Found in Dinosaur Fossils | The Institute for Creation Research

ICR.ORG

July 29, 2015 at 10:10am · Like · 1 · Remove Preview



<u>Michael</u> <u>Swenson</u> https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=483496145142380&set=gm.895497190534028& type=1&theater



Kenneth Borden to God in Science

<u>August 4, 2015</u> ·

CORKSCREW

what would you think if I told you that there is a seed that is shaped like a corkscrew and that it can literally plant itself in the soil! The seed of the redstem filaree is indeed a wonder to behold, especially when you see it in time-lapse photography!

As the seed dries, it changes shape and launches itself from the parent plant by a spring-type mechanism. As it falls, its corkscrew-shaped tail starts it spinning so it lands farther away from the parent plant. Once it lands, the seed pokes its head into the soil. Then its whole length starts turning in a counter-clockwise direction. With each revolution, it bores itself deeper and deeper into the soil. If the seed encounters an obstacle, it simply reverses direction, backs out and finds a better path!

All right, evolutionists, answer this question: Which came first – the seed's corkscrew shape or its "knowledge" of how to turn in the right direction until it has planted itself in the soil at the right depth? Can't answer that? Then perhaps you should ask a creationist for the answer.

August 5, 2015 at 1:05am · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=W8Lc8N63UM8



The Terrifying Message within DNA!

Comparing mutation rates between human Mitochondrial DNA has yielded a terrifying hidden message. You'll have to...


YOUTUBE.COM

August 7, 2015 at 12:54pm · Like · Remove Preview



Michael

Swenson https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=10207062505071762&set=gm.89702857371422 3&type=1&theater



Glenn Ballard to God in Science

<u>August 7, 2015</u> ·

Charles Darwin on the fossil record (from "On the Origin of Species"):

"A crowd of difficulties will have occurred to the reader (of my theory). Some of them are so grave that to this day I can never reflect on them without being staggered."

(GB: You, should be staggered, Mr. Darwin.)

"Firstly, why, if species have descended from other species by insensibly fine gradations, do we not everywhere see innumerable transitional forms? Why is not all of nature in confusion instead of the species being, as we see them, well defined?"

(GB: Good question. Your theory doesn't make any sense.)

"The abrupt manner in which whole groups of species suddenly appear in certain (fossil) formations, has been urged by several paleontologists, for instance, by Agassiz, Pictet, and by none more forcibly than Professor Sedgwick, as a fatal objection to the belief in the transmutation of species."

(GB: They made a very good point; you should have listened to them.)

"There is another and allied difficulty, which is much graver. I allude to the manner in which numbers of species of the same group, suddenly appear in the lowest known fossiliferous rocks."

(GB: Yep. That's a big problem for your theory.)

"If my theory be true, it is indisputable that before the lowest Silurian stratum was deposited, long periods elapsed, as long as, or possibly far longer than, the whole interval from the Silurian age to the present day; and that during these vast, yet quite unknown, periods of time, the world swarmed with living creatures. To the question why we do not find records of these vast primordial period, I can give no satisfactory answer."

(GB: At this point, you seem to be aware that your theory is hopelessly flawed and untrue. Why you persisted in pressing such a hellish lie is beyond all rational explanation.)

"The several difficulties here discussed, namely our not finding in the successive formations infinitely numerous transitional links between the many species which now exist or have existed; the sudden manner in which whole groups of species appear in our European (fossil) formations; the almost entire absence, as at present known, of fossiliferous formations beneath the Silurian strata, are all undoubtedly of the gravest nature."

(GB: Yes, that's right - very grave indeed. Your theory is total crap.)

GB: Friends - do you hear what Darwin said? Allow me to paraphrase.

The fossil record overwhelmingly, completely refutes the theory of evolution. The evidence, which is completely fatal to the theory of evolution, can be summarized as follows:

(i) If the theory of evolution were true, there would be an infinite number of transitional links between species. But there is no evidence of any. NO EVIDENCE OF ANY!

(ii) If the theory of evolution were true, we would see species gradually forming over time in the fossil record. But we don't. Instead, the fossil record shows LARGE NUMBERS OF DIFFERENT SPECIES APPEARING ALL AT ONCE.

(iii) If the theory of evolution were true, there would be evidence of development of species, from the origin through vast periods of primordial ages. But there is NO SUCH EVIDENCE.

(iv) These problems are "UNDOUBTEDLY OF THE GRAVEST NATURE."

(v) But... you should believe this fanciful, made up lie anyway.

(NOPE.)

August 8, 2015 at 8:08am · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aESGdORhHlg&feature=share



Fossil Record: A Problem for Evolution

Seminar by John Morris PhD. Seattle Creation Conference, October 2010 Description: Evolution relies on, depends on,...

YOUTUBE.COM

August 9, 2015 at 10:25am · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=865255473562745&set=gm.990546557642784& type=1&theater



Dominic J Overman to Young Earth Christian Creationists Coalition

August 15, 2015 ·

Found this photo on google

August 16, 2015 at 3:30pm · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson http://www.creationmoments.com/.../water-water-everywhere



Water, Water Everywhere! | Creation Moments

NASA never seems to tire of looking for signs of life throughout the universe. Though the space agency is no longer involved with SETI, the...


CREATIONMOMENTS.COM

August 21, 2015 at 4:50pm · Like · Remove Preview



Michael

<u>Swenson https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=1630232210567306&set=gm.994420030588770</u> <u>&type=1&theater</u> - evolutionists have been caught with numerous attempts at frauds to support their religious beliefs; and so they project such attempts by creationists. (it gets to be ridiculous the mountain of evidence they have to dismiss in order to go on believing in their nonsense)



Dustin Darabaris to Young Earth Christian Creationists Coalition

August 24, 2015 ·

Not sure if this has been posted yet.

August 24, 2015 at 7:27pm · Like · 1 · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QnnA5-JKb90&feature=youtu.be



Messing with Neanderthal bones - Making ancient man look like an ape

YOUTUBE.COM

August 27, 2015 at 3:28am · Like · Remove Preview




Michael Swenson https://www.facebook.com/.../a.546394.../818596844844350/...

<u>August 28, 2015 at 2:06am</u> · <u>Like</u> · <u>1</u>



<u>Michael</u> <u>Swenson</u> <u>https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=10155892181900234&set=gm.39257878427220</u> <u>2&type=1&theater</u>



Luke Lefebvre to The Bible, Archaeology, History And The Yellow Post

August 30, 2015 ·

It's not possible to find carbon-14 in the coal. Coal is supposed to be 300 million years old according to evolution but c14 has been detected in fossil fuel's, coal and coal mines, and diamonds. That my friend is a serious problem. It was never believed until it was found and now and atheist has an excuse. You're about to hear a whole bunch of them here today. The most important excuse is water does that and contaminates. But not Noah and the flood water. It's funny

August 30, 2015 at 3:31pm · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=10204949580810319...

September 6, 2015 at 4:57pm · Like



Michael

Swenson https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=1054996427852304&set=p.1054996427852304& type=1&theater




"The Laws of Physics.. are almost always deadly. In a sense the laws of nature are like East Coast weather: tremendously variable, almost always awful, but on rare occasions, perfectly lovely... Our own universe is an extraordinary place that appears to be fantastically well designed for our own existence. This specialness is not something that we can attribute to lucky accidents, which is far too unlikely. The apparent coincidences cry out for an explanation."

- Leonard Susskind, the Felix Bloch professor of Theoretical physics at Stanford University, and director of the Stanford Institute for Theoretical Physics.

September 11, 2015 at 10:01am · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson http://thetruthwins.com/.../44-reasons-why-evolution-is...



44 Reasons Why Evolution Is Just A Fairy Tale For Adults

THETRUTHWINS.COM

September 17, 2015 at 12:16pm · Like · 1 · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson http://www.zerohedge.com/.../major-medical-journal...

Major Medical Journal Retracts Numerous Scientific Papers After Fake Peer-Review Scandal | Zero...

A major publisher of scholarly medical and science articles has retracted 43 papers because of "fabricated" peer reviews amid signs of a broader fake peer review racket affecting many more publications. As The Washington Post reports, BioMed Central - a well-known publication of peer-reviewed journa...


ZEROHEDGE.COM

September 17, 2015 at 12:17pm · Like · Remove Preview



Michael

Swenson https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=1009149802463123&set=a.345811072130336.8 6973.100001043398117&type=1&theater¬if_t=photo_reply - there is a disconnect in the meeting of the minds between far too many on both sides of the evolution/creation debate. Heather is trying to clear the misconceptions that are and are not in dispute.



Heather Ritz

<u>August 2, 2015</u> ·

"[M]any modern biologists have noted, that the term evolution can mean different things. ...The following definitions develop and distinguish these multiple meanings. We propose them as guideposts for clear biology instruction.

Principal Meanings of Evolution in Biology Textbooks

1. Change over time; history of nature; any sequence of events in nature [Yes, I agree, as this is observable].

2. Changes in the frequencies of alleles in the gene pool of a population [Yes, I agree, as this is observable].

3. Limited common descent: the idea that particular groups of organisms have descended from a common ancestor [Yes. I agree, as each Kind of creature would have a common ancestor(s). Read my note: "After Their Kind..." (<u>https://www.facebook.com/notes/heather-ritz/after-their-kind/602524619792312</u>)].

4. The mechanisms responsible for the change required to produce limited descent with modification; chiefly natural selection acting on random variations or mutations [I disagree, as rarely random, mostly directed. Read my note: "Darwin Was Wrong!" (<u>https://www.facebook.com/.../heather-ritz/dar.../914250588619712</u>)].

5. Universal common descent: the idea that all organisms have descended from a single common ancestor. [I disagree, as the evidence doesn't support this. Read my notes: "The Evolutionary Tree is Imaginary" (<u>https://www.facebook.com/notes/heather-ritz/the-evolutionary-tree-is-imaginary/720434261334680</u>) and "Evolutionary Constraints"

(https://www.facebook.com/notes/heather-ritz/evolutionary-constraints/618904698154304)].

6. Blind watchmaker thesis: the idea that all organisms have descended from common ancestors through unguided, unintelligent, purposeless, material processes such as natural selection acting on random variations or mutations; the idea that the Darwinian mechanism of natural selection acting on random variation, and other similarly naturalistic mechanisms, completely suffice to explain the origin of novel biological forms and the appearance of design in complex organisms [I disagree, as this is an atheistic viewpoint which the evidence doesn't support]." (The Meanings of Evolution by Stephen C. Meyer and Michael Newton Keas)

http://www.discovery.org/articleFiles/PDFs/Meanings2000.pdf

September 17, 2015 at 8:30pm · Like · Remove Preview



Michael

Swenson https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=477404702419609&set=gm.1040023642705293 &type=1&theater The Fossil Record is easy to debunk that it supports the theory of evolution, or is a record of time. This is because the Fossil Record supports the World Wide Flood instead.There are 7 proofs of this.

Jase Lamont to atheists and evoTARDS think they can trick me. HA HA! HA!

September 17, 2015 ·

Evolution is a Lie Debunking the fossil record supporting evolution is easy to do. How? Time has no sorting mechanism to it. So all one has to do is either look for sorting evidence, or things that defy time record keeping. There is more than one type of evidence for this. Time only records what lived and died and what may have gone extinct. If the fossil record is a time record then all that it records has to be correct. And no evidence of sorting because sorting supports the flood not time.

First we have the sorting of time eras. Most everything in the fossil record lived in only one time era and then is not seen again in the fossil record. That's 250,000 fossils sorted that way. Sorting is evidence of a flood.

Second we have the visible separation of land life and aquatic life at the halfway point of the fossil record. That's another sorting type. Than as you go upward the aquatic life get less and less to the point that if one took the record seriously that one would have to think all aquatic life went extinct. Why? Today there is 250,000 known species of aquatic life So for the record to be a continual less and less aquatic fossils as we go upward means the time record did not record the 250,000 aquatic life surviving, or evolving. In fact the last upper time era has very little aquatic life then present time (today) poof 250,000 species alive? A record that did not record the 250,000 surviving is not a time record. And poof there it is supports quick creation not slow evolution.

Third we have the aquatic life sorting pattern. During a flood the aquatic life already live in the water so they would not be drowning. What that means is as they get buried by all the sediments a pattern would be revealed because they would be buried right where they lived. This pattern would be: Bottom dwellers first, mid dwellers second, and top dwellers last. And that's what we observe. Why would evolution evolve life in a pattern that supports the flood? And by the way that's another form of sorting.

Forth we have where the fossil record looks almost like a total extinction record. There are very few things that actually make it to the top time era pointing to a possible survival. As it happens their original time era happens to be the top era because everything below it did not make it.

Sorting sorts like a coin sorter works, If the coin sorter mixed up coins that would not be sorting, would it? Time records no sorting involved. But yet compared to how the whole record looks, sorting is all one sees from time era to time era. That is why there is practically "no mixing" of fossils. Just like no mixing of coins in a coin sorter. Explain how time that has no sorting mechanism sorts fossils anyway and arranges 250,000 fossils in such a way that all hardly ever repeat from one time era to another?

Fifth we have over 8.7 million species alive today on this planet. How many fossils do we have in the "last time era"? That is less than 1% that the fossil record supposedly recorded surviving or evolving. It's like poof here's 8.7 million species only less than 1% recorded. When did less than 1% become good evidence and proof for a theory?

Now if we took the number of the whole fossil record guess what? That percent does not get much better. It only rises to a little less than 3%. So the fossil record is only 3% of the total evidence we have alive today of anything surviving or evolving. When did less than 3% evidence become really good evidence to draw a conclusion from?

And sixth we have evidence that totally defies the record recording actual time. And the evidence is living fossils. There are now over 100 known living fossils. All of them have the same problem that defy the record being a time record.

1) They are only found in one time era and alive. All time eras in between their original one and present time they are "always" missing from. That is another form of sorting not time recording.

2) Living fossils are unchanged aka unevolved. This is repeatable over 100 times and is observable which makes it very strong empirical evidence against evolution and the fossil record being a record of time.


And seventh we have the sediment sorting into observable layers. What mechanism in time sorts sediments and can be observed and repeated? Nothing. But water like from the flood is observable in doing this and this is repeatable as well. Which makes it empirical evidence.

Now I have shown 7 different evidences of sorting. Something time has no mechanism to do. And all of it is observable and repeatable evidence. So we have 7 empirical evidences against the fossil record being evidence for evolution or evidence of actual recorded

September 17, 2015 at 10:35pm · Like · Remove Preview



<u>Michael</u> <u>Swenson</u> https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=478377838988962&set=gm.1041871912520466 &type=1&theater



Darwinism is a dead religion that atheists desperately keeps alive. If evolution is found not to be true their only recourse is to believe there is a GOD.

September 20, 2015 at 4:40pm · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson http://m.phys.org/.../2013-09-functioning-mechanical...



Functioning 'mechanical gears' seen in nature for the first time

PHYS.ORG

September 25, 2015 at 8:28am · Like · Remove Preview



<u>Michael</u> <u>Swenson</u> https://www.facebook.com/official.Ray.Comfort/videos/1102871869733285/?pnref=story



Really Bad Drawings by Ray Comfort -- "Why Evolution is False"

<u>01:18</u>

137,599 Views

Ray Comfort

September 26, 2015 ·

"Why Evolution is False."

September 27, 2015 at 4:37am · Like · Remove Preview



Len Kloth Your a nut, go away!

September 27, 2015 at 7:57am ·



<u>Michael Swenson</u> ^typical response of those who can't defend their religious belief in the evolutionary paradigm with any rational thought or empirical evidence (because they don't have any.)

September 27, 2015 at 7:59am · Like · 1



Michael

Swenson https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=10207472982533442&set=gm.92740915067616 5&type=3&theater



Glenn Ballard to God in Science

October 1, 2015 ·

Arguing Against Macro-Evolution (Part 4)

Refuting Darwinism (copyright Glenn Ballard, 2015)

It is fundamentally important to realize how pervasive and powerful Darwinism is in our society. I dare say that the thinking of practically every person - if not every single person - currently living in the United States and Western Europe has been profoundly influenced by Darwinism, and in ways they probably don't even understand.

It's also fundamentally important to understand the underlying philosophy - yes philosophy - of Darwinism. Darwinists love to refer to strange newly discovered animals at the bottom of the ocean, and the latest flu virus, and microscopic bacteria; and they love to use a lot of technical scientific terms that cause non-scientists' eyes to glaze over. And they love to refer to the "mountains of scientific research papers" that prove that "evolution is a fact." That's all part of the art of deception. But underneath all the smoke and mirrors, Darwinism is a philosophy.

A lot of outstanding scientific research and writing is being done to stand up to and refute Darwinism by people like Kirk Durston, Fazale Rana, Michael Behe, Stephen Meyer and many others, as well as organizations like the Discovery Institute, and this scientific work is immensely important. But it's also fundamentally important that we understand the underlying philosophy of Darwinism and be able to recognize it, in the myriad ways it is expressed, and be able to to think critically about it and analyze it - and yes, refute it.

When I refer to Darwinism, I mean the theory of evolution. I know a lot of well meaning people are trying to redefine evolution to refer to various concepts that they call "theistic evolution," "guided evolution," "intelligent evolution" and so on. I think this a grand mistake. I think it's very much like trying to mix oil and water. I will call a spade a spade. Darwinism = the theory of evolution; and the theory of evolution = Darwinism. I use the terms interchangeably. And evolution is **not** a fact!

Darwinism refers to three fundamental ideas:

(i) Universal Common Descent (or Universal Common Ancestry) -

The story line is that all animals, including humans, descended from a single common ancestor (think single cell amoeba); and, a bit closer to home, that men, mice and monkeys share a common ancestor that roamed the earth 80 million years ago.

(ii) Random Mutations -

The story line is that genetic mutations (a big word that just means changes) occur randomly. (Randomness is a very big thing for Darwinists - they believe that literally everything is random and meaningless.)

(iii) Natural Selection -

Also referred to as "survival of the fittest."

That's it. Those three ideas - and only those three - are the pillars upon which the entire theory of evolution is built. Darwinism asserts that all the complexity of biological life in the entire world:

(i) descended from a single common ancestor; and "evolved" as the result of:

- (ii) random genetic mutations (changes); and
- (iii) natural selection (survival of the fittest) acting on those random mutations.

Let's throw down these three pillars one by one. Shall we?

Universal common descent (or universal common ancestry) is a very tempting idea for many people. After all, so the thinking goes, humans have two eyes (per human), and chimpanzees have two eyes (per chimp) --> therefore we must share a common evolutionary ancestor. Oh I know - Darwinists talk about common DNA and all that jazz - but the logic of the argument is the same: some (not all!) commonality of DNA, and other physical features, is evidence that we share a common ancestor with chimpanzees. And chimpanzees and dogs and cats are so cute and smart and, well, human-like. It's a tempting idea.

But the conclusion of common descent (common ancestry) is fallacious. The fact of common DNA and other features does not necessarily imply a common ancestor. Common DNA and other common features could be the result of common design. In fact, most of the greatest intellects in the history of the world have concluded common design, not common descent. Common design is a more rational conclusion than common descent, as will be discussed further later.

Randomness is the second major pillar of Darwinism. Darwinists assert that genetic mutations are random. They actually believe the root cause of everything in the world and even the universe is random. This is not a very tempting idea at all. It defies logic and is at variance with the plain observation of everything in the world and the universe that we are able to observe - both on the grand scale and the molecular scale. Trees, birds, butterflies, human anatomy, planetary orbits, snow flakes, molecular structure, DNA coding - everything we are able to observe displays order and design and not randomness. And now the excellent work of some molecular biologists studying the field of Intelligent Design has revealed that - as logic would tell us - genetic mutations are not random either. Everything is not random! In fact, I assert that nothing is random. Randomness is not a tempting idea at all - especially as an explanatory cause of the development of the complexity of biological life.

Natural selection, or survival of the fittest, is the third pillar of the theory of evolution. This is a somewhat attractive and tempting idea. This is because all of us see survival of the fittest - to some degree - at operation in the world. We live in a competitive world. We learn to compete at a very young age (some of us more than others), and the sense and necessity of competition stays with us our entire lives. But survival of the fittest is tremendously lacking in power to explain the complexity of biological life. It may explain which team wins the Super Bowl, but it does not explain how we all got here. There are many, many cases of living things in nature that cannot be said to be the fittest. Even among humans, not all men are near replicas of Arnold Schwarzenegger or Sylvester Stallone or Michael Jordan; and not all women are near replicas of Raquel Welch or Audrey Hepburn or Elizabeth Taylor. Instead, what we see in the real world is tremendous variation!

We've looked at the underground foundation of Darwinism - universal common descent, random mutations and natural selection. Now let's look at the above-the-ground structure. What is it exactly that Darwinists are pressing us to accept?

The proposition of the theory of evolution is quite startling. Darwinists want us to believe that random mutations and natural selection caused simpler biological systems to "evolve" into more complex biological systems. This is, to use a polite term, absurd.

World famous Professor of Biology and author, Michael J. Behe, Ph.D., strenuously objects to such as utterly impossible. He coined the term "irreducible complexity" to communicate the fact that biological systems are complex, and irreducibly so, with many component parts and functions, and that an entire biological system is not able to function or survive without all the component parts. In common terms, you can't have half an eye.

How are beautiful buildings built? How are skyscrapers built? They don't just "evolve" from raw materials. (For that matter, the "raw materials" of construction do not evolve either - wooden boards, steel beams and concrete blocks all have to be made.) The construction of a beautiful building requires the direction of an architect, a designer and a builder.

It is more rational - much more rational, in fact - to conclude that biological life was intelligently designed and constructed rather than that it "evolved" by random mutations and natural selection from a single common ancestor (which also begs the question of how that so called single common ancestor came to exist).

October 2, 2015 at 1:22am · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson https://youtu.be/so3BhFWaSeY



Why The Fossil Record Does Not Support Evolution

YOUTUBE.COM

October 30, 2015 at 1:54am · Like · Remove Preview



Michael

Swensonhttps://www.facebook.com/groups/YoungEarth3C/permalink/1028035183893921/?pnref=stor Y







Kenneth Borden to Young Earth Christian Creationists Coalition

November 3, 2015 ·

Kind vs. Species:

Species- a group of living organisms consisting of similar individuals capable of exchanging genes or interbreeding.

Genus-

the usual major subdivision of a family or subfamily in the classification of organisms, usually consisting of more than one species.

Family-

family (Latin: familia, plural familiae) is one of the eight major taxonomic ranks; it is classified between order and genus.

There are no hard rules for describing or recognizing a family, or any taxa.

Picture 1) - Serval cat Family- Felidae Genus- leptailurus Species- serval

Picture 2) - Domestic cat Family - Felidae Genus- Felis Species- catus

Picture 3) Savannah cat This is hybrid that has viable offspring.

the definition of a species, animals that can only breed with themselves.

So WHY can a different genus and species have offspring?


Because they are the same Kind. CAT kind.

November 3, 2015 at 12:29pm · Like · Remove Preview



Michael

Swenson https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=518644261627568&set=gm.947400042010409& type=3&theater -https://www.google.com/search... those claiming deep time in the geologic column of millions, hundreds of millions and even billions of years are doing so despite the evidence; not because of it. <u>https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/the-modern-evolutionary-paradigm-is-not-</u> science-it-isnt-even-good-science-fictio/747467775332184



Kenneth Borden to God in Science

November 19, 2015 ·

Biological material decays too fast.

Natural radioactivity, mutations, and decay degrade DNA and other biological material rapidly. Measurements of the mutation...

See More

November 19, 2015 at 3:14pm · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=541733155985345&set=gm.816033201858782& type=3&theater



January 27 at 1:47am · Like · Remove Preview



Michael


Swenson https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=541740759317918&set=gm.816046951857407& type=3&theater



January 27 at 1:47am · Like · Remove Preview



<u>Michael</u> <u>Swenson</u> https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=541742859317708&set=gm.816049468523822& type=3&theater



January 27 at 1:48am · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Gr8Az3QQZdI



Our Created Solar System

What You Aren't Being Told About Astronomy Volume 1 SUBSCRIBE: <u>http://www.youtube.com/subscription_center?...</u>

YOUTUBE.COM

January 27 at 1:57am · Like · Remove Preview



Michael

Swensonhttps://www.facebook.com/groups/743253032470133/permalink/815718388556930/



Kenneth Borden to Proof of God of the Bible: Science, archaeology, statistics and more

January 26 ·

More Proof That Dinosaurs Lived with 'Later' Creatures

According to evolution, dinosaurs lived during an age when birds and non-reptile land creatures were either present in just a few "primitive" forms or not at all. But a recent National Geographic online interview offered a summary of fossil discoveries made in a dinosaur-bearing deposit in Madagascar. And like most dinosaur deposits—but unlike museum dinosaur displays—it was richly endowed with plenty of non-dinosaur fossils.

The issue of whether dinosaurs co-existed with a wide range of other creatures is critical, because evolution's version of dinosaur history directly contradicts the history attested in the Bible. According to evolution, dinosaurs dominated certain "times" millions of years ago. But according to Scripture, all animals and plants had been created by the end of the sixth day. If the former is true, then dinosaur fossils should primarily be found by themselves. But if the latter is true, then dinosaur remains should be found mixed with those of birds, mammals, and all kinds of plants.

Medical doctor Carl Werner undertook an extensive investigation of evolution. His results are published in a series of books and videos. He explained his prediction about dinosaur strata and fossils in the video titled Evolution: the Grand Experiment, Episode 2, Living Fossils:

If evolution was not true, and if animals did not change over time, I should be able to find modernappearing plants and modern-appearing animals in the dinosaur rock layers. And this is in fact what I found.1

Werner said that dinosaur rocks contained "fossilized examples from every major invertebrate animal phylum living today" and "cartilaginous fish...boney fish...and jawless fish," as well as "modern-looking

frogs and salamanders." Mixed in among dinosaurs are "all of today's reptile groups" and "parrots, owls, penguins, ducks, loons, albatross, cormorants, sandpipers, avocets, etc."2

David Krause of Stony Brook University in New York has been digging fossils in a Cretaceous deposit in Madagascar for over ten years. The interview with National Geographic highlighted his "most interesting and important finds," and the array of remains he's discovered lines up with what Werner found— plenty of non-dinosaur kinds located in dinosaur rock layers.3

Along with dinosaurs, the finds included an extinct bird named Rahonavis, a short-bodied crocodile-like creature called Simosuchus, and a toad that Krause and his colleagues named Beelzebufo. Other than having been about twice the size of today's largest toads at 10 pounds, it was just like a modern toad.

None of these showed any hint of transitioning from one form to another, as Darwinism predicts, but instead each was found fully formed. And who knows what other birds, amphibians, and possibly mammals were discovered by the team in Madagascar that have yet to be publicized.

Dinosaur rock layers contain all kinds of creatures from all kinds of habitats, including those of both land and sea.4 Evolution can provide no explanation for this circumstance. It is completely to be expected, however, if these creatures were created all together and then deposited in catastrophic mudflows powered by the year-long, world-destroying Flood and its residual effectso



More Proof That Dinosaurs Lived with 'Later' Creatures | The Institute for Creation Research


WWW.ICR.ORG

January 27 at 8:16am · Like · Remove Preview



Michael

<u>Swenson</u> https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=484672574910851&set=oa.379583818792037&t ype=3&theater



Heather Ritz

November 11, 2012 ·

"And God said, Let the earth bring forth the living creature after his kind... God said unto them, Be fruitful, and multiply..." (see: Genesis 1)

"A fossil of one of the world's oldest-known turtle shells with a limb bone dating from [supposedly] 215 million years ago, discovered..." (World's oldest turtle shells stand test of time, October 24, 2012) http://phys.org/news/2012-10-world-oldest-turtle-shells.html

"The world's oldest fossil sea turtle known to date has been found by scientists. The fossilized reptile is [supposedly] 120 million years old -- which makes it [supposedly] 25 million years older than the previously known oldest specimen. The almost completely preserved skeleton from the Cretaceous, with a length of nearly 2 meters, shows all of the characteristic traits of modern marine turtles." (Oldest fossil sea turtle discovered: Huge fossilized turtle is at least 120 million years old, September 7, 2015) http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2015/.../150907113919.htm

"The California Academy of Sciences says chimaeras are a group of cartilaginous fish that [supposedly] branched off from sharks [supposedly] 400 million years ago.

Chimaera is a Greek word used to describe a mythic monster. The longnose variety is found off Nova Scotia and in various other parts of the Atlantic Ocean. They feed on shrimp and crabs and are harmless to humans.

Dalhousie University biology Prof. Jeffrey Hutchings says the photos Tanner took do appear to show a longnose chimaera, also known as a knifenose chimaera.

He says they are quite uncommon as they are normally only found at a depth of more than several hundred metres." (Creepy deepwater fish surprises Nova Scotia fisherman, Mar 07, 2016) http://www.cbc.ca/.../lunenburg-fisherman-photographs-creepy-...

"Recent fossil discoveries show that the earliest accepted horseshoe crabs, strikingly similar in overall apearance to modern forms (fig.

1), lived [supposedly] 445 million years ago during the Late Ordovician Period." (Biology and

Conservation of Horseshoe Crabs, Pg 26)

http://books.google.com.au/books...

"Dr. Adrian Kin and Dr. Błażej Błażejowski discovered 148 million years old horseshoe crab fossils... "Horseshoe crabs are unusual animals that live to this day. They are the subject of research due to their unique properties" (Polish researchers discovered the oldest ancestor of Atlantic horseshoe crab, 22.10.2014)

http://scienceinpoland.pap.pl/.../news,402380,polish-research...

Trilobite's are supposedly 400 million years old, yet "Trilobites have been recently discovered on the ocean floor"

https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=866436816766116&set=p.866436816766116&type=3&the ater

"The morphology of coelacanths has not fundamentally changed since the Devonian age, that is, for about[supposedly] 400 million years. Nevertheless, these animals known as living fossils are able to genetically adapt to their environment. ...and are potentially also able to adapt to new environmental conditions." (Still capable of adapting: Genetic diversity of 'living fossil' coelacanths, June 14, 2012) http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2012/.../120614094115.htm

The coelacanth were assumed by many scientists to have gone extinct supposedly around 65 million years ago, yet coelacanth were found alive, therefore it is possible that any creature thought to be extinct, may not be extinct.

"Today we've only explored about 3% of what's out in the ocean... In a place where we thought there was no life at all, we find more life, we think, in diversity and density, than the tropical rain-forest, which tell us that we don't know much about this planet at all. There's still 97% which is either empty or full of surprises." (From the video in: WATCH: What You Don't Know About Life at the Bottom of the Ocean, 06/03/2013)

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/.../underwater-astonishments_b_...

"A [supposedly] 150 million year old dragonfly fossil has the same body plan as a modern dragonfly." (Living Fossils, BBC, Aug 2009)

http://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/p00486wy

"The earliest known bats appear in the fossil record [supposedly] ≈50 million years ago, and they appear suddenly and already possessing the anatomical hallmarks of powered flight (including elongated third, fourth, and fifth forelimb digits) (6–8). Thus, it seems to be likely that the earliest known fossil bats were already capable of powered flight"

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1458926/

"Bats, shrews and squirrels all have their fossil record counterparts. The opossum, which has fossils dating roughly [supposedly]70 million years, is considered the world's oldest living mammal. It is also the only native marsupial in the North American continent. .. The ocean is home to many creatures that have not changed much since prehistoric times. Sharks date back to the Devonian period, lobsters and crayfish to the Jurassic and certain jellyfish have fossil relatives dating back [supposedly] 500 million years." (What Is a Living Fossil? <u>eHow.com</u>)

http://www.ehow.com/facts_5799329_living-fossil_.html...

"An ancient deep-sea mud-inhabiting 1,800-million-year-old sulfur-cycling microbial community from

Western Australia is essentially identical both to a fossil community 500 million years older and to modern microbial biotas discovered off the coast of South America in 2007. ...The marked similarity of microbial morphology, habitat, and organization of these fossil communities to their modern counterparts documents exceptionally slow (hypobradytelic) change that, if paralleled by their molecular biology, would evidence extreme evolutionary stasis." (Sulfur-cycling fossil bacteria from the 1.8-Ga Duck Creek Formation provide promising evidence of evolution's null hypothesis, February 17, 2015)

http://www.pnas.org/content/112/7/2087.abstract

"the goblin shark [which] belongs to the Mitsukurinidae [family], seems to have barely changed in [supposedly] 125 million years. That means the goblin shark is a "living fossil", an animal that has survived seemingly unchanged for a huge span of time.

...Coelacanths have roots that stretch back [supposedly] 390 million years. They are large, bottomdwelling fish that can grow up to 2m long. Their fleshy, limb-like fins and dappled scales look as if they've been flecked with blobs of white paint.

...Tadpole shrimps are found as far apart as China and Scotland, and have survived for [supposedly] 300 million years. That means they survived the [supposedly] Permian extinction, often known as the Great Dying, which wiped out almost every other animal species.

...the overall look of each living fossil has stayed more or less the same.

...Horseshoe crabs are also great survivors. The earliest versions show up in the fossil record [supposedly] half a billion years ago." (Are There Some Animals That Have Stopped Evolving? 13 April, 2015)

http://www.bbc.com/.../s.../20150413-can-animal-stop-evolving

Sponges haven't evolved! "A team of researchers with members from China, the U.S. and France has identified an ancient sponge found in a geologic formation in southern China and have dated it to [supposedly] 600 million years ago [60 million years before the Cambrian started], ...the team claims the sponge is the oldest ever found and likely represents a group of descendants that is an ancestor of all sponges.

...The team has named it Eocyathispongia qiania and note that it is made up of hundreds of thousands of cells and is formed in three tube-like chambers that are affixed to a common base, with cell structures that resemble those in modern sponges. They also found surface cells that indicate pores, again, like modern sponges, which control water flowing into the organism." (Oldest known sponge found in China, Mar 10, 2015)

http://phys.org/news/2015-03-oldest-sponge-china.html#jCp

"...remain virtually unchanged for hundreds of millions, even billions of years. Among these are cyanobacteria, diatoms, some snakes, sharks, jellyfish, sponges, dragonflies, scorpions and crocodilians. ...The lemur has been around for tens of millions of years and is still around today. ...There are many other forms that live today that are similar to those of ancient time such as diatoms and snakes that have gone through many changes and proliferation of sub-species, but in form they are very similar to the ancient ones." (Some Organisms do not Evolve into more Complex Forms, September 20, 2010) http://syzygyastro.hubpages.com/.../Some-Organisms-do-not-Evo...

"It looks like a lizard, but it croaks like a frog. ;;;It definitely has not evolved! Fossils of a creature virtually identical to the tuatara have been found in rocks which evolutionary geologists date at [supposedly] 200

million years old." (Tuatara—confined to its kind! May 13, 2015) http://creationrevolution.com/tuatara-confined-to-its-kind/...

"The fish, which lived [supposedly] 419 million years ago in an area that is now part of China, is the earliest known species with a modern jaw. ...Palaeontologists have traditionally believed that the fishes' features bore no relation to ours. They assumed that the placoderm face was lost to evolutionary history, and most thought that the last common ancestor of living jawed vertebrates had no distinct jawbones — that it was similar to a shark, with a skeleton made mostly of cartilage and at most a covering of little bony plates. The theory went that the bony fishes evolved later, independently developing large facial bones and inventing the 'modern' jaw. Such fishes went on to dominate the seas and ultimately gave rise to land vertebrates. But findings published today in Nature1 may turn that view on its head. ... [lol, I love this next bit], There remains a chance that E. primordialis evolved its jaw independently from the bony fish, so that we did not inherit it, and the resemblance is an illusion." (Ancient fish face shows roots of modern jaw, 25 September 2013) <u>http://www.nature.com/.../ancient-fish-face-shows-roots-of-mo...</u>

"We were amazed to see that a [supposedly] 114 million year old deep-sea assemblage was so strikingly similar to the modern equivalents" (Ben Thuy in New fossils suggest ancient origins of modern-day deep-sea animals, October 10, 2012)

http://phys.org/.../2012-10-fossils-ancient-modern-day-deep-s...

Salamanders are still salamanders. "The newly described species, ...lived between [supposedly] 220 million and 230 million years ago," (Giant ancient salamander was bigger than a human, 23 March 2015) http://news.sciencemag.org/.../giant-ancient-salamander-was-b...

"Giant Salamander Emerges from River in Japan." (Jul 30, 2014)

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KBh-E0iXjHU

"the fossil described in this paper, despite its age, possesses a very modern morphology, indistinguishable from many crab larvae living today. "It's amazing, but if we did not know this was a [supposedly] 150-million-year-old fossil, we might think that it came from today's ocean," (Tiny new fossil helps rewrite crab evolution, sheds lights on late Jurassic marine world, March 10, 2015) http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2015/.../150310105218.htm

Clams occur all throughout Earth's sedimentary layers, frequently mixed with dinosaurs, and the fossil clams look like today's clams. (Archibald, J. D. 1996. Dinosaur Extinction and the End of an Era. New York: Columbia University Press, 128.)

http://www.icr.org/article/8167/

Chengjiangocaris kunmingensis [supposedly 520 million years old] resembled a type of modern shrimp." (Oldest known nervous system found in Cambrian fossil, March 1, 2016)

https://www.sciencerecorder.com/.../oldest-known-nervous-sys.../

"When a collaborative team of researchers set about exploring the depths of Osprey Reef, off the Queensland coast, they had no idea they would discover living fossils, ecosystems that have remained unchanged for [supposedly] millions of years and marine life thought alien to Australian waters." (Living fossils discovered during deep sea expedition off Queensland coast, 20 Jan, 2016) http://www.abc.net.au/.../living-fossils-discovered-d.../7101224

"These 'living fossils' look nearly identical to their priapulid ancestors, which littered the ocean floor during the Cambrian period, when protostomes originated (see 'Protostome outlier')." ("Penis Worm"

Shakes Evolutionary Tree, Scientific American, October 26, 2012) http://www.scientificamerican.com/article.cfm...

"An international team of scientists has discovered the greatest absence of evolution ever reported—a type of deep-sea microorganism that appears not to have evolved over more than [supposedly] 2 billion years. But the researchers say that the organisms' lack of evolution actually supports Charles Darwin's theory of evolution. ...The scientists examined sulfur bacteria, microorganisms that are too small to see with the unaided eye, that are [supposedly] 1.8 billion years old and were preserved in rocks from Western Australia's coastal waters. Using cutting-edge technology, they found that the bacteria look the same as bacteria of the same region from[supposedly] 2.3 billion years ago—and that both sets of ancient bacteria are indistinguishable from modern sulfur bacteria found in mud off of the coast of Chile." (Scientists discover organism that hasn't evolved in more than 2 billion years, February 3, 2015) phys.org/.../2015-02-scientists-hasnt-evolved-billion-years.h...

"For one particular specimen, a wormlike arthropod ancestor called Paucipodia inermis, Ma saw some unusual shapes as she removed extraneous material from around the head—they resembled ganglia and nerve cords. "I didn't initially realize what they were," Ma says. "Slowly, slowly, it came to me that these might be brain structures." ... She finally discovered a 2008 paper that identified a brain in a Cambrian shrimp-like arthropod called Fuxianhuia protensa. In 2012, Ma returned to Yunnan University with Strausfeld, hunting for that single piece of rock in the institution's collections. ... The team published a new description of the F. protensa fossil in 2012, dedicating the entire manuscript to the specimen's brain (Nature, 490:258-61, 2012). The F. protensa nervous system closely resembled that of modern mandibulates, which include insects and crustaceans; ... The paper incited the larger scientific community to comment on fossilized brains substantially for the first time—but not all of the attention was positive. A half hour after the paper was published, says Ma, she had already received an email from a few of her US colleagues. "They basically said, 'It's impossible for neural tissue to be preserved in fossils." ... In 2013 and 2014, paleontologists from China and Japan who suspected neural fossilization in their specimens approached Ma and Strausfeld. The teams collaborated to document the neuroanatomy of two specimens: a chelicerate, from the arthropod group including spiders and horseshoe crabs, and an anomalocarid, from an extinct line of arthropod relatives. Both studies demonstrated that the basic brain patterns found in today's arthropods existed during the Cambrian, " (To Retain a Brain, | January 1, 2016)

http://www.the-scientist.com/...

""Our larvae look modern," Miao said. "The developmental stage is almost identical to today's lamprey. Before this, we didn't know how long lampreys have developed via metamorphosis. Now, we know it goes back [supposedly] 125 million years at least. In other words, lampreys haven't changed much—and that's very interesting." (Earliest-known lamprey larva fossils unearthed in Inner Mongolia, October 14, 2014)

http://phys.org/.../2014-10-earliest-known-lamprey-larva-foss...

A dinoflagellate [a marine unicellular organism with a flagellum] was thought to have gone extinct in the early Pleistocene, but then has reappeared today in several locations from Japan to the Philippines. (Living fossils in the Indo-Pacific warm pool: A refuge for thermophilic dinoflagellates during glaciations, April 10, 2014)

http://geology.gsapubs.org/content/42/6/531.abstract

"A [supposedly] 350-million-year-old scorpion has been described as the oldest land-dwelling animal ever discovered on Gondwana," (Ancient Scorpion was One of the First to Roam the Earth, Sep 03, 2013)

http://www.natureworldnews.com/.../ancient-scoprion-one-first...

"The ancient gall mites are surprisingly similar to ones seen today. "You would think that by going back to the Triassic you'd find a transitional form of gall mite, but no," Dr Grimaldi said." (230-Million-Year-Old Fly and Mites Found Preserved in Amber, Aug 28, 2012)

http://www.sci-news.com/paleontology/article00551.html

"This is the earliest record of copulating insects to date, and suggests that froghoppers' genital symmetry and mating position have remained static for [supposedly] 165 million years." (Earliest Record of Copulating Insects Discovered, Nov. 6, 2013)

http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2013/.../131106202404.htm

"Researchers have discovered the [supposedly] 100 million-year-old ancestor of a group of large, carnivorous, cricket-like insects that still live today in southern Asia, northern Indochina and Africa. The new find, in a limestone fossil bed in northeastern Brazil, corrects the mistaken classification of another fossil of this type and reveals that the genus has undergone very little evolutionary change since the [supposedly] Early Cretaceous Period, a time of dinosaurs just before the breakup of the supercontinent Gondwana." (Rare insect fossil reveals 100 million years of evolutionary stasis, Feb 03, 2011) http://phys.org/.../2011-02-rare-insect-fossil-reveals-millio...

"Scientists have unearthed an almost perfectly preserved spider fossil in China dating back to the middle Jurassic era, [supposedly]165 million years ago. ...E. gertschi shows all the features of the modern members of the family, found in North America, suggesting it has evolved very little since the Jurassic period, Selden said. "The scimitar-shaped structure you notice out of the male is so distinctive," he said. "Looking at modern ones, you think, well, it's just a dead ringer."" (Stunningly Preserved 165-Million-Year-Old Spider Fossil Found, 02.09.10)

http://www.wired.com/wiredscience/2010/02/spider-fossil/

No evolution to see here... "Details of the origins of spiders remain limited, with little knowledge of their predecessors and no insights into character acquisition early in their evolution." (Fossil of 'early spider' discovered; 305 million years old, March 31, 2016)

https://www.sciencedaily.com/releas.../2016/.../160331004236.htm

It's amazing how little they have changed. "A few years ago, scientists uncovered the largest-ever fossil of spider: a female representative of a never-before-seen species that was buried in volcanic ash during the [supposedly] age of the dinosaurs.

...Now the researchers say they have found an adult male spider to match, but the discovery complicates the original interpretation of the species. The scientists have proposed a new genus — Mongolarachne — to describe the extinct creature.

...When researchers first found the female spider in northern China, they named it Nephila jurassica, putting it in the Nephila genus of golden silk orb-weavers, which still exist today and have been known to ensnare birds and bats in their huge wheel-shaped webs. ..."It was so much like the modern golden orb weaver," said Paul Selden, a paleontologist with the University of Kansas. "We couldn't find any reason not to put it in the same genus of the modern ones." (Biggest Spider Fossil Now Has a Mate — But It's Complicated, 16 December 2013)

http://m.livescience.com/41989-biggest-spider-fossil-male.h...

"Although this moth doesn't have a tongue, it shares many of the same features that the same species would have had [supposedly] 40 to 50 million years ago. Edwards is quoted by Australia's The Age as saying: "It's really quite remarkable because it means that that ancestral line has continued right through without changing a lot of its basic structures." (Enigma moth: 'Living dinosaur' discovered in Australia sheds light on evolution, March 4, 2015)

http://www.ibtimes.co.uk/living-dinosaur-enigma-moth-discov...

Cyanobacteria are supposedly 3.5 billion years old, and they are basically identical to the blue-green algae that are living today. J. W. Schopf, who is One of world's prominent authorities on microfossils, claims that they haven't changed as they didn't need to change. "Evolution, an unending progression of new forms of life . . . survival of only the fittest . . . the old supplanted by the new. But prokaryotic cyanobacteria seem to have played the evolutionary game by a different set of rules: What once succeeded, continued to succeed . . . survival of the already fit . . . if it's not broken, don't fix it!" (J. W. Schopf, Major events in the history of life, pg 50, 1992)

http://books.google.com.au/books...

"The nautilus represents the only living member of the subclass Nautiloidea. The nautiluses are cephalopods that retain an outer shell unlike other distantly related animals such as squid and octopus. ...Because of their shells, the fossils of nautiluses are easier to come by than remains of other cephalopods, and fossil hunters have discovered ancient shells dating back at least [supposedly] 500 million years." (15 animals that are living fossils)

http://www.mnn.com/.../15-animals-that-are-living-fo.../nautilus

"As a marine creature that hasn't changed in [supposedly] 450 million years, the skate is a living fossil..." (Fish colon offers insight into evolution, October 3, 2014)

http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2014/.../141003135130.htm

"A new species of eel found in the gloom of an undersea cave is a "living fossil" astonishingly similar to the first eels that swam some [supposedly] 200 million years ago" ('Fossil eel' squirms into the record books, August 17, 2011)

http://phys.org/news/2011-08-fossil-eel-squirms.html

"Hagfish - they can be recognized from fossils that date back to [supposedly] 330 million years ago" (15 animals that are living fossils)

http://www.mnn.com/.../15-animals-that-are-living-fos.../hagfish

"The living pygmy right whale is, if you like, a remnant, almost like a living fossil," said Felix Marx, a paleontologist at the University of Otago in New Zealand. "It's the last survivor of quite an ancient lineage that until now no one thought was around." (Found: Whale Thought Extinct for 2 Million Years, Live Science, 18 December 2012)

http://www.livescience.com/25656-pygmy-whales-living-fossil...

"A pangolin. It's the only mammal in the world that is completely covered in scales. ... It is [supposedly] 80 million years old... It eats 200,000 ants and termites a day. It has a (sticky) tongue almost as long as its body and stores stones in its stomach to grind up food. When threatened it rolls itself up into an armour-plated ball that protects it from all known predators." (Pangolins: why this cute prehistoric mammal is facing extinction, 01 February 2015)

http://www.telegraph.co.uk/.../Pangolins-why-this-cute-prehis...

"Put yourself on the planet [supposedly] 130 million years ago. Most of the animals, from horned dinosaurs to swimming, predatory plesiosaurs, would be deeply alien, not to say terrifying. But rising from the wetlands and winging across the sky were birds startlingly like today's.

...Each exquisitely preserved specimen has the telltale traits of a modern bird: fan-shaped tail feathers, highly fused bones at the ends of the wings, and the U-shaped wishbone familiar to anyone who has carved a roast chicken. The fossils even have a small projection on the front edge of their wings—known to boost maneuverability during flight—that is remarkably similar to that of today's birds." (Feathered fossils from China reveal dawn of modern birds, 5 May 2015)

http://news.sciencemag.org/.../feathered-fossils-china-reveal...

"The bones suggested these ancient birds ranged from 1-to-3 feet tall (0.3 to 0.9 meters). For comparison, Africa's living jackass penguin, also called the black-footed penguin, stands at about 2-feet tall (0.6 meters)" (Found: Africa's Oldest Penguins, Live Science, 26 March 2013) http://www.livescience.com/28178-oldest-african-penguins-fo...

"The Monito del Monte is a remarkable, diminutive marsupial believed to have been extinct for [supposedly]11 million years until one was discovered in a thicket of Chilean bamboo in the southern Andes." (13 'extinct' animals found alive, November 9, 2009)

http://www.phenomenica.com/.../13-extinct-animals-found-alive...

How Darwinian evolutionists mislead people: The title of this article claims, a "fossil reveals the early evolution of hair and spines," yet the fossil actually reveals "modern mammalian hair and skin structures," and they were "similar to modern hedgehogs and African spiny mice." (125-million-year-old mammal fossil reveals the early evolution of hair and spines, October 14, 2015)

http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2015/.../151014134535.htm

"One particularly exciting recent rodent discovery was that of the Laotian rock rat, which, until it was found for sale at a Laos food market in 2005, scientists believed had gone extinct nearly [supposedly] 11 million years ago..." (New Rat Species Nose Their Way Into Menageries, July 27, 2009) http://www.psmag.com/science-environm.../new-rat-species-3564/

"Meet perhaps the tiniest hedgehog species ever: Silvacola acares. It's [supposedly] 52-million-year-old fossil remains... " (Fossils of tiny, unknown hedgehog identified, July 8, 2014)

http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2014/.../140708165815.htm

"The world's rabbits have been classified into eight distinct genera ...the Amami rabbit is considered by some to be a "living fossil" [it's similar to rabbits who lived supposedly five million years ago]." (Show Me The Bunny: The World's Most Amazing Rabbits, 26 March 2013)

http://webecoist.momtastic.com/.../show-me-the-bunny-the-wor.../

"New fossils found in Spain are thought to be of the oldest recorded ancestor of the giant panda. The two [supposedly] 11.6 million year old fossil jaws and teeth were discovered in southwest Europe and represent a new genus likely to be the oldest known members of the giant panda family." (Oldest fossil of giant panda family discovered, November 14, 2012)

http://phys.org/.../2012-11-oldest-fossil-giant-panda-family....

"There were at least two species of feline, morphologically similar to the modern cheetah. Living from [supposedly] three million to ten or twenty thousand years ago in North America, these cats are known only from fragments of skeletons."

http://carnivoraforum.com/search/121/...

"Fossils of koalas are rare, but these marsupials have been around for at least [supposedly] 20 million years." (15 animals that are living fossils)

http://www.mnn.com/.../15-animals-that-are-living-fossi.../koala

"Egg-laying mammals once lived in South America. A tooth from an ancient platypus unearthed ...the fossil had been dated at about[supposedly] 62 million years old." (Duck-billed platypus had a South American cousin, 24 August 1991)

http://www.newscientist.com/.../mg13117831.200-duckbilled-pla...

"Specimens on the first known frogs have been found on Navajo Indian reservations in Arizona. ... These fossils show that the skeletal shape and body plan of the frog has remained almost unchanged over the last [supposedly] 190 million years." (Jurassic Frog)

http://allaboutfrogs.org/weird/general/jurrasic.html

"Israel's Hula painted frog is not extinct, but is a 'living fossil'" (Israel's Hula painted frog is not extinct, but is a 'living fossil', June 2013)

http://now.msn.com/hula-painted-frog-is-not-extinct-is-a-li...

"Only two species of tuatara exist today, and they have much the same form as ancient ancestors that thrived [supposedly] 200 million years ago." (15 animals that are living fossils)

http://www.mnn.com/.../15-animals-that-are-living-fos.../tuatara

"The Lazarussuchus. This very small crocodile was common in the late Triassic period and was assumed to have gone extinct [supposedly] about 170 million years ago. So far two living varieties have been discovered, the first in 1982."

"Gracilidris. This species of [supposedly] 20 million year old ants, thought to be extinct, was discovered by a team of scientists in Brazil in 2006." (Cryptozoology and Reappearing Species: Ten Formerly 'extinct' Animals, Documents Of Interest, <u>documentingreality.com</u>)

http://www.documentingreality.com/.../cryptozoology-reappear.../

"...the oldest cockroach fossil ever found was [supposedly] 350 million years old. To put that in perspective they predated the very first known dinosaurs by 150 million years and were around not only to watch their demise but also remain in our world today in a nearly unchanged form. ...fossils of the Mylacris species are nearly identical to living specimens found today." (The Fascinating Truth about the World's Oldest Pests: Cockroaches, 15 Sept, 2012)

http://theophanes.hubpages.com/.../The-Fascinating-Truth-abou...

"Sticky amber caught a 50-million-year-old hitchhiker in action. This hitchhiker happened to be a tiny mite, catching a ride on the back of a spider....In fact, it is the oldest mite found in amber... "It looks exactly like a modern one."" (Ancient Mite Caught Hitching Ride on Spider, LiveScience, 08 November 2011)

http://www.livescience.com/16942-mite-spider-amber.htm

"Researchers have discovered the [supposedly] 100 million-year-old ancestor of a group of large, carnivorous, cricket-like insects that still live today in southern Asia, northern Indochina and Africa. The new find, in a limestone fossil bed in northeastern Brazil, corrects the mistaken classification of another fossil of this type and reveals that the genus has undergone very little evolutionary change since the Early Cretaceous Period, a time of dinosaurs just before the breakup of the supercontinent Gondwana." (Rare insect fossil reveals 100 million years of evolutionary stasis. February 3, 2011) http://www.biologynews.net/.../rare insect fossil reveals 100...

Fleas evolved from fleas.

http://www.cell.com/current-.../abstract/S0960-9822(13)00635-0

"While these variations in flea anatomy are great examples of flea biodiversity, past and present, they do not actually demonstrate an evolutionary origin of fleas from non-fleas." (Dr. Elizabeth Mitchell, Paleo-Pest Said to Demonstrate How a Flea Evolved into a Flea, July 15, 2013)

http://www.answersingenesis.org/.../20.../07/15/paleo-pest-flea...

"Crocodylians, Velvet worm, Cow sharks, Horsetails, Lice, Brachiopods, Ginkgo, Duck-billed platypus, Coelacanth [&] Horseshoe crab [haven't changed]" (The Top 10 Greatest Survivors of Evolution, November 09, 2012)

http://www.smithsonianmag.com/.../The-Top-10-Greatest-Survivo...

"Fossilized remains of four ancient snakes have been dated between [supposedly] 140 and 167 million years old -- [supposedly] 70 million years older than the previous record of ancient snake fossils -- [and they're still snakes!]" (The world's oldest known snake fossils: Rolling back the clock by nearly 70 million years, January 27, 2015)

http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2015/.../150127122446.htm

"velvet worms (phylum Onychophora), a very rare but evolutionarily important group that has existed for more than [supposedly] 500 million years," (Revista de Biología Tropical, Dec. 2002) <u>http://www.scielo.sa.cr/scielo.php...</u>

Creatures that are supposedly extinct are not extinct, they've just been given different names for example: On the left we have the supposedly extinct "Belemnobatis Sismondae" a fossil carbon dated at [supposedly]"148 million" years old... and on the right we have a modern Shovelnose Ray "Rhinobatos productos" Same size. Same skeletal structure. Same body

shape. http://www.halleethehomemaker.com/.../03/shovelray-640x170.png

Same as trilobite and horseshoe crab. Which have suffered a loss.

"Horseshoe crabs are famous for having changed little in morphology since they first show up in the fossil record [supposedly] over 400 million years ago (!): they are thus called "living fossils". (In fact, the title of Richard Fortey's new book on living fossils is Horseshoe Crabs and Velvet Worms. Well, living species are remarkably similar in general external features to their long-dead (and extinct) relatives, but there are distinct differences, and of course we know nothing about the difference in their internal features, nor in the structure of the DNA of ancient species (go here for more criticisms of the notion that horseshoe crabs are living fossils). Nevertheless, there is surprising "stasis" of morphology over a very long period of time, and we're not sure why that is. ...What has happened, evolution-wise? Obviously, an entire set of limbs, the outer member of each biramous pair, has disappeared somewhere during the evolution of modern horseshoe crabs. We don't know exactly why this happened, or, if it was due to selection, what form of selection would favor such loss. But the authors do speculate about the genetic basis of the loss. ...Regardless of its cause, the difference in limb number between ancient and modern horseshoe crabs shows that what are regarded as "living fossils" may well be at one extreme of "changeability" among long-lived groups, but they have by no means remained completely unchanged." (Horseshoe crabs aren't really "living fossils")

http://whyevolutionistrue.wordpress.com/.../horseshoe-crabs-.../

"The basic arrangement of limbs in euarthropods consists of a uniramous head appendage followed by a series of biramous appendages. The body is divided into functional units or tagmata which are usually

distinguished by further differentiation of the limbs. The living horseshoe crabs are remnants of a much larger diversity of aquatic chelicerates. The limbs of the anterior and posterior divisions of the body of living horseshoe crabs differ in the loss of the outer and inner ramus, respectively, of an ancestral biramous limb. Here we report a new fossil horseshoe crab from the mid-Silurian Lagerstätte in Herefordshire, United Kingdom (approximately 425 Myr B.P.), a site that has yielded a remarkably preserved assemblage of soft-bodied fossils. The limbs of the new form can be homologized with those of living Limulus, but retain an ancestral biramous morphology. Remarkably, however, the two limb branches originate separately, providing fossil evidence to suggest that repression or loss of gene expression might have given rise to the appendage morphology of Limulus. Both branches of the prosomal limbs of this new fossil are robust and segmented in contrast to their morphology in Cambrian arthropods, revealing that a true biramous limb was once present in chelicerates as well as in the mandibulates." (Derek E. G. Briggsa, Derek J. Siveterb, David J. Siveterd, Mark D. Suttone, Russell J. Garwoodf, and David Legge, Silurian horseshoe crab illuminates the evolution of arthropod limbs, August 16, 2012)<u>http://www.pnas.org/content/.../2012/09/07/1205875109.abstract</u>

"The hideous, mildly terrifying and rarely sighted frilled shark has turned up in waters off south-eastern Victoria.

The species, whose ancestry dates back [supposedly] 80 million years, is known as the 'living fossil'.

..."It does look 80 million years old. It looks prehistoric, it looks like it's from another time!"

...The CSIRO confirmed it to be a frilled shark, and while the species is known to the scientific community, it was a nonetheless rare and bizarre find for local fishermen." (Rare and terrifying frilled shark catch in Victorian waters the first for fishermen in local living memory, January 21, 2015) http://mobile.abc.net.au/.../hideous-frilled-shark-

<u>f.../6028524...</u>|RareAndTerrifyingFrilledSharkCatchInVictorianWatersTheFirstForFishermenInLocalLiving Memory_FBP|abc

""Cartilaginous fish (sharks and rays), boney fish (such as sturgeon, paddlefish, salmon, herring, flounder and bowfin) and jawless fish (hagfish and lamprey) have been found in the dinosaur layers and they look the same as modern forms.

"Modern-looking frogs and salamanders have been found in dinosaur dig sites.

"All of today's reptile groups have been found in the dinosaur layers and they look the same or similar to modern forms: Snakes (boa constrictor), lizards (ground lizards and gliding lizards), turtles (box turtles, soft-shelled turtles), and crocodilians (alligators, crocodiles and gavials)."

"Contrary to popular belief, modern types of birds have been found, including: parrots, owls, penguins, ducks, loons, albatross, cormorants, sandpipers, avocets, etc.

"At the dinosaur dig sites, scientists have found many unusual extinct mammal forms such as the multituberculates2 but they have also found fossilized mammals that look like squirrels, possums, Tasmanian devils, hedgehogs, shrews, beavers, primates, and duck-billed platypus.

this latest study published in Science suggests the tree shrew [claimed to be human's supposedly earliest primate ancestors] has remained nearly unchanged since the Oligocene period. which is supposedly 34 million years of stasis.

http://science.sciencemag.org/content/351/6270/237.1

"In the dinosaur rock layers, we found fossils from every major plant division living today including: flowering plants, ginkgos, cone trees, moss, vascular mosses, cycads, and ferns. Again, if you look at these fossils and compare them to modern forms, you will quickly conclude that the plants have not changed. Fossil sequoias, magnolias, dogwoods, poplars and redwoods, lily pads, cycads, ferns, horsetails etc. have been found at the dinosaur digs."

"I did not find fossils of every organism living today in the dinosaur layers, rather I found representative examples from all of the major animal phyla living today and all of the major plant divisions living today" (Dr. Carl Werner, Living Fossils, 14 Dec 2012)

http://networkedblogs.com/FXxP3

Excellent video of evidence for stasis (Why The Fossil Record Does Not Support Evolution) <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=so3BhFWaSeY&feature=youtu.be</u>

"All known Cretaceous bird fossils representing modern higher taxa are from the aquatic groups Anseriformes1,3, Gaviiformes4,5, Procellariiformes1 and Charadriiformes1,6. Here I describe a toothless avian dentary symphysis (fused jawbone) from the latest Cretaceous of Wyoming, United States. This symphysis appears to represent the oldest known parrot and is, to my knowledge, the first known fossil of a 'terrestrial' modern bird group from the Cretaceous. The existence of this fossil supports the hypothesis, based on molecular divergence data7,8, that most or all of the major modern bird groups were present in the Cretaceous." (A lower jaw from a Cretaceous parrot. 5 November 1998) http://www.nature.com/.../journ.../v396/n6706/full/396029a0.html

"Clarke et al. (2005) provide apparent evidence that cousins of living birds coexisted with dinosaurs more than [supposedly] 65 million years ago. ..."We have more data than ever to propose at least the beginnings of the radiation of all living birds in the Cretaceous," Clarke says. "We now know that duck and chicken relatives coexisted with non-avian dinosaurs." (Bird Biogeography) http://people.eku.edu/ritchisong/birdbiogeography1.htm

"A new analysis of an ape that lived [supposedly] 12.5 million years ago suggests it is a type of gorilla. [So it's a gorilla and evidence of stasis, yet they continue add their evolutionary story-tales.]" (Ape fossils put the origin of humanity at 10 million years ago, 2 October 2015)

https://www.newscientist.com/.../dn28274-ape-fossils-put-th.../...


"Conventional neo-Darwinian theory views organisms as infinitely sensitive and responsive to their environments, and considers them able to readily change size or shape when they adapt to selective pressures. Yet since 1863 it has been well known that Pleistocene animals and plants do not show much morphological change or speciation in response to the glacial–interglacial climate cycles. ...none of the Pleistocene pit samples is statistically distinct from the rest, indicating complete stasis from 35 ka to 9 ka. The sole exception was the Pit 13 sample of dire wolves (16 ka), which was significantly smaller than the rest, but this did not occur in response to climate change. We also performed a time series analysis of the pit samples. None showed directional change; all were either static or showed a random walk. Thus, the data show that birds and mammals at Rancho La Brea show complete stasis and were unresponsive to the major climate change that occurred at 20 ka, consistent with other studies of Pleistocene animals and plants." (Size and shape stasis in late Pleistocene mammals and birds from Rancho La Brea during the Last Glacial-Interglacial cycle, 2012) http://authors.library.caltech.edu/36172/

January 27 at 8:22am · Like · Remove Preview



Michael

Swenson https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=542080932617234&set=gm.982509308499482& type=3&theater



Kenneth Borden to God in Science

January 28 ·

Most evolutionists believe this Fantasy

http://dml.cmnh.org/1999Nov/msg00263.html

January 28 at 6:57am · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson https://youtu.be/69H1eqzPG5s...



Science Confirms Biblical Creation - 4/12/15 PM


YOUTUBE.COM

February 1 at 5:38am · Like · Remove Preview



Michael

Swensonhttps://www.facebook.com/historymakersradio/photos/a.554281674663924.1073741826.149 196661839096/961673123924775/?type=3&theater



February 2 at 2:36am · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson http://worldnewsdailyreport.com/smithsonian-admits-to.../...



Smithsonian Admits to Destruction of Thousands of Giant Human Skeletons in Early 1900's

WORLDNEWSDAILYREPORT.COM

February 11 at 10:50am · Like · Remove Preview




Michael Swenson https://www.facebook.com/edward.norkett.7/posts/903046353148580

February 14 at 3:24pm · Like



Michael

Swensonhttps://www.facebook.com/1mill.creationist/photos/a.361018770595969.88428.28601323809 6523/1134387813259057/?type=3&theater



March 17 at 4:22am Like 1 Remove Preview



Michael Swenson http://www.reasons.org/.../fine-tuning-for-life-on-earth...



Reasons To Believe : Fine-Tuning For Life On Earth (Updated June 2004)

REASONS.ORG

March 17 at 4:49am · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nkwCl0ymTfg&feature=youtu.be https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/the-modern-evolutionary-paradigm-is-not-scienceit-isnt-even-good-science-fictio/747467775332184 I cite this presentation because sacred geometry is factually built into the construct of creation. Mathematics is necessary to describe coordinates in space time. https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=451991028213195&set=pb.100002069048072.-2207520000.1459652932.&type=3&theaterthe spinning tetrahedron is depicted in sacred geometry https://www.google.com/search?q=tetragrammaton... but this is also one of the reasons why I disagree with his statement of saying creation is 6000 years old approximately. So I am citing his presentation for his accurate understanding that creation and mathematics is only rationally explained because it exists by the Intelligence, Providence and Power of our Eternal Creator. In my own understanding the more creation is understood and observed the more we see the aspects of our Eternal Creator; as such the line between finite creation becomes blurred simply because even though our Eternal Creator transcends it; He also pervades it. In other words, observable creation takes on apparently infinite aspects both microscopically and macroscopically. Only God could Begin it and only God can End it; but all things are according to His Word. And I have not found anywhere in any literature; not even in His Divinely Inspired Holy Bible, where He tells us the exact age of His Creation.




Dr. Jason Lisle | The Secret Code of Creation [Fractals]

YOUTUBE.COM

April 2 at 11:51pm · Edited · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nuMvRExazAw&feature=youtu.be



Probability of a Cell Evolving - Programming of Life

YOUTUBE.COM

April 2 at 7:03pm · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QbNpi2d5oEs - marine fossils throughout the sedimentary layers worldwide show water covered the surface of the earth. the disagreement comes not with that fact; but that there was a single worldwide flood; rather than just regional ones over long periods of time. But it is the the same fossils THROUGHOUT those layers that lends credence to the Biblical Flood, with hydrologic sorting; rather than many catastrophes over long periods of time: (large creatures spanning layers that are supposedly millions to hundreds of millions of years old), polystrate fossils, mammals and dinosaurs recorded living together and in the fossil record also found together (even with soft tissue specimens), the amount of invertebrate fossils, the amount of fossils trapped in sediment while feeding, the amount of fossils trapped in sediment while giving birth, etc. (in other words, darwinists/evolutionists are lying and/or deceiving themselves by willfully ignoring what is observed and what history records). https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/the-modern-evolutionary-paradigm-is-not-science-it-isnt-even-good-science-fictio/747466865332275/



Evil Lucion: Richard Dawkins and the Smashing of the Dino Footprints

YOUTUBE.COM

<u>April 11 at 7:17pm</u> · <u>Like</u> · <u>Remove Preview</u>



Michael Swenson http://www.ancient-origins.net/.../scientist-dismissed...



Scientist dismissed after soft tissue found on dinosaur fossil

ANCIENT-ORIGINS.NET

April 18 at 2:44am · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson https://www.facebook.com/demilked/videos/1114276981926426/



Ocean In The Sky

<u>00:46</u>

84,872 Views

DeMilkedLike Page

<u>April 20</u> ·

Photographer captures oceans in the sky: By <u>Alex Schueth Photography</u>

April 24 at 3:45am · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ur9AoGIPy6M

Hovind Express 4

Peppered Moth Fraud Ernst Haeckel Fraud Horse Evolution Fraud Geologic Column Fraud Vestigial Organs Fraud No Transitional Fossils Ever Found Human Eyeball Irreducibly Complex Mutations Don't Cause Macro The Hovind 150 Express 4 - Empirical evidence against Darwinism.

YOUTUBE.COM

May 21 at 6:08pm · Like · Remove Preview